ECVCI. LIVII

District: SIERRA GRANDE R-30 - 0740

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	47.2%	(7.1 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	52.8%	(18.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.0%	(8.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	78.6%	(27.5 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

() ()	TOTAL	61.2%	(61.2 out of 100 points)	
---	-------	-------	----------------------------	--

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance 4	Meets Requirements
Safety ⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	97.5% ⁶	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	66	48	39	154	66	48	40	154
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	66	48	40	154	66	48	40	154
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	97.5% ⁶	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	66	48	39	154	66	48	40	154
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	29	20	-	49	29	20	-	49
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	18	19	-	37	18	19	-	37
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	17	-	-	17	17

⁶The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: SIERRA GRANDE R-30 - 0	740							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	61	60.66	18	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	61	72.13	53	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	61	47.54	32	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	39	46	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	43	48	52	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	29	53	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	•	Does Not Meet	40	39	47	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	39	47	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	24	42	76	No
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	40	49	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	50	54	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	40	29	54	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	27	54	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	29	72	No
				_				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: SIERRA GRANDE R-30 - 0	740							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	48	64.58	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	48	45.83	39	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	39.58	11	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	45	60	45	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	45	45	69	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	45	50	67	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	39	65	46	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	41	60	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	25	60	66	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	39	45	66	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	41	45	69	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	23	48	96	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	39	49	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	41	52	67	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	33	52	77	No
Total	23	36	63.9%	Meets				

Points Earned 1 2	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		,		(1 Year)
1 2		% Points	Pating				
			Naurig	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
	7		Does Not Meet	38	47.37	6	
	4	'	Approaching	38	21.05	22	
2	4		Approaching	38	47.37	46	
0	0		-	-	-	-	
5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
2	4		Approaching	35	49	55	No
3	4	1	Meets	36	65	98	No
2	4		Approaching	35	54	81	No
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
5					,		
	-	02.070		29	48	55	No
							Yes
			-		-	-	-
0	0		_		_	-	_
0	0		_		_	-	_
-	-	66.7%	Meets	1, = 1			
		00.770		30	58	98	No
							No
		-	-		-	-	-
			_		_	-	_
			Approaching		48	99	No
7		58.3%	- 11	_:			
3		50.5%		29	58	 81	No
							No
0	0		-		-	- -	
			_		_	-	_
	4		Approaching		53	95	No
20	32	62.5%					
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
							80%
		100%					
<u>-</u> 1	1			16/	/16/N<16/N<16	<i>100</i> /100/-/-%	80%
1	1						80%
0	0		-				80%
0	0	1	_				80%
	4		Meets		-		3.6%
2	4				17		20.0
		78.6%				. .	
	2 3 2 0 7 Points Earned 5 2 3 0 0 0 0 8 3 3 0 0 0 2 7 3 2 0 0 0 2 7 3 2 0 0 0 2 1 1 1 0 0 0 3	2	2	2 4 Approaching 3 4 Meets 2 4 Approaching 0 0 - 7 12 58.3% Approaching 5 8 62.5% Meets 2 4 Approaching 3 4 Meets 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 2 4 Approaching 7 12 58.3% Approaching 3 4 Approaching 0 0 - 2 4 Approaching 20 32	2	2 4 Approaching 35 49 3 4 Meets 36 65 2 4 Approaching 35 54 0 0 - N<20	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Percentile 2 4 Approaching 35 49 55 3 4 Meets 36 655 98 0 0 - N<20

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	83.3	94.4	94.4	94.4
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	90.5	100		
	2013	100			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	87.5	100		
	2013	100			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	93.8	100		
	2013	100			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	uation 2012		N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	83.3	94.4	94.4	94.4
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	90.5	100		
	2013	100			
	Aggregated	89.2	94.4	90.9	94.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	87.5	100		
	2013	100			
	Aggregated	86.4	93	88.9	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	93.8	100		
	2013	100			
	Aggregated	92.1	95.3	92.6	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	83.3	87.5	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring pa	roficient or advanced was:		TCAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districtions	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	11	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's a	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets		Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined									
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's									
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have									
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately									
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

6

DPF 2014 - 0740, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

i ci cciic oi staaciits		10 01 / tar	ancea D	,	cite out		J J Ca. a	<u> </u>	(2000 .	o basen		
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1