District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (All - 3 Year')

# **Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan**

Will enter Year 4\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	27.8%	( 4.2 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	56.0%	( 19.6 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.7%	( 7.8 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	37.5%	( 13.1 out of 35 points )	

Test Participation<sup>3</sup>

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

TOTAL

Meets 95% Participation Rate

<b>'</b>		┙
,	<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the point	s

44.7%

( 44.7 out of 100 points )

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance⁴	Meets Requirements

Safety⁴	Meets Requirements
---------	--------------------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rat</b>	es															
		% of Stud	lents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	99.2%	98.3%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	26890	24100	14087	65077	27058	24300	14332	65690
Mathematics	99.3%	99.3%	98.2%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	26897	24137	14074	65108	27084	24301	14333	65718
Writing	99.4%	99.3%	98.3%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	26904	24128	14097	65129	27071	24305	14337	65713
Science	99.3%	98.7%	-	99.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	8717	7809	-	16526	8778	7914	-	16692
Social Studies	97.1%	99.1%	=	98.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	2950	2719	-	5669	3038	2744	-	5782
Colorado ACT	-	-	95.3%	95.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	6061	6061	-	-	6360	6360

<sup>\*</sup> on July 1, 2015

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J -	0180							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	25438	47.35	5	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	25422	48.56	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	25420	34.63	7	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	15750	47	48	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	15823	51	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	15747	47	57	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	6521	43	24	Yes
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	•	Approaching	11954	46	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	13035	47	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1505	34	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	8501	49	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	8318	48	71	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	12014	50	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	13095	51	68	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1513	37	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	8564	52	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	8007	49	83	No
Writing Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	11952	47	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	13026	47	60	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1506	36	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	8493	50	63	No
Engusii Learners	_	7		7 tppi odciniig	0.55	50	03	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	10586	48	70	No

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J -	0180							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	22824	48.26	7	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	22864	35.72	13	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	22849	40.29	13	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	21485	51	51	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	21551	48	82	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	21524	52	67	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	2358	54	56	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	15948	50	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	17877	50	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2174	50	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	11465	52	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	10815	51	75	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	16012	47	86	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	17929	48	85	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2201	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	11492	48	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	12576	49	96	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	15985	52	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	17912	52	71	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	2185	50	94	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	11479	55	73	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	12448	54	84	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 018	0							(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	13223	51.54	8	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	13227	21.65	26	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	13232	31.72	12	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	12367	55	46	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	12389	52	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	12387	52	84	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	 1.5	2		Meets	1633	49	34	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching	. 000	.5		. 55
10111	0.5		00.770	трргоденнів				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	8497	55	58	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	10099	55	55	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1169	49	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	6354	56	62	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	6334	55	88	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8523	52	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	10119	52	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1173	49	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	6365	53	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	8757	53	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3570	Approaching	8519	52	89	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	10116	52	88	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1181	49	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	6360	55	91	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	8132	53	96	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching	0132		30	140
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4	, o i o i i i o	Does Not Meet	10530	)/7931/ <i><b>5238</b></i> /2572	48.6/58.2/ <i>60.4</i> /60%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet	10330	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	70.0/ 30.2/ <b>00.7</b> / 00/0	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	<del>-</del>		Does Not Meet	7717	/5441/ <i>3<b>554</b></i> /1716	45.7/55.4/ <i>57.1</i> /56.4%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		/6329/ <i>4142</i> /2001	45.3/54.6/ <i>56.9</i> /56.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		7/766/503/ <b>240</b>	27.8/42/53.5/ <i>57.9</i> %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		3/3051/ <b>2058</b> /999	32.4/42.4/ <b>46.1</b> /44.9%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	3330	56861	5.6%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		6061		20.1
						GUUI		

## **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.5	56.1	58.8	60
Anticipated Year	2011	48.5	58.9	61.9	
of Graduation	2012	48	59.4		
	2013	52.6			

## Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	41.6	53.1	54.8	56.4
Anticipated Year	2011	47.3	55.8	59.2	
of Graduation	2012	45	56.7		
	2013	48.5			

## Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	41.7	52.5	55.1	56.3
Anticipated Year	2011	45.2	55.4	<i>58.7</i>	
of Graduation	2012	44.1	55.7		
	2013	50.2			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	25.4	39.5	52.7	57.9
Anticipated Year	2011	31.1	43	54.2	
of Graduation	2012	27.9	43.2		
	2013	26.9			

## **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	30.2	40.4	43.5	44.9
Anticipated Year	2011	31.2	43.3	48.5	
of Graduation	2012	29.2	43.3		
	2013	39.5			

## Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.5	56.1	58.8	60
Anticipated Year	2011	48.5	58.9	61.9	
of Graduation	2012	48	59.4		
	2013	52.6			
	Aggregated	48.6	58.2	60.4	60

## Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	41.6	53.1	54.8	56.4
Anticipated Year	2011	47.3	55.8	59.2	
of Graduation	2012	45	56.7		
	2013	48.5			
	Aggregated	45.7	55.4	57.1	56.4

## Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	41.7	41.7 52.5		56.3
Anticipated Year	2011	45.2	55.4	4 58.7	
of Graduation	2012	44.1	55.7		
	2013	50.2			
	Aggregated	45.3	54.6	56.9	56.3

## Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	25.4	39.5	52.7	57.9
Anticipated Year	2011	31.1	43	54.2	
of Graduation	2012	27.9	43.2		
	2013	26.9			
	Aggregated	27.8	42	53.5	57.9

## English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	30.2	40.4	43.5	44.9
Anticipated Year	2011	31.2	43.3	48.5	
of Graduation	2012	29.2	43.3		
	2013	39.5			
	Aggregated	32.4	42.4	46.1	44.9

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring pro	oficient or advanced was:		Т	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districts	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the	e 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the	e 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (using the second content of the second	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30. • below 40. Does Not Meet 1   Made AGP Did Not Make AGP TCA   Academic • at or above 60. • at or above 70. Exceeds 4	0.5	proficiency)				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
Growth Gaps	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet			subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was	s:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			1		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's a	verage Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	at or above 22.		Exceeds		4	_	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (to a state average but below 22).	· ·	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (I	using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	<u> </u>	1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment					
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indica	tor.		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement		
	• below 37.5% Doe		Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement		
				• below 42%	Turnaround		

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

6

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	or ottation in the state of the					. •	2 Jan. agg. agate (2000 to paseume)						
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18	
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31	

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1