District Performance Framework 2014

District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation ³
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%	
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	TOTAL
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	² Districts may not be e
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%	eligible, so scores are i
		³ Districts do not receiv

of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary an Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	pints Earned out of Points Eligible ²				
Academic Achievement	Approaching	61.1%	(9.2 out of 15 points)				
Academic Growth	Meets	63.9%	(22.4 out of 35 points)				
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	67.6%	(10.1 out of 15 points)				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	82.1%	(28.7 out of 35 points)				

		Weed 55% Fulleputor Rate			
, , ,	TOTAL	70.4	%	(70.4 out of 100 points)	
	² Districts may not be eligible for all possible peligible, so scores are not negatively impacted		mbers	of students. In these cases, the po	pints are removed from the points

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

nd	Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
	 Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

Meets 95% Participation Rate

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rate	es															
	% of Students Tested						Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	179	122	120	421	180	122	120	422
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	99.2%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	179	122	119	420	179	122	120	421
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	179	122	120	421	179	122	120	421
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	48	59	-	107	48	59	-	107
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	11	24	-	35	11	24	-	35
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	49	49	-	-	49	49



Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	· ·
Reading	3	4		Meets	179	78.21	71	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	179	80.45	80	
Writing	3	4		Meets	179	62.57	73	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	128	51	23	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	129	58	45	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	128	54	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	68	47	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	38	50	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	24	57	59	No
Mathematics	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	69	58	52	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	39	63	57	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	25	72	79	No
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	68	52	45	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	38	63	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	- -	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	46	58	59	No
Total	30	36	83.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	122	67.21	42	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	122	47.54	44	
Writing	3	4		Meets	122	63.93	73	
Science	0	0		-	_	_	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	& Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	3		<i>7</i> 0 FUIIILS		119	53	27	
Reading Mathematics	3	4		Meets Does Not Meet	_	36	73	Yes
	3	4			<u> </u>	52	46	No
Writing English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		Meets	N<20	52		Yes
	7	-	E0.20	- A	N<20		-	-
Total	/	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	59	44	31	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	25	64	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	_	_	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	32	49	64	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	59	36	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	25	47	75	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		_	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	38	95	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	59	55	56	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	25	52	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	44	51	80	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: WILEY RE-13 JT - 2680								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	120	69.17	41	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	119	21.01	25	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	120	44.17	36	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	112	59	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	111	53	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	112	48	64	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	_
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	100/8	Exceeds	54	62	40	Yes
Minority Students	4 4	4			28	62	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Exceeds	2o N<20	-		-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-		
	-	4		- Eveneda				
Students needing to catch up	4		44 70/	Exceeds	41	/0	71	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	52	49	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	37	98	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0	0	-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	76	51	99	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	54	53	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	28	47	80	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	54	49	93	No
Total	23	36	63.9%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	6	5/40/ 24 /N<16	86.2/97.5/ 100 /-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	2	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	35/	/ 23 /N<16/N<16	82.9/ <i>95.7/-/-</i> %	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching	<i>20</i> /N	J<16/N<16/N<16	75 /-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4	18	Exceeds		405	0.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		49	18.2	20.1
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	62.5	<i>93.8</i>		
	2013	88			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
nticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	62.5	93.8		
	2013	88			
	Aggregated	86.2	97.5	100	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	82.9	<i>95.7</i>	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	75	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

Performance Indicator	erformance Indicators on the Distric		Pating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per	Framework
Periormance mulcator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		EMH Level	Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring p	roficient or advanced was:		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all distric	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at or above t 	he 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	 below the 50th percentile but at or above t 	he 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduatio	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			-		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state averag	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds		4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22	Meets		3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Point	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	 at or above 64% - below 80% 	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	 at or above 52% - below 64% 	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

							1						
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18	
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1