## District Performance Framework 2014

## District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828

# Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64% $\frac{1}{2}$
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42% a

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary ar Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Meets	69.4%	( 10.4 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	59.2%	( 20.7 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.1%	(7.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	56.3%	(19.7 out of 35 points)	

ed %	Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
% %	TOTAL	58.5%	( 58.5 out of 100 points )	
	<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible po eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.		rs of students. In these cases, the p	oints are removed from the points

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

and	Finance <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements
	 Safetv <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rat</b>	es															
		% of Stude	nts Tested			Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.4% <sup>6</sup>	99.4%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1530	1422	1037	3994	1533	1431	1043	4007
Mathematics	99.3% <sup>6</sup>	99.0% <sup>6</sup>	99.6%	99.6% <sup>6</sup>	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1522	1417	1040	3989	1532	1431	1044	4007
Writing	99.3%	99.3% <sup>6</sup>	99.4%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1521	1421	1037	3987	1532	1431	1043	4006
Science	100.0%	99.6%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	492	520	-	1012	492	522	-	1014
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	174	179	-	353	174	179	-	353
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.8%	97.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	450	450	-	-	460	460

<sup>5</sup>The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).



Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1459	69.16	37	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1455	75.26	67	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1447	49	30	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	933	44	32	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	938	36	43	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	926	40	44	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	55	51	25	Yes
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	502	40	37	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	277	44	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	109	30	65	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	66	47	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	279	43	61	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	507	34	50	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	277	31	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	113	27	69	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	28	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	195	40	76	No
Writing	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	496	35	48	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	273	40	50	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	107	31	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	66	40	53	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	469	38	61	No
Total	23	60	38.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	1368	71.05	56	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1369	54.93	66	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1370	59.12	58	
Science	0	0		-	_	_	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1281	51	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1278	49	67	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1274	50	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Acadomic Crowth Cons	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Dating		Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps				Rating	N	Growin Percentile	Growin Fercentile	GIOWLII?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets		-		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	664	48	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	398	45	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	114	59	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	128	43	49	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	358	56	67	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	661	48	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	397	48	75	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	113	34	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	128	49	80	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	509	52	90	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	661	49	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	396	49	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	112	41	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	129	51	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	517	51	77	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: VALLEY RE-1 - 1828								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	999	73.87	57	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1002	36.43	66	
Writing	3	4		Meets	999	55.76	66	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	949	56	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	951	51	87	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	949	54	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
				¥	/v	Growin Percentile	Growin Fercentile	Growin
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets	200		22	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	386	57	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	286	57	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	106	49	94	No
English Learners	3	4	-	Meets	88	58	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	269	58	79	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	386	52	97	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	286	51	96	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	108	46	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	88	45	98	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	525	54	99	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	386	54	74	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	286	54	72	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	106	51	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	88	60	85	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	403	56	89	No
Total	36	60	60%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	75	5/566/ <i>392</i> /194	75.1/78.3/ <b>78.6</b> /76.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	3	41/249/ <i>169</i> /88	64.8/68.3/ <i>68.6</i> /68.2%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching	1	73/130/ <i><b>75</b>/38</i>	68.8/71.5/ <b>73.3</b> /68.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		92/74/ <b>54</b> /23	55.4/60.8/ <i>63</i> /56.5%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets	<i>16</i> /1	V<16/N<16/N<16	<i>81.3</i> /-/-/%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		3549	1.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		447	19.6	20.1
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

## **Graduation Rates**

### Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)** 

#### This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate **Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	71.3	73.3	76	76.8
Anticipated Year	2011	75.5	80.8	81.1	
of Graduation	2012	76.3	81.3		
	2013	77.8			

					_
		4-year	5-year	6-year	<b>7</b> .
	2010	71.3	73.3	76	
Anticipated Year	2011	75.5	80.8	81.1	
of Graduation	2012	76.3	81.3		
	2013	77.8			

vear 76.8

76.8

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	59.3	63.3	66.7	68.2
Anticipated Year	2011	65.8	70.9	70.9	
of Graduation	2012	64.4	71.3		
	2013	70.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	57.1	59.1	66.7	68.4
Anticipated Year	2011	71.8	80.6	80.6	
of Graduation	2012	69.8	76		
	2013	76.9			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.5	50	56.5	56.5
Anticipated Year	2011	69	70	67.7	
of Graduation	2012	54.5	59.1		
	2013	47.4			

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

20			5-year	6-year	7-year
20	010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year 20	)11	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation 20	)12	N<16	N<16		
20	)13	N<16			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	59.3	63.3	66.7	68.2
Anticipated Year	2011	65.8	70.9	70.9	
of Graduation	2012	64.4	71.3		
	2013	70.2			
	Aggregated	64.8	68.3	68.6	68.2

75.1

78.3

78.6

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	57.1	59.1	66.7	68.4
Anticipated Year	2011	71.8	80.6	80.6	
of Graduation	2012	69.8	76		
	2013	76.9			
	Aggregated	68.8	71.5	73.3	68.4

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.5	50	56.5	56.5
Anticipated Year	2011	69	70	67.7	
of Graduation	2012	54.5	59.1		
	2013	47.4			
	Aggregated	55.4	60.8	63	56.5

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	81.3	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

**Scoring Guide** 

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Frameworl Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring p	roficient or advanced was:		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all distric	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above t	he 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above t	he 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all districts (us	ow the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).					
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:		1	<b>1</b>		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4	4	
	• at or above the state average but below 22	· ·	Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	<ul> <li>at or above 64% - below 80%</li> </ul>	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 52% - below 64%</li> </ul>	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

				i			1						
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18	
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31	

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1