Level: EMH

District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540 (All - 3 Year

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.7%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	92.9%	(32.5 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL 69.1% (69.1 out of 100 points)

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	411	203	178	792	412	203	178	793
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	412	203	178	793	412	203	178	793
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	412	203	178	793	412	203	178	793
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	112	96	-	208	112	96	-	208
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	30	41	-	71	30	41	-	71
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	73	73	-	-	73	73

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	393	81.17	79	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	394	81.98	87	
Writing	3	4		Meets	394	68.27	83	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	288	37	23	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	288	38	40	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	288	42	34	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	167	35	27	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	67	32	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	41	79	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	58	47	49	No
Mathematics	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	167	37	42	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	39	43	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	26	87	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	49	54	77	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	167	41	36	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	67	40	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	36	81	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	91	42	59	No

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	197	72.08	59	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	197	50.25	53	,
Writing	3	4		Meets	197	58.88	58	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	188	37	24	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	188	32	63	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	187	33	41	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	79	36	32	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	41	43	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	34	50	67	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	79	41	68	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	31	68	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	59	43	92	No
Writing	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	34	49	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	21	51	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	61	42	78	No
Total	15	36	41.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(5 : 62.
Reading	3	4	70 1 0111125	Meets	173	76.3	67	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	173	40.46	77	1
Writing	3	4		Meets	173	57.23	69	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Ad-diam Ad-monto Consult	Adada Adaminto
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	70 1 011110	Meets	164	53	15	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	165	60	82	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	164	57	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20			
Total	9	12	75%	Meets	11 20			
	•		, 5,0	MCCG				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets		Oroman rerections	Orowan'i Creentine	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	03.3%	Meets	73	52	21	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	30	61	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Lxceeds	N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0			N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	45	 61		 No
Mathematics	9	12	75%		43	81	00	INU
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	/3/6	Meets	7.4	59	92	Ne
Minority Students	3	4		Meets Meets	74 30	59 	94	No No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		ivieets	N<20			INO
	0	0			N<20 N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	84	 64	 98	 No
Students needing to catch up			CC 70		04		96	INO
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets	72		40	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	73	58	49	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	30	49	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
English Learners	0	0		- M	N<20			- N-
Students needing to catch up Total	2 7	36	75%	Meets Meets	64	61	85	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	1000	Exceeds		112/ 92 /66/29	92/ 93.5 /90.9/82.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds		F2 / 40/20 /N +1 C	00.0100.5100.0101	000
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		53/ 40 /29/N<16	86.8/ <i>92.5</i> /86.2/-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		22/ 17 /16/N<16	95.5/ <i>100</i> /100/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0				6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0			N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		608	0.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4	00.00	Meets		73	20.2	20.1
Total	13	14	92.9%	Exceeds				

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	85.7	82.8	82.8	82.8
Anticipated Year	2011	94.6	97.3	97.3	
of Graduation	2012	100	100		
	2013	85.7			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	85.7	82.8	82.8	82.8
Anticipated Year	2011	94.6	97.3	97.3	
of Graduation	2012	100	100		
	2013	85.7			
	Aggregated	92	93.5	90.9	82.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	86.8	92.5	86.2	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	95.5	100	100	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring pro	oficient or advanced was:		Т	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districts	s (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the	e 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the	e 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (using	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		Т	CAP		
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's a	verage Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

6

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

i ci cciic oi staaciits	adents i rondicite of Atavancea B			y reference ear remains by ear aggregate (2000 to baseline)								
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1