Level. Livii

District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540 (All - 1 Year¹)

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Meets	72.2%	(10.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	52.8%	(18.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.8%	(7.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	91.7%	(32.1 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

6 V	TOTAL	69.3%	(69.3 out of 100 points)	
ъ				

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	100.0%	100.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	136	78	54	268	137	78	54	269
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	137	78	54	269	137	78	54	269
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	137	78	54	269	137	78	54	269
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	42	36	-	78	42	36	-	78
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	30	41	-	71	30	41	-	71
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	22	22	-	-	22	22

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	128	78.91	75	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	129	81.4	84	
Writing	3	4		Meets	129	68.99	88	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	96	33	20	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	96	33	33	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	96	38	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	60	33	27	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	25	37	17	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	32	48	No
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	60	40	39	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	33	41	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	60	39	37	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	27	36	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	31	40	54	No
Students needing to catch up				Approacining			J ⁻ T	

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	75	72	57	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	75	52	54	
Writing	3	4		Meets	75	58.67	59	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	73	42	24	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	32	64	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	31	42	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	30	41	33	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	30	45	69	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	35	89	No
Writing	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	34	49	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0			N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	25	41	78	No
Total	8	20	40%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	53	77.36	73	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	53	33.96	55	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	53	47.17	45	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	52	54	15	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	52	69	91	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	52	59	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets		GIOWAITTEICEILLIC	GIOWEITTEICEITEIE	Giowai:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	1270	Meets	24	56	28	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20			
English Learners	0	0		<u>_</u>	N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20			
Mathematics	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds	11-20	-	-	-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	07.5%		24	71	96	No
Minority Students	0	0		Exceeds	N<20		-	No -
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0			N<20		<u>-</u>	
Students needing to catch up	3	<u>0</u>		Meets	30	 67		 No
Writing	7		87.5%		30	87	33	INO
		8	67.5%	Exceeds	2.4			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	24	64	58	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20 N<20	<u>-</u>	-	
	0	0		-		-	<u>-</u>	-
English Learners Students peeding to catch up	3	4	-	 Meets	N<20 22		- 84	 No
Students needing to catch up Total	17	20	85%	Meets	22		04	INO
							5	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N 21/26/27/20	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		21/ 26 /37/29	85.7/ <i>100</i> /97.3/82.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-	N1 -4	C (NI > 1 C (NI > 1 C	1110	0.00/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0				6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0				6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		Essentia.	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		201	0.5%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4	04 70	Meets		22	20.4	20.0
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	85.7	82.8	82.8	82.8
Anticipated Year	2011	94.6	97.3	97.3	
of Graduation	2012	100	100		
	2013	85.7			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	85.7	82.8	82.8	82.8
Anticipated Year	2011	94.6	97.3	97.3	
of Graduation	2012	100	100		
	2013	85.7			
	Aggregated	92	93.5	90.9	82.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	86.8	92.5	86.2	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	95.5	100	100	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring pa	roficient or advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districtions	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	11	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's a	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined					
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's					
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have					
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately					
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

6

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math		Writing Science			Science			
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

			-									
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1