District Performance Framework 2014

District: NORWOOD R-2J - 2840

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64% $\overline{2}$
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52% f
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned districts some control points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Meets	63.9%	(9.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	61.1%	(21.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(5.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation³

FOTAL 65.2% (65.2 out of 100 points) Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed

from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

e⁴

Meets Requirements

Meets 95% Participation Rate

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates	5															
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	58	54	45	157	58	54	45	157
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	58	54	45	157	58	54	45	157
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	58	54	45	157	58	54	45	157
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	16	20	-	36	16	20	-	36
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	22	21	-	43	22	21	-	43
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	25	25	-	-	25	25

Level: EMH

(All - 1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: Elementary
District: NORWOOD R-2J - 2840								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	54	83.33	87	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	54	75.93	66	
Writing	3	4		Meets	54	62.96	78	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	35	46	24	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	34	64	46	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	35	41	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: NORWOOD R-2J - 2840								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	54.9	10	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	51	39.22	23	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	39.22	10	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	28	34	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	50	40	73	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	33	51	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	24	39	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	34	75	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	27	41	87	No
Writing	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	33	56	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	35	77	No
Total	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: NORWOOD R-2J - 2840	Deinte Formed	Deinte Flizible	W Deinte	Dating	A/	W Drofisiont (Advanced	Districtle Descentile	(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINTS	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	38	86.84	92	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	38	47.37	84	
Writing	3	4		Meets	38	63.16	84	
Science	-	-	02.2%	-	-	-	-	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	36	52	12	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	35	66	86	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	36	56	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Cubarour	Cubaroun Median	Cubarour Modion Adoquato	Mada Adaguat
Acadamia Crowth Cana	Doints Formed	Dointe Flisible	0 Dointe	Dating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	22	51	99	No
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	N<	16/N<16/ <i>18</i> /N<16	-/-/ 83.3 /-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<16	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		121	0.8%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		25	21	20.0
	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate **Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	77.8	83.3	83.3	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

A

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
nticipated Year	2011	77.8	83.3	83.3	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	90	91.7	90.6	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

ar	7-year	6-year	5-year	4-year		
6	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	2010	
		N<16	N<16	N<16	2011	Anticipated Year
			N<16	N<16	2012	of Graduation
				N<16	2013	
-			N<19			of Graduation

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	82.1	84	84.2	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Pe	rformance Indicators on the District P	erformance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring prof	icient or advanced was:		ТСАР			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the	50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the	15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using	; 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	: 1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation R	ate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			1		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (us	sing 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's ave	erage Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	tor above 17 but below the state average (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	 at or above 64% - below 80% 	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	 at or above 52% - below 64% 	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - below 52% 	Priority Improvement
]	• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1