District: EXPEDITIONARY BOCES - 9130

Accredited with Distinction

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	77.8%	(11.7 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	68.3%	(10.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	88.5%	(31.0 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation³ **Meets 95% Participation Rate**

	1017/2	, 5.2,0	(75.2 out of 100 points)		Ш
1%					4
.,,	2	cc	6		
	² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to in	isufficient number	s of students. In these cases, the bo	lints are removed from the points	

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

(79.2 out of 100 points)

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.5%	100.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	222	377	150	749	223	379	150	752
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	224	379	151	754	224	379	151	754
Writing	99.6%	99.7%	100.0%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	223	378	150	751	224	379	150	753
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	76	118	-	194	76	118	-	194
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	25	51	-	76	25	51	-	76
Colorado ACT	=	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	68	68	-	-	68	68

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
District: EXPEDITIONARY BOCES -	9130							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	222	81.53	81	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	224	80.8	83	'
Writing	3	4		Meets	223	61.43	71	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	148	43	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	149	41	39	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	148	50	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	33	45	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	39	44	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	23	56	50	Yes
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	31	36	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	43	49	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	33	59	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	31	64	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	55	48	53	No
Total	16	32	50%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: EXPEDITIONARY BOCES -	· 9130							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	377	83.55	94	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	379	64.91	89	
Writing	3	4		Meets	378	69.58	87	
Science	0	0		_	_	-	-	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Dainte Farnad	Dointe Flieible	W Doints	Dating	. Al	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POITILS	Rating	N		Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	357	53	22	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	360 358	55 54	62	No
Writing		0		Meets			43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0		750/	-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	85	48	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	52	53	54	No
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	59	60	63	No
Mathematics	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	85	55	66	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	53	87	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	120	58	88	No
Writing	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	85	51	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	54	61	82	No
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	115	60	73	No
Total	25	36	69.4%	Meets				

							Level: High
)							(3 Year)
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
3	4		Meets	150	80	81	
3	4	1	Meets	151	33.77	60	
3	4		Meets	150	60.67	81	
0	0		-	-	_	-	
9	12	75%	Meets				
						Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	The state of the s	Growth?
	4	70 1 0 11 10					Yes
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						No
							Yes
			-		-	-	-
		01 79	Evenode	14 - 20	-	_	
- 11	12	31.776	Exceeds				
				Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
10	12	83.3%	Meets				
0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
3	4		Meets	37	52	9	Yes
3	4		Meets	25	55	68	No
0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
4	4		Exceeds	29	73	69	Yes
10	12	83.3%	Meets				
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
3	4	1	Meets	37	60	89	No
3	4	II.	Meets	25	66	99	No
0	0	,	-	N<20	-	-	_
4	4	1	Exceeds	83	71	99	No
10	12	83.3%	Meets				
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
4	4		Exceeds		66	35	Yes
3	4			24		94	No
0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
3	4	0	Meets	51	67	89	No
30	36	83.3%	Meets				
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
		<i>20101116</i>					80%
	1	50%			J.,, 1/ J., 20	55.5, 55, 52.5, 55.7 /0	
	0	3070		N<16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
	1						80%
							80%
							80%
4	4		Exceeds	14 > 1	648	0%	3.9%
			LACCEU3		UTU	U /0	J.J/0
3	4		Meets		68	21.3	20.1
	Points Earned 3 3 3 0 9 Points Earned 4 3 4 0 11 Points Earned 10 0 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 0 4 10 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 3 3 3 0 4 10 0 0 4 3 3 0 4 3 0 3 0 3 0 3	Points Earned Points Eligible 3 4 3 4 0 0 9 12 Points Earned Points Eligible 4 4 0 0 11 12 Points Earned Points Eligible 10 12 0 0 3 4 0 0 3 4 10 12 0 0 3 4 0 0 3 4 10 12 0 0 4 4 10 12 0 0 4 4 0 0 3 4 0 0 4 4 0 0 3 4 4 4 0 0 <	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 3 4 0 0 9 12 75% Points Eligible % Points 4 4 4 0 0 0 11 12 91.7% Points Eligible % Points 10 12 83.3% 0 0 4 3 4 4 10 12 83.3% 0 0 4 10 12 83.3% 0 0 4 10 12 83.3% 0 0 4 4 4 4 10 12 83.3% 0 0 4 4 4 4 0 0 4 4 4 4 0 0 4 4 <td>Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 0 0 - 9 12 75% Meets Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 4 4 Exceeds 3 4 Meets 4 4 Exceeds 0 0 - 11 12 91.7% Exceeds Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 10 12 83.3% Meets 0 0 - - 3 4 Meets 0 0 - - 4 4 Exceeds 10 12 83.3% Meets 0 0 - - 4 4 Exceeds 10 12 83.3% Meets 0</td> <td> Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N </td> <td> Points Earmed Points Eligible % Points Rating N</td> <td> Points Earned</td>	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 0 0 - 9 12 75% Meets Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 4 4 Exceeds 3 4 Meets 4 4 Exceeds 0 0 - 11 12 91.7% Exceeds Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 10 12 83.3% Meets 0 0 - - 3 4 Meets 0 0 - - 4 4 Exceeds 10 12 83.3% Meets 0 0 - - 4 4 Exceeds 10 12 83.3% Meets 0	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N	Points Earmed Points Eligible % Points Rating N	Points Earned

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	95.7	95.7	95.7	95.7
Anticipated Year	2011	72.4	86.2	89.7	
of Graduation	2012	95	100		
	2013	88			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	95.7	95.7	95.7	95.7
Anticipated Year	2011	72.4	86.2	89.7	
of Graduation	2012	95	100		
	2013	88			
	Aggregated	86.6	93	92.3	95.7

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year	
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16 N<16 N<16			
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16			
	2013	N<16				
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2011	N<16	N<16 N<16		
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	73.7	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	16 (4 for each content area) 14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency) 60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring pro	oficient or advanced was:		TCAP eds			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districts	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the	e 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the	e 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (using the second content of the second	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
Academic Achievement Academic Bib Access Access Achievement Achieve	English language						
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	16 (4 for each content area) 14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency) 60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was	EXCEEDS 4 16 Exceeds 4 16 Meets 3				
	at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1	(4 for each content area) CESS 14 2 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency) 60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas) aggr. 1 7.75 0.5 2.5 16 (4 for each sub-	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	_	0.75		
			Approaching	2			
Academic Achievement Achieveme							
				1		-	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness			Meets			indicator)	
		(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
			Does Not Meet		1		
	·	verage Colorado ACT composite score was:					
					•	_	
	-	· ·					
	9 1	using 2009-10 baseline).	111 111 0				
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet	<u> </u>	1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator		Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indica	ntor.		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

ments	
Plan description	
The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
	Plan description The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

6

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1