District Performance Framework 2014

District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned	Test Pa
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%	
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	TOTAL
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%	² Distric
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%	³ Distric

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Finance⁴ Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Approaching	47.2%	(7.1 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	47.2%	(16.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.5%	(6.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	68.3%	(23.9 out of 35 points)	

Test Pa

Participation	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
AL.		54.3%	(54.3 out of 100 points)		
ricts may not be eligible for all possible	e points on an indicator due to ir	sufficient i	numbers of students. In these cas	ses, the points are	rem

Distri moved from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ **Meets Requirements**

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Students Tested Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	248	273	121	642	248	273	121	642
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	248	273	121	642	248	273	121	642
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	248	273	121	642	248	273	121	642
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	77	85	-	162	77	85	-	162
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	83	95	-	178	83	95	-	178
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.1%	98.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	51	51	-	-	52	52



Level: EN

(All - 1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	235	54.89	7	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	235	62.55	26	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	236	40.68	17	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	146	21	32	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	144	24	45	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	146	25	44	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
		-			Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	19	34	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	110	20	32	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	27	57	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	37	65	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	101	20	47	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	109	23	47	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	29	56	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	36	83	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	24	46	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	110	23	44	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	21	75	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	80	22	58	No
Total	12	48	25%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	· ·
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	258	55.81	10	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	259	37.84	20	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	258	43.41	17	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	246	40	40	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	243	39	74	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	246	41	53	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	-9.0/0	Approaching	184	42	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	210	42	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	210	32	89	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4	I 11	Approaching	101	42	66	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	181	40	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	207	40	74	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4	1	Does Not Meet	24	29	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	131	43	94	No
Writing	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	184	37	57	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	210	39	53	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	29	94	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	136	41	76	No
Total	19	48	39.6%	Approaching			-	-

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	107	70.09	46	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	110	19.09	17	
Writing	3	4		Meets	107	59.81	78	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	102	54	17	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	102	52	99	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	102	68	58	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
And an in Crowth Come	Deinte Ferned	Deinte Flinikle	N Deinte	Dating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	65	55	24	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	81	53	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	37	60	76	No
Mathematics	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	64	62	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	81	55	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	75	48	99	No
Writing	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	65	62	60	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	81	68	60	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	51	70	89	No
Total	29	36	80.6%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	88	8/ <i>116</i> /109/119	83/ <i>88.8</i> /80.7/79%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.25	3	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		65/ 78 /71/74	80/ 84.6 /76.1/70.3%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		57/ 73 /73/87	82.5/ <i>91.8</i> /79.5/78.2%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching	N<1	6/N<16/ <i>17</i> /N<16	-/-/ 76.5 /-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		630	1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		51	16.9	20.0
Total	10.25	15	68.3%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate **Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	74.4	79	79	79
Anticipated Year	2011	77.1	80.7	80.7	
of Graduation	2012	88.1	88.8		
	2013	83			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	74.4	79	79	79
Inticipated Year	2011	77.1	80.7	80.7	
of Graduation	2012	88.1	88.8		
	2013	83			
	Aggregated	80.6	82.8	79.8	79

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	64.8	71.2	70.3	70.3
Anticipated Year	2011	72.7	76.1	76.1	
of Graduation	2012	83.5	84.6		
	2013	80			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	64.8	71.2	70.3	70.3
Anticipated Year	2011	72.7	76.1	76.1	
of Graduation	2012	83.5	84.6		
	2013	80			
	Aggregated	75.4	77.5	73.1	70.3

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	70.1	77.3	78.2	78.2
Anticipated Year	2011	74	79.5	79.5	
of Graduation	2012	90.5	91.8		
	2013	82.5			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	70.6	76.5	76.5	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

ar	7-year	6-year	5-year	4-year		
6	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	2010	
		N<16	N<16	N<16	2011	Anticipated Year
			N<16	N<16	2012	of Graduation
				N<16	2013	
-			N<19			of Graduation

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	70.1	77.3	78.2	78.2
Anticipated Year	2011	74	79.5	79.5	
of Graduation	2012	90.5	91.8		
	2013	82.5			
	Aggregated	78.7	82.5	78.8	78.2

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	70.6	76.5	76.5	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	75.6	74.4	77.8	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value ng: 2013 duation 010 7n the districts among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

	on the highest value among the following
	4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year grad
	rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 20
ar	year graduation rate (the shaded cells in
2	tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, o
	earn points based on the highest value a
	the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 20

Scoring Guide

Performance Indicator	erformance Indicators on the District Pe Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points	Framework
	-				C.4.D.	per EMH Level	Points
A	The district's percentage of students scoring profice				CAP	10	
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districts (us		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	· · ·	th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2		Does Not Meet	TCAD			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
		e: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:			Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			1		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	tor below the state average but above 1% (using the state average but average but above 1\% (using the state average but above 1\% (using the s	g 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	tor below 10% but above the state average (usi	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's avera	ge Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	tor above the state average but below 22 (usin		Meets		3		
	tor above 17 but below the state average (usin	g 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	 at or above 64% - below 80% 	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	 at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% 	Approaching	Framework	 at or above 52% - below 64% 	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - below 52% 	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	iments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1