


Accreditation Rating

Accredited with Improvement Plan: Low Participation[^]

50 / 100

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the data associated with the version type indicated on the upper right-hand corner of this report. Please see the scoring guide at the end of this report for information on the data included with each version. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned and meeting assurances. Not meeting finance, safety, or test administration assurances will result in a lower accreditation category. In addition, failing to meet the accountability participation rate of 95% on more than one assessment will reduce the overall accreditation category by one level.



The Accreditation Category presented above is based on the total percent of Framework Points earned out of Points Eligible:

Accredited with Distinction: at or above 74.0%

Accredited with Performance Plan: at or above 56.0%-below 74.0%

Accredited with Improvement Plan: at or above 44.0%-below 56.0%

Accredited with Priority Improvement Plan: at or above 34.0%-below 44.0%

Accredited with Turnaround Plan: below 34.0%

Insufficient Data: No reportable data or only PWR data

Indicator Rating Totals

Performance Indicators	% Pts Earned	Weighted Pts Earned/Pts Eligible	Rating
Academic Achievement	47.7%	14.3 / 30	Approaching
Academic Growth	60.1%	24 / 40	Approaching
Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness	38.9%	11.7 / 30	Approaching

Assurances

	Rating
Finance	Meets Requirements
Safety	Meets Requirements
Accountability Participation Rate	Meets 95%

Test Participation Rates (Ratings are based on Accountability Participation Rate)

Subject	Total Records	Valid Scores	Participation Rate	Parent Excuses	Accountability Participation Rate**	Rating
English Language Arts	4,571	4,053	88.7%	334	95.7%	Meets 95%
Math	4,573	4,066	88.9%	337	96.0%	Meets 95%
Science	1,917	1,678	87.5%	68	90.8%	Does Not Meet 95%
COACT	431	430	99.8%	0	99.8%	Meets 95%

Summary of Ratings by EMH Level

This table shows the ratings by performance indicator and grade level, the number of points earned relative to the number of points eligible, the percentage of points earned, and the rating.

EMH Level	Performance Indicators	% Pts Earned	Weighted Pts Earned/Pts Eligible	Rating	Weighted % Pts Earned	Rating by EMH Level
Elementary	Academic Achievement	47.9%	19.2 / 40	Approaching	49.2%	Improvement Plan
	Academic Growth	50.0%	30 / 60	Approaching		
Middle	Academic Achievement	43.8%	17.5 / 40	Approaching	55.0%	Improvement Plan
	Academic Growth	62.5%	37.5 / 60	Meets		
High	Academic Achievement	51.4%	15.4 / 30	Approaching	54.3%	Improvement Plan
	Academic Growth	67.9%	27.2 / 40	Meets		
	Postsecondary & Workforce R..	38.9%	11.7 / 30	Approaching		

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(^)A rating with Low Participation indicates that the Participation Rate or Accountability Participation Rate for the district falls below 95% in more than one content area.

(^^)Districts with an Insufficient State Data rating will maintain their previously assigned year on the clock.

(**)The Accountability Participation Rate differs from the "Participation Rate" in the following ways: it excludes Parent Excuses from the denominator; it includes in both the numerator and denominator English Learners in their first year in the United States who took WIDA ACCESS for ELLs instead of the PARCC ELA assessment.

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
English Language Arts	All Students	1,602	93.5%	726.9	22	4 / 8	Approaching
	Previously Identified for READ Plan	286	93.0%	702.4	1	0 / 0	-
	English Learners	687	98.3%	722.7	15	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	1,177	97.2%	724.8	19	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	1,228	96.2%	725.6	20	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	205	88.4%	701.5	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	All Students	1,601	93.7%	725.4	27	4 / 8	Approaching
Math	English Learners	691	99.2%	725.3	27	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	1,181	97.7%	724.1	25	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	1,233	96.7%	725.1	27	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	206	88.8%	704.9	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	All Students	503	92.1%	552.5	23	4 / 8	Approaching
Science	English Learners	223	99.6%	540.2	17	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	362	97.2%	539.1	17	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	391	96.1%	544.7	19	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	65	86.3%	459.2	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	TOTAL	*	*	*	*	17.25 / 36	Approaching

ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
English Language Arts	All Students	1,004	43.0	4 / 8	Approaching
	English Learners	445	42.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	763	45.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	785	43.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	122	35.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
Math	All Students	989	44.0	4 / 8	Approaching
	English Learners	447	47.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	752	46.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	777	45.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	122	39.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
ELP (2015)	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	820	40.0	2 / 4	Approaching
TOTAL	*	*	*	14 / 28	Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2016 assessment results.

Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentiles for the identified student group based on 2016 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency growth is based on 2015 student growth percentiles (from WIDA ACCESS for ELLS results).

Data on this page are based on results from 2015-16, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
English	All Students	1,676	89.8%	730.0	26	4 / 8	Approaching
Language Arts	English Learners	685	96.7%	724.6	16	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	1,077	96.4%	725.6	18	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	1,184	93.3%	726.7	21	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	228	87.7%	705.1	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
Math	All Students	1,686	90.2%	717.9	18	4 / 8	Approaching
	English Learners	693	97.9%	715.2	12	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	1,082	96.9%	714.0	10	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	1,193	94.0%	715.1	12	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	228	87.4%	696.7	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
Science	All Students	665	89.4%	540.9	21	4 / 8	Approaching
	English Learners	276	98.6%	515.1	10	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	419	96.6%	519.6	12	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	469	93.6%	524.1	14	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	92	87.0%	479.2	2	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	15.75 / 36	Approaching

ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
English	All Students	1,515	55.0	6 / 8	Meets
Language Arts	English Learners	653	54.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	1,020	55.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Minority Students	1,097	55.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	190	43.5	.5 / 1	Approaching
Math	All Students	1,487	41.0	4 / 8	Approaching
	English Learners	646	40.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	1,002	39.5	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	1,083	41.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	189	30.0	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
ELP (2015)	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	422	52.0	3 / 4	Meets
TOTAL		*	*	17.5 / 28	Meets

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2016 assessment results.

Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentiles for the identified student group based on 2016 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency growth is based on 2015 student growth percentiles (from WIDA ACCESS for ELLS results).

Data on this page are based on results from 2015-16, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
English Language Arts	All Students	551	75.0%	728.7	25	4 / 8	Approaching
	English Learners	190	77.6%	724.0	14	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	298	79.3%	720.1	8	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	384	78.7%	724.9	15	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	56	74.4%	703.1	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
Math	All Students	552	74.9%	719.7	21	4 / 8	Approaching
	English Learners	190	77.3%	717.9	17	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	299	79.3%	715.4	10	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	385	78.3%	717.6	17	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	57	74.4%	702.4	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
Science	All Students	405	79.9%	611.7	51	6 / 8	Meets
	English Learners	141	86.1%	591.7	32	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	193	87.1%	591.6	32	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	258	84.5%	598.6	40	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	36	74.0%	539.7	4	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	18.5 / 36	Approaching

ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
English Language Arts	All Students	414	56.0	6 / 8	Meets
	English Learners	161	59.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	250	50.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Minority Students	304	54.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	41	43.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
Math	All Students	347	50.0	6 / 8	Meets
	English Learners	133	52.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	205	46.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	257	49.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	38	46.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
ELP (2015)	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	243	49.0	2 / 4	Approaching
TOTAL		*	*	19 / 28	Meets

This page displays the performance indicator data for the high school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2016 assessment results.

Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentiles for the identified student group based on 2016 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency growth is based on 2015 student growth percentiles (from WIDA ACCESS for ELLs results).

Data on this page are based on results from 2015-16, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

POSTSECONDARY AND WORKFORCE READINESS

PWR Indicator	Student Group	Count	Best Rate	Rate/Score	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
Dropout	All Students	5,411	*	2.9%	2 / 4	Approaching
COACT	All Students	430	*	19.0	2 / 4	Approaching
Matriculation	All Students	421	*	41.3%	1 / 2	Approaching
	2-Year Higher Education Institution	*	*	15.9%	*	-
	4-Year Higher Education Institution	*	*	23.0%	*	-
	Career & Technical Education	*	*	2.9%	*	-
Graduation	All Students	565	5yr	61.6%	1 / 4	Does Not Meet
	English Learners	141	5yr	62.4%	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	372	6yr	58.9%	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	323	5yr	63.5%	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	58	6yr	62.1%	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
TOTAL		*	*	*	7 / 18	Approaching

REFERENCE TABLE: DISAGGREGATED GRADUATION RATES

For historical graduation data: <http://www2.cde.state.co.us/schoolview/dish/dashboard.asp>

Student Group	4-Year (AYG 2015)	5-Year (AYG 2014)	6-Year (AYG 2013)	7-Year (AYG 2012)	Best Rate
All Students	57.1%	61.6%	60.6%	56.2%	5yr
English Learners	60.0%	62.4%	56.4%	46.8%	5yr
Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	54.1%	58.3%	58.9%	53.1%	6yr
Minority Students	58.5%	63.5%	59.2%	53.8%	5yr
Students with Disabilities	41.1%	51.7%	62.1%	54.7%	6yr

Dropout Rates: reflects the percentage of students enrolled in grades 7-12 who leave school during a single year. It is calculated by dividing the number of dropouts by a membership base, which includes all students who were in the membership any time during the year and did not enroll in a different Colorado school. The rates included in this report are based on 2015 end of year state submission reporting.

