## District Performance Framework 2014

Level: EMH
District: MONTROSE COUNTY RE-1J- 2180

| Accredited | Performance Indicators | Rating | \% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Academic Achievement | Approaching | 61.1\% | ( 9.2 out of 15 points ) |
| This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an | Academic Growth | Meets | 70.2\% | ( 24.6 out of 35 points ) |
| accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, | Academic Growth Gaps | Approaching | 61.7\% | ( 9.3 out of 15 points ) |
| failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category. | Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Meets | 64.1\% | ( 22.4 out of 35 points ) |


| Accreditation Category | Framework Points Earned |
| :--- | ---: |
| Accred. w/Distinction | at or above $80 \%$ |
| Accredited | at or above $64 \%$ - below $80 \%$ |
| Accred. w/Improvement Plan | at or above $52 \%$ - below $64 \%$ |
| Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan | at or above $42 \%$ - below $52 \%$ |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

${ }^{4}$ Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.


Performance Indicators
Level: Elementary
District: MONTROSE COUNTY RE-1] - 2180

| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | District's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 3713 | 64.56 | 26 |  |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 3706 | 64 | 29 |  |
| Writing | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 3707 | 44.75 | 22 |  |
| Science | 0 | 0 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 6 | 12 | 50\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2372 | 49 | 36 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 2383 | 45 | 51 | No |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2377 | 50 | 50 | Yes |
| English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) | 1 | 2 |  | Approaching | 370 | 43 | 29 | Yes |
| Total | 9 | 14 | 64.3\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 11 | 20 | 55\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1440 | 46 | 44 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1023 | 48 | 46 | Yes |
| Students with Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 243 | 39 | 78 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 573 | 47 | 54 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 864 | 51 | 67 | No |
| Mathematics | 9 | 20 | 45\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 1451 | 44 | 58 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 1032 | 44 | 60 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 245 | 31 | 85 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 580 | 46 | 68 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 756 | 46 | 81 | No |
| Writing | 11 | 20 | 55\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 1443 | 49 | 58 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 1025 | 51 | 59 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 244 | 42 | 82 | No |
| English Learners |  | 4 |  | Meets | 573 | 56 | 63 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 1423 | 51 | 65 | No |
| Total | 31 | 60 | 51.7\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |

Performance Indicators

| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | District's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 3900 | 66.1 | 38 |  |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 3902 | 52.79 | 59 |  |
| Writing | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 3898 | 52.69 | 36 |  |
| Science | 0 | 0 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 7 | 12 | 58.3\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 3708 | 53 | 32 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 3710 | 55 | 71 | No |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 3709 | 54 | 53 | Yes |
| English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) | 1 | 2 |  | Approaching | 207 | 45 | 60 | No |
| Total | 10 | 14 | 71.4\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 12 | 20 | 60\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2103 | 52 | 44 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1576 | 52 | 49 | Yes |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 313 | 48 | 87 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 912 | 54 | 58 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 1225 | 53 | 70 | No |
| Mathematics | 11 | 20 | 55\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 2101 | 54 | 78 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 1575 | 54 | 80 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 317 | 48 | 99 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 908 | 54 | 84 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1606 | 56 | 93 | No |
| Writing | 12 | 20 | 60\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 2106 | 54 | 65 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1579 | 56 | 67 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 318 | 50 | 93 | No |
| English Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 913 | 57 | 72 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 1698 | 54 | 80 | No |
| Total | 35 | 60 | 58.3\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |

Performance Indicators

| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | District's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2702 | 71.5 | 51 |  |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2700 | 31.41 | 53 |  |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2703 | 51.94 | 55 |  |
| Science | 0 | 0 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 9 | 12 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2554 | 55 | 17 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2552 | 59 | 94 | No |
| Writing | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 2557 | 57 | 54 | Yes |
| English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) | 1.5 | 2 |  | Meets | 91 | 50 | 40 | Yes |
| Total | 10.5 | 14 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 15 | 20 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1172 | 54 | 35 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 985 | 55 | 37 | Yes |
| Students with Disabilities | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 190 | 57 | 98 | No |
| English Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 501 | 58 | 62 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 793 | 58 | 81 | No |
| Mathematics | 15 | 20 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1169 | 56 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 986 | 55 | 99 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 188 | 58 | 99 | No |
| English Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 502 | 56 | 99 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1551 | 60 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 15 | 20 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1174 | 56 | 79 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 988 | 58 | 79 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 190 | 58 | 99 | No |
| English Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 504 | 59 | 91 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 1201 | 59 | 92 | No |
| Total | 45 | 60 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating |  | $N$ | Rate/Score | Expectation |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 3 | 4 |  | Meets |  | 1861/1397/931/458 | 74.5/81.1/82.1/82.8\% | 80\% |
| Disaggregated Graduation Rate | 2.25 | 4 | 56.3\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0.5 | 1 |  | Approaching |  | 1040/806/549/227 | 65.6/73.9/75/72.7\% | 80\% |
| Minority Students | 0.5 | 1 |  | Approaching |  | 601/452/293/116 | 67.7/75.4/76.8/74.1\% | 80\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.5 | 1 |  | Approaching |  | 160/119/92/42 | 53.1/65.5/72.8/69\% | 80\% |
| English Learners | 0.75 | 1 |  | Meets |  | 182/145/91/40 | 61.5/70.3/79.1/80\% | 80\% |
| Dropout Rate | 3 | 4 |  | Meets |  | 9703 | 3\% | 3.9\% |
| Colorado ACT Composite Score | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching |  | 1275 | 19.2 | 20.1 |
| Total | 10.25 | 16 | 64.1\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

## Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6-and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6 -year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2010 | 73.8 | 79.1 | 81.2 | 82.8 |
|  | 2011 | 75.1 | 80.5 | 82.9 |  |
|  | 2012 | 77.7 | 83.7 |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 71.4 |  |  |  |

## Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4-year | 5 -year | 6-year | 7 -year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Anticipated Year <br>   | 2010 | 59.9 | 66.2 | 69.8 | 72.7 |
|  | 2011 | 72.1 | 75.6 | 78.9 |  |
|  | 2012 | 70.3 | 78.5 |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 57.9 |  |  |  |

## Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6 -year | 7 -year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2010 | 64 | 68.6 | 71.8 | 74.1 |
|  | 2011 | 70.6 | 76.1 | 80.1 |  |
|  | 2012 | 71.1 | 79.7 |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 63.6 |  |  |  |

## Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4 -year | 5 -year | 6 -year | 7 -year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 54.1 | 59 | 64.3 | 69 |
|  | 2011 | 68 | 76 | 80 |  |
|  | 2012 | 41.9 | 56.7 |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 42.9 |  |  |  |

## English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4 -year | 5 -year | 6 -year | 7 -year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2010 | 66.7 | 78.4 | 82.1 | 80 |
|  | 2011 | 63 | 69.2 | 76.9 |  |
|  | 2012 | 61.1 | 66.1 |  |  |

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4 -year | 5 -year | 6 -year | 7 -year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2010 | 73.8 | 79.1 | 81.2 | 82.8 |
|  | 2011 | 75.1 | 80.5 | 82.9 |  |
|  | 2012 | 77.7 | 83.7 |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 71.4 |  |  |  |

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4 -year | 5 -year | 6 -year | 7 -year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 59.9 | 66.2 | 69.8 | 72.7 |
|  | 2011 | 72.1 | 75.6 | 78.9 |  |
|  | 2012 | 70.3 | 78.5 |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 57.9 |  |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 65.6 | 73.9 | 75 | 72.7 |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6 -year | 7 -year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2010 | 64 | 68.6 | 71.8 | 74.1 |
|  | 2011 | 70.6 | 76.1 | 80.1 |  |
|  | 2012 | 71.1 | 79.7 |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 63.6 |  |  |  |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4 -year | 5 -year | 6 -year | 7 -year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2010 | 54.1 | 59 | 64.3 | 69 |
|  | 2011 | 68 | 76 | 80 |  |
|  | 2012 | 41.9 | 56.7 |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 42.9 |  |  |  |

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2010 | 66.7 | 78.4 | 82.1 | 80 |
|  | 2011 | 63 | 69.2 | 76.9 |  |
|  | 2012 | 61.1 | 66.1 |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 613 | 61.5 | 70.3 | 79.1 |

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4 - year graduation rate, 20125 -year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 20107 year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3 -year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 20125 -year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

## Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report



| Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. |  |
| Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness | - at or above $87.5 \%$ | Exceeds |
|  | - at or above 62.5\% - below 87.5\% | Meets |
|  | - at or above 37.5\% - below 62.5\% | Approaching |
|  | - below 37.5\% | Does Not Meet |


|  | Cut Point: The district earned ... of the total Framework points eligible. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Framework Points | - at or above 80\% | Distinction |
|  | - at or above 64\% - below $80 \%$ | Accredited |
|  | - at or above $52 \%$ - below 64\% | Improvement |
|  | - at or above 42\% - below $52 \%$ | Priority Improvement |
|  | - below 42\% | Turnaround |

## District Plan Type Assignments

Accred. w/Distinction Accredited
Accred. w/Improvement Plan Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan Accred. w/Turnaround Plan

## Plan description

The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## Reference

1 -year vs. 3-year Report
Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the $N$ count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3 -year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

## Academic Achievement

he Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

| Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Districts | 175 | 165 | 167 | 176 | 165 | 167 | 175 | 165 | 167 | 133 | 135 | 138 |
| 15th percentile | 59.26 | 58.87 | 57.14 | 57.99 | 34.46 | 18.30 | 38.48 | 42.37 | 32.85 | 29.46 | 28.57 | 30.27 |
| 50th percentile | 71.51 | 70.50 | 71.53 | 70.51 | 50.00 | 32.16 | 54.72 | 56.36 | 48.61 | 48.00 | 45.60 | 48.93 |
| 90th percentile | 84.37 | 83.57 | 84.78 | 84.60 | 68.84 | 52.06 | 69.66 | 72.27 | 67.56 | 69.72 | 69.09 | 70.39 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Districts | 181 | 182 | 183 | 181 | 182 | 182 | 181 | 182 | 183 | 172 | 175 | 179 |
| 15th percentile | 60.45 | 56.61 | 57.63 | 56.84 | 36.37 | 17.78 | 41.44 | 41.85 | 33.82 | 32.93 | 30.02 | 31.43 |
| 50th percentile | 72.19 | 69.22 | 71.31 | 70.37 | 49.11 | 30.51 | 55.78 | 56.79 | 49.70 | 47.50 | 46.81 | 49.18 |
| 90th percentile | 85.16 | 81.53 | 83.80 | 83.42 | 65.33 | 48.01 | 71.02 | 70.87 | 67.71 | 66.52 | 65.86 | 67.31 |

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

|  | Made AGP | Did Not Make AGP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exceeds | $60-99$ | $70-99$ |
| Meets | $45-59$ | $55-69$ |
| Approaching | $30-44$ | $40-54$ |
| Does Not Meet | $1-29$ | $1-39$ |

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.
State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

|  | N of Students | Mean Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | $1,238,096$ | 3.9 |

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

|  | N of Students | Mean Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

