## District Performance Framework 2014

### District: PLATTE VALLEY RE-7 - 3130

## Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation <sup>3</sup>
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%	
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	TOTAL
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be e
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%	eligible, so scores are n

of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary an Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>				
Academic Achievement	Approaching	61.1%	( 9.2 out of 15 points )			
Academic Growth	Meets	67.1%	( 23.5 out of 35 points )			
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	( 8.7 out of 15 points )			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	80.0%	( 28.0 out of 35 points )			

~		meets 55% Fundelpation nate			
%					
%	TOTAL	69.4	4%	( 69.4 out of 100 points )	
%				(	
%	<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible po		umbers o	f students. In these cases, the p	oints are removed from the points
~	eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.	,			

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

nd	Finance <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements
	Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

Meets 95% Participation Rate

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rat</b>	es															
		% of Stude	ents Tested		Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.9%	99.8%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	754	796	545	2095	756	797	546	2099
Mathematics	100.0%	99.9%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	753	796	546	2095	753	797	546	2096
Writing	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	752	797	545	2094	753	797	546	2096
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	270	268	-	538	270	268	-	538
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	88	96	-	184	88	96	-	184
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	221	221	-	-	221	221



Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: PLATTE VALLEY RE-7 - 31	30							(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	732	67.35	31	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	730	71.51	55	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	730	48.77	30	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	494	48	33	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	494	47	52	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	493	44	45	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	27	51	34	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	228	48	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	160	46	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	48	44	75	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	77	46	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	171	48	59	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	228	48	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	160	44	60	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	48	42	80	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	77	46	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	143	54	78	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	227	43	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	160	44	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Does Not Meet	48	38	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	77	44	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	249	43	64	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: PLATTE VALLEY RE-7 - 31	30							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	778	66.32	39	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	778	41.52	26	
Writing	3	4		Meets	779	56.87	50	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	756	50	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	755	43	73	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	757	58	50	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	281	42	43	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	226	46	49	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	91	44	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	112	44	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	252	46	66	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	279	44	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	227	43	85	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	89	50	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	112	44	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	382	50	91	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	281	57	61	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	227	61	68	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	91	43	87	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	112	63	72	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	338	56	76	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: PLATTE VALLEY RE-7 - 3130	Defects Francisch	Deinte Flinik I.	N D - insta	Detin -	•	N Due Sielent / A due une d		(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINTS	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	524	67.18	37	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	525	34.67	62	
Writing	3	4		Meets	524	53.05	58	
Science	0	0	CC 70	-	-	-	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	489	51	21	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	491	67	93	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	489	53	50	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Modian Adaguata	Mada Adaguat
Acadamic Crouth Cane	Points Fornad	Pointe Elizible	& Doints	Poting	Subgroup	Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	156	55	48	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	143	56	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	52	55	94	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	45	54	66	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	163	56	78	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	156	63	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	145	65	99	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	52	59	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	45	66	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	291	63	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	155	50	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	143	48	74	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	52	56	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	45	52	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	212	54	92	No
Total	40	60	66.7%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		352/ <i>268</i> /169/79	88.4/ <i>90.3</i> /89.3/88.6%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	3	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		137/ <i>107</i> /61/27	82.5/ <i>86.9</i> /85.2/81.5%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		86/ <i>70</i> /41/17	81.4/ <i>84.3</i> /82.9/82.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		33/ <b>27</b> /20/N<16	69.7/ <i>70.4</i> /65/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		1727	0.9%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		221	19.1	20.1
Total	12	15	80%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

## **Graduation Rates**

### Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)** 

#### This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate **Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	84	87.5	88.6	88.6
Anticipated Year	2011	86.7	88.9	90	
of Graduation	2012	90	<i>93.9</i>		
	2013	92.6			

					_
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7
	2010	84	87.5	88.6	
Anticipated Year	2011	86.7	88.9	90	
of Graduation	2012	90	93.9		
	2013	92.6			

-vear 88.6

88.6

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	77.8	81.5	81.5	81.5
Anticipated Year	2011	82.4	85.3	88.2	
of Graduation	2012	83.3	<i>91.3</i>		
	2013	85.7			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	76.5	82.4	82.4	82.4
Anticipated Year	2011	79.2	79.2	83.3	
of Graduation	2012	86.2	<i>89.7</i>		
	2013	81.3			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

20			5-year	6-year	7-year
20	010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year 20	011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation 20	)12	N<16	N<16		
20	)13	N<16			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	77.8	81.5	81.5	81.5
<b>Inticipated Year</b>	2011	82.4	85.3	88.2	
of Graduation	2012	83.3	91.3		
	2013	85.7			
	Aggregated	82.5	86.9	85.2	81.5

88.4

90.3

89.3

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	76.5	82.4	82.4	82.4
Anticipated Year	2011	79.2	79.2	83.3	
of Graduation	2012	86.2	89.7		
	2013	81.3			
	Aggregated	81.4	84.3	82.9	82.4

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	69.7	70.4	65	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

**Scoring Guide** 

Performance Indicator	erformance Indicators on the Distric		Pating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per	Framework
Periormance mulcator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		EMH Level	Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring p	roficient or advanced was:		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all distric	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above t</li> </ul>	he 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above t</li> </ul>	he 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduatio	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			-		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state averag	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds		4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22		Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Point	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	<ul> <li>at or above 64% - below 80%</li> </ul>	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 52% - below 64%</li> </ul>	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1