COACT: reflects the mean composite ACT score for the identified district; COACT was administered to all 11th grade students in Colorado. Per recent state decision, this assessment will be replaced by the Scholastic Achievement Test (SAT) for the 2016-17 school year.

Matriculation Rates: reflects all 2015 high school graduates that enroll in a Career & Technical Education program, 2-Year Higher Education Institution, or 4-Year Higher Education Institution during the subsequent academic year. The rate also includes all high school graduates that earned a Career & Technical Education certificate or a college degree while they were still enrolled in high school. The matriculation data includes both in-state and out-of-state enrollments. For more information: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/matriculation_guidance_and_faq_7_25_16

Graduation Rates: Colorado calculates 'on-time' graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. The rates presented in this report reflect the best of the 4-, 5-, 6-, and 7-year graduation rates at the overall and disaggregated levels, based on end of year state submission reporting. The four-year rate for this report is based on 2015 graduates.

Anticipated Year of Graduation (AYG): when a student initially enters the ninth grade in the Colorado End of Year data collection system, an anticipated year of graduation is assigned for four years later.

For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Scoring Guide for 2016 District/School Performance Frameworks

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating	Point Value		
Academic Achievement	The district or school's mean scale score was (2016 baseline): see table below for actual values		All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	
	• at or above the 85th percentile of all schools in 2016	Exceeds	8	1.00	
	• below the 85th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	Meets	6	0.75	
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	Approaching	4	0.50	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools in 2016	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point) • Mean scale score at or above 725 (CMAS PARCC Level 3 cut)				1 bonus point
Academic Growth	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students	Disaggregated Group	English Language Proficiency
	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	4
	• below 65 but at or above 50	Meets	6	0.75	3
	• below 50 but at or above 35	Approaching	4	0.50	2
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	1
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2015):				
	• at or below 0.5%	Exceeds			4
	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets			3
	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching			2
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet			1
	Average Colorado ACT Composite score was (using 2010 cut-scores):				
	• at or above 22.0	Exceeds			4
	• at or above 20.0 but below 22.0	Meets			3
	• at or above 17.0 but below 20.0	Approaching			2
	• below 17.0	Does Not Meet			1
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2015):				
	• at or above the 85th percentile (73.1%)	Exceeds			2.0
	• below the 85th percentile (73.1%) but at or above the 50th	Meets			1.5
	• below the 50th percentile (59.3%) but at or above the 15th	Approaching			1.0
	• below the 15th percentile (41.1%)	Does Not Meet			0.5
Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-year):			All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	
• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	4		1.00	
• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	3		0.75	
• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	2		0.50	
• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	1		0.25	

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the achievement indicators have been established utilizing 2016 school baseline CMAS Science, CMAS PARCC and DLM data.

Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2016 school baseline)

Percentile	English Language Arts				Mathematics				Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	All	Elem	Middle	High	All	Elem	Middle	High	All
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	724.6	723.1	719.1	716.5	717.3	718.2	531.9	527.7	564.4	538.7
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	739.6	739.6	734.3	731.2	729.8	732.9	601.7	591.4	609.2	600.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	753.3	754.9	751.9	746.2	746.0	749.3	655.9	643.3	651.3	652.7

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

Achievement; Growth; Postsecondary Readiness	Cut-Point: The district or school earned...of the points eligible.	
	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Total Possible Points by Indicator

Indicator	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Elementary/Middle	High/District
Achievement	36 total points (8 for each subject for all students and 4 for each subject by disaggregated groups)	40%	30%
Growth	28 total points (8 for each subject for all students and 4 for each subject by disaggregated groups) and 4 for English language proficiency	60%	40%
Postsecondary Readiness	18 total points (4 for each sub-indicator except 8 for graduation, and 2 for matriculation)	not applicable	30%

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment

Total Framework Points	District	School	Plan Type/Category Type
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)
	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)
	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)
	34.0%	34.0%	Accr. w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)

Version and Corresponding Data Utilized in Framework

Version & Included Data	Version	Description
	Version A	Default one year calculations reported by individual EMH levels
	Version B	One year achievement and growth calculations combined across EMH levels with one year PWR calculations
	Version C	One year achievement and growth calculations reported by individual EMH levels with three year PWR calculations
	Version D	One year achievement and growth calculations combined across EMH levels with three year PWR calculations

September 30, 2016