## District Performance Framework 2014

### District: FALCON 49 - 1110

# Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation <sup>3</sup>
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%	
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	TOTAL
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be e
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%	eligible, so scores are r

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>				
Academic Achievement	Meets	69.4%	( 10.4 out of 15 points )			
Academic Growth	Meets	65.5%	( 22.9 out of 35 points )			
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	53.9%	(8.1 out of 15 points)			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	82.8%	( 29.0 out of 35 points )			

)%	•			
)%	TOTAL	70.4%	( 70.4 out of 100 points )	
%				
. /0	<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insuff	icient number	s of students. In these cases, the po	pints are removed from the points
%	eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.			

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

# <sup>□</sup> Finance<sup>4</sup>

**Meets Requirements** 

**Meets 95% Participation Rate** 

Safety⁴

# Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.6%	98.0%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10974	10316	6542	27832	11003	10361	6678	28042
Mathematics	99.6%	99.6%	98.7%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10959	10319	6593	27871	11000	10357	6682	28039
Writing	99.5%	99.5%	98.0%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10950	10311	6545	27806	11004	10362	6678	28044
Science	99.7%	99.5%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	3576	3386	-	6962	3586	3402	-	6988
Social Studies	100.0%	99.7%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1297	1199	-	2496	1297	1203	-	2500
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3025	3025	-	-	3064	3064



Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: FALCON 49 - 1110								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	10475	76.54	68	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	10454	75.58	69	
Writing	3	4		Meets	10438	58.33	61	
Science	0	0		-	_	_	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	6433	47	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6429	46	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	6414	48	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	184	55	27	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Cuthamarun	Cub mount Modion	Cub mount Madian Adamusta	Mada Adamuat
And the County County	Delinte Franced	Deinte Elisible	N Delinte	Detter	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<u> </u>	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1145	45	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2226	49	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	668	37	68	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	328	52	39	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1463	51	62	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1141	48	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2226	46	50	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	672	36	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	326	50	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1373	50	77	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1144	46	46	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2211	47	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	670	42	74	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	327	54	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2655	50	60	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: FALCON 49 - 1110								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	9836	74.48	67	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	9840	57.56	74	
Writing	3	4		Meets	9833	63.98	73	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	9091	50	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9100	46	63	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	9082	51	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	64	59	60	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
		-			Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1573	47	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3278	50	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	872	47	73	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	396	55	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2200	52	63	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1571	42	71	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3279	46	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	875	40	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	395	48	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3256	47	90	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1575	44	50	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3270	50	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	874	44	84	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	397	51	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3190	52	75	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: FALCON 49 - 1110								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	6217	69.33	41	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6263	31.18	52	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	6221	49.01	49	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5659	48	16	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5713	41	89	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5677	44	47	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	132	39	42	No
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching			<i>Giowani creentale</i>	0,0111.
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00/0		1070	46	37	Yes
•				Meets				
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2187	47	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities		•		Approaching	474	42	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	290	53	60	No
Students needing to catch up	7	4	254	Approaching	1737	48	74	No
Mathematics		20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	1074	37	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	2214	39	96	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	479	45	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	291	35	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3190	42	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1077	41	77	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2195	45	59	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	475	46	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	291	51	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2462	45	91	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	361	6/2585/1655/ <b>802</b>	87.7/90.9/90.9/ <b>91.4</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3.25	4	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	86	52/ <i>609</i> /378/185	80.3/ <i>85.9</i> /84.7/85.9%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	12	25/859/547/ <b>270</b>	85.5/90/89.9/ <i><b>90.7</b>%</i>	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	3	25/235/161/ <b>88</b>	66.5/74.5/80.7/ <b>87.5</b> %	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		83/ <i>55</i> /39/21	81.9/ <b><i>89.1</i></b> /82.1/81%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		22334	0.8%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		3025	19	20.1
Total	13.25	16	82.8%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

### **Graduation Rates**

#### Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

#### This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	83.4	88.9	90.9	91.4
Anticipated Year	2011	87	89.8	91	
of Graduation	2012	89.6	<i>93.7</i>		
	2013	89.9			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	83.4	88.9	90.9	91.4
Anticipated Year	2011	87	89.8	91	
of Graduation	2012	89.6	93.7		
	2013	89.9			
	Aggregated	87.7	90.9	90.9	91.4

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	74.5	84	84.4	85.9
Anticipated Year	2011	79.8	81.7	84.9	
of Graduation	2012	82.6	<i>90.2</i>		
	2013	82			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	82.1	87.7	91.1	90.7
Anticipated Year	2011	83	87.8	88.8	
of Graduation	2012	88	<i>93.9</i>		
	2013	87.8			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	67	78.4	83.3	87.5
Anticipated Year	2011	70.8	69	77.5	
of Graduation	2012	64.1	75		
	2013	64.9			

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	76.2	81
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	83.3	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	78.3	100		
	2013	93.9			
L					

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	74.5	84	84.4	85.9
Anticipated Year	2011	79.8	81.7	84.9	
of Graduation	2012	82.6	90.2		
	2013	82			
	Aggregated	80.3	85.9	84.7	85.9

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	82.1	87.7	91.1	90.7
Anticipated Year	2011	83	87.8	88.8	
of Graduation	2012	88	93.9		
	2013	87.8			
	Aggregated	85.5	90	89.9	90.7

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	67	78.4	83.3	87.5
Anticipated Year	2011	70.8	69	77.5	
of Graduation	2012	64.1	75		
	2013	64.9			
	Aggregated	66.5	74.5	80.7	87.5

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	76.2	81
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	83.3	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	78.3	100		
	2013	93.9			
	Aggregated	81.9	<i>89.1</i>	82.1	81

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

**Scoring Guide** 

Performance Indicator	erformance Indicators on the Distric		Pating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per	Framework
Periormance mulcator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		EMH Level	Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring p	roficient or advanced was:		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all distric	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above t</li> </ul>	he 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above t</li> </ul>	he 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		60	
Growth Gaps	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduatio	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			-		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state averag	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds		4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22	Meets		3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Point	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	<ul> <li>at or above 64% - below 80%</li> </ul>	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 52% - below 64%</li> </ul>	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

							1					
		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1