Level. Livir

District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 - 0580

(All - 1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

# **Accredited with Improvement Plan**

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	30.6%	( 4.6 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	47.2%	( 16.5 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.8%	( 6.9 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	85.7%	( 30.0 out of 35 points )	

Test Participation <sup>3</sup> Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL 58.0% ( 58.0 out of 100 points )
--

<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance 4 Meets Requirements

Safety<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Ra	ates															
% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	93.3%	98.3%	Meets	Meets	Does Not Meet	Meets	40	48	28	116	40	48	30	118
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	96.7%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	40	48	29	117	40	48	30	118
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	96.7%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	40	48	29	117	40	48	30	118
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	11	15	-	26	11	15	-	26
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	15	14	-	29	15	14	-	29
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	19	-	-	19	19

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 -	- 0580							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	39	53.85	7	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	39	58.97	16	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	34.21	6	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	25	32	30	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	30	48	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	25	43	44	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	,	-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	_
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	32	34	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	28	48	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	28	49	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	23	43	45	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 -	0580							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	53.19	9	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	29.79	10	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	38.3	9	
Science	0	0		_	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	36	41	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	45	41	83	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	36	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	•	Does Not Meet	30	34	51	No
Minority Students	1	4	-	Does Not Meet	42	34	41	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	30	40	86	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	42	41	82	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	<del>-</del>
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	31	41	94	No
Writing	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	34	69	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	36	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	26	43	80	No
Total	12	32	37.5%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: SOUTH CONEJOS RE-10 - 058	80							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	50	7	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	6.9	2	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	29	41.38	31	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011165	Meets	26	53	44	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	27	43	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	27	58	68	No
	0	0		ivieets	N<20		66	INO
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)			CC 70	Masta	11\<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	26	53	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	_
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching	= -			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	20	44	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	27	43	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	25	44	99	No
Writing	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds	_			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	07.570	Exceeds	20	63	63	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	27	58	68	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20			
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20	_		
Total	16	24	66.7%	Meets	11, 20			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating			Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	N I OIIIG	Exceeds	N	<16/ <b>20</b> /25/35	-/ <b>95</b> /92/94.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds	IN	~10/ <b>20</b> /23/33	-1 <b>33</b> 1 321 34.3 %	00%
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1	100%	Exceeds	N	<16/16/18/ <b>26</b>	-/93.8/88.9/ <b><i>96.2</i></b> %	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		<16/ <i>19</i> /23/32	-/ <i>94.7</i> /91.3/93.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		- Exceeds		<16/ <i>19</i> /23/32 /N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
	0	0	-	<u>-</u>		N<16/N<16/N<16 N<16/N<16	-/-/-% -/-/-%	80%
English Learners  Dropout Rate	4	4	-	Exceeds	11/10/	127	0%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4				19	17.2	20.0
·			OF 70	Approaching			17.2	20.0
Total	12	14	85.7%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

## **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	89.2	91.7	91.7	94.3
Anticipated Year	2011	88.5	92	92	
of Graduation	2012	81.8	95		
	2013	N<16			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	89.3	92.6	92.6	96.2
Anticipated Year	2011	84.2	88.9	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	77.8	93.8		
	2013	N<16			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	88.2	90.9	90.9	93.8
Anticipated Year	2011	87.5	91.3	91.3	
of Graduation	2012	85	94.7		
	2013	N<16			

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

## Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	89.2	91.7	91.7	94.3
Anticipated Year	2011	88.5	92	92	
of Graduation	2012	81.8	95		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	87.8	92.6	91.8	94.3

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	89.3	92.6	92.6	96.2
Anticipated Year	2011	84.2	88.9	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	77.8	93.8		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	85.5	91.8	91.1	96.2

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	88.2	90.9	90.9	93.8
Anticipated Year	2011	87.5	91.3	91.3	
of Graduation	2012	85	94.7		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	87.9	92	91.1	93.8

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring pa	roficient or advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districtions	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's a	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfo</b>	rmance Indicator	<b>Cut-Points</b>	pints for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this India		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined							
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's							
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have							
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately							
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

6

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math				Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1