4.11 4.24 1

District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828 (All - 1 Ye

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²				
Academic Achievement	Meets	69.4%	(10.4 out of 15 points)			
Academic Growth	Approaching	61.8%	(21.6 out of 35 points)			
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.1%	(8.4 out of 15 points)			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	71.7%	(25.1 out of 35 points)			

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested				Participa	tion Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6% ⁶	99.8%	99.1%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	522	466	335	1324	524	467	338	1329
Mathematics	98.7% ⁶	99.4% ⁶	99.4%	99.3% ⁶	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	514	464	337	1318	521	467	339	1327
Writing	99.2% ⁶	99.8% ⁶	99.1%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	518	466	335	1322	522	467	338	1327
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	172	177	-	349	172	177	-	349
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	174	179	-	353	174	179	-	353
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.1%	98.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	151	151	-	-	154	154

⁶The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	507	72.39	55	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	503	74.35	60	,
Writing	2	4		Approaching	504	48.21	34	
Science	0	0		-	_	_	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	322	47	33	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	326	38	43	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	323	47	48	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	55	51	25	Yes
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	174	47	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	94	46	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	32	67	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	22	40	50	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	106	52	64	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	177	36	51	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	94	30	51	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	33	65	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	30	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	71	46	78	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	174	47	56	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	94	48	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	38	75	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	22	46	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	190	49	64	No
Total	26	60	43.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	441	70.07	47	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	441	61	79	
Writing	3	4		Meets	442	58.6	59	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	414	48	30	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	414	52	63	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	414	48	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	208	50	41	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	131	46	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	32	53	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	38	46	53	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	110	55	66	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	211	55	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	131	55	75	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	32	49	96	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	38	57	81	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	151	61	91	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	209	49	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	132	49	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	32	53	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	39	48	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	164	54	77	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	320	71.56	50	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	321	34.89	57	
Writing	3	4		Meets	320	55.63	67	-
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	303	52	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	304	49	91	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	303	53	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets	0			
Total			00.770	Wicco				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	124	50	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	86	52	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4	-	Approaching	34	51	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	27	56	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	79	58	82	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	130	52	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	86	53	98	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	31	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	42	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	173	 51	99	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	1570	Meets	124	58	75	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	86	55	75	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	34	58	99	No
English Learners	3	4	-	Meets	27	60	80	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	121	56	89	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets	121			.,,0
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating			Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4	.v. onnes	Meets	17	/6/ <i>166</i> /196/194	77.8/ <i>81.3</i> /81.1/76.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	3	58.3%	Approaching		5, 1, 50, 15 i	77.0,07.07.01.1770.070	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	<u>J</u>	30.370	Approaching		84/ <i>80</i> /79/88	70.2/ <i>71.3</i> /70.9/68.2%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1	-	Meets		39/50/ <i>36</i> /38	76.9/76/ <i>80.6</i> /68.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Approaching		19/22/ 31 /23	47.4/59.1/ <i>67.7</i> /56.5%	80%
English Learners	0.3	0		- Approaching		/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	111-10/	1148	1.2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		148	20	20.0
			71 70			170	20	20.0
Total	10.75	15	71.7%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	71.3	73.3	76	76.8
Anticipated Year	2011	75.5	80.8	81.1	
of Graduation	2012	76.3	81.3		
	2013	77.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	59.3	63.3	66.7	68.2
Anticipated Year	2011	65.8	70.9	70.9	
of Graduation	2012	64.4	71.3		
	2013	70.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	57.1	59.1	66.7	68.4
Anticipated Year	2011	71.8	80.6	80.6	
of Graduation	2012	69.8	76		
	2013	76.9			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.5	50	56.5	56.5
Anticipated Year	2011	69	70	67.7	
of Graduation	2012	54.5	59.1		
	2013	47.4			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	71.3	73.3	76	76.8
Anticipated Year	2011	75.5	80.8	81.1	
of Graduation	2012	76.3	81.3		
	2013	77.8			
	Aggregated	75.1	78.3	78.6	76.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	59.3	63.3	66.7	68.2
Anticipated Year	2011	65.8	70.9	70.9	
of Graduation	2012	64.4	71.3		
	2013	70.2			
	Aggregated	64.8	68.3	68.6	68.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	57.1	59.1	66.7	68.4
Anticipated Year	2011	71.8	80.6	80.6	
of Graduation	2012	69.8	76		
	2013	76.9			
	Aggregated	68.8	71.5	73.3	68.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.5 50		56.5	56.5
Anticipated Year 2011		69	70	67.7	
of Graduation	raduation 2012		59.1		
	2013	47.4			
	Aggregated	55.4	60.8	63	56.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	of Graduation 2012		N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	81.3	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring pa	roficient or advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districtions	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the second	ne 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).				1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's a	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds		4			
	at or above the state average but below 22	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description								
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined							
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's							
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have							
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately							
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

6

DPF 2014 - 1828, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

				-									
			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
1	5th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
5	0th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
9	0th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1