District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180

(All - 1 Year¹)

Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 4* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2015

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible'					
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	30.6%	(4.6 out of 15 points)				
Academic Growth	Approaching	53.6%	(18.8 out of 35 points)				
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	37.5%	(13.1 out of 35 points)				

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL	44.0%	(44.0 out of 100 points)		
-------	-------	----------------------------	--	--

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety ⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rat	es															
% of Students Tested					Participa	Participation Rating Students Tested				Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.2%	99.3%	98.2%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	9128	8277	4921	22326	9203	8337	5010	22550
Mathematics	99.2%	99.4%	98.1%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	9147	8285	4917	22349	9217	8339	5011	22567
Writing	99.3%	99.3%	98.4%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	9144	8280	4929	22353	9210	8339	5010	22559
Science	99.3%	98.4%	-	98.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	3046	2767	-	5813	3069	2813	-	5882
Social Studies	97.1%	99.1%	-	98.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	2950	2719	-	5669	3038	2744	-	5782
Colorado ACT	-	-	95.4%	95.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2073	2073	-	-	2174	2174

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J -	0180							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	8636	46.86	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	8640	48.5	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	8637	33.32	6	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
A andomia Crouth	Daints Farmed	Dointe Flisible	W Doints	Dating	A	Adadian Crowth Parcentile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POITIES	Rating	<u>N</u>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	5374	47	49	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5410	47	64	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5384	45	58	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2	=00/	Approaching	6521	43	24	Yes
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching		Oroman rerectione	orowan rerecentate	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	1070	Approaching	4125	46	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4454	47	53	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	547	35	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	2860	50	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2812	48	73	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4154	47	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4483	47	67	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	550	37	92	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	2885	48	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2597	47	85	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4135	45	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4461	45	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	550	35	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	2860	48	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3622	45	71	No
·	27	60						

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J -	0180							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	7805	46.29	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	7816	33.71	14	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	7807	38.49	9	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	7367	49	53	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	7383	46	83	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	7371	50	68	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	2358	54	56	No
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	5592	49	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6183	49	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	723	49	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	4049	50	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3701	49	75	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	5609	45	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6193	46	86	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	733	44	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	4053	46	87	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4442	45	96	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	5600	51	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6186	51	71	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	721	49	94	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	4052	53	73	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4363	53	83	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				

							Level: High
80							(1 Year
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
1	4		Does Not Meet	4619	50.79	7	
2	4		Approaching	4620	20.97	22	
2	4		Approaching	4626	33.57	15	
0	0		-	-	-	-	
5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Modian Crouth	Modian Adaquata Crouth	Made Adequate
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points	Rating	W			Growth?
		N I OIIIG					Yes
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
							No
	·		- 11				No
		CO 70/		1633	49	34	Yes
8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
				Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
13		65%					
	4			2987	54	58	No
	4		- 11				Yes
2	4						No
3	4						No
3	4		Meets	2166			No
10	20	50%	Approaching				
2	4		Approaching	2989	52	99	No
2	4		Approaching		52	99	No
2	4		Approaching	400	50	99	No
2	4			2168		99	No
2	4			3087	52	99	No
10	20	50%					
2	4			2996	50	85	No
	4						No
2	4					99	No
2	4	1		2171		87	No
2	4			2657	51	96	No
33	60	55%	Approaching				
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points	Rating		A/	Rate/Score	Expectation
1		201011113		2550			80%
1		25%		2330	11/2/30/ 200- 1/23/2	32.0/33. 4 / 01.3 /00%	00%
0.25	_			1905	5/2032/ <i>1829</i> /1716	48 5/56 7/ 59 2 /56 4%	80%
	1						80%
	1						80%
	1						80%
2	4		Approaching	323	19377	4.8%	3.6%
4	-				13377		
2	4		Approaching		2073	17.2	20.0
	Points Earned 1 2 2 0 5 Points Earned 3 2 2 1.5 8.5 Points Earned 13 2 3 2 3 3 10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Points Earned Points Eligible 1 4 2 4 0 0 5 12 Points Eligible 3 4 2 4 2 4 1.5 2 8.5 14 Points Eligible 13 20 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 1 4 2 4 0 0 5 12 41.7% Points Eligible % Points 3 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 60.7% Points Eligible % Points 13 20 65% 2 4 4 3 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4	Points EarnedPoints Eligible% PointsRating14Approaching24Approaching00-51241.7%Approaching34Meets24Approaching34Approaching24Approaching1.52Meets8.51460.7%Approaching132065%Meets24Approaching34Meets24Approaching34Meets24Approaching34Meets24Approaching34Meets102050%Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching24Approaching36055%Approaching4ApproachingApproaching36055%Approaching4ApproachingApproaching24Approaching36055%Approaching4Approaching24Ap	Points Earned	Points Earmed	Points Earned

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.5	56.1	58.8	60
Anticipated Year	2011	48.5	58.9	61.9	
of Graduation	2012	48	59.4		
	2013	52.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	41.6	53.1	54.8	56.4
Anticipated Year	2011	47.3	55.8	59.2	
of Graduation	2012	45	56.7		
	2013	48.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	41.7	52.5	55.1	56.3
Anticipated Year	2011	45.2	55.4	<i>58.7</i>	
of Graduation	2012	44.1	55.7		
	2013	50.2			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	25.4	39.5	52.7	57.9
Anticipated Year	2011	31.1	43	54.2	
of Graduation	2012	27.9	43.2		
	2013	26.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	30.2	40.4	43.5	44.9
Anticipated Year	2011	31.2	43.3	48.5	
of Graduation	2012	29.2	43.3		
	2013	39.5			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.5	56.1	58.8	60
Anticipated Year	2011	48.5	58.9	61.9	
of Graduation	tion 2012 4	48	59.4		
	2013	52.6			
	Aggregated	48.6	58.2	60.4	60

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	41.6	53.1	54.8	56.4
Anticipated Year	2011	47.3	55.8	59.2	
of Graduation	2012	45	56.7		
	2013	48.5			
	Aggregated	45.7	55.4	57.1	56.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	41.7	52.5	55.1	56.3
Anticipated Year	2011	45.2	55.4	58.7	
of Graduation	2012	44.1	55.7		
	2013	50.2			
	Aggregated	45.3	54.6	56.9	56.3

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	25.4	39.5	52.7	57.9
Anticipated Year	2011	31.1	43	54.2	
of Graduation	2012	27.9	43.2		
	2013	26.9			
	Aggregated	27.8	42	53.5	57.9

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	30.2	40.4	43.5	44.9
Anticipated Year	2011	31.2	43.3	48.5	
of Graduation	2012	29.2	43.3		
	2013	39.5			
	Aggregated	32.4	42.4	46.1	44.9

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring pa	roficient or advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all districtions	ts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the second control of the second control	ne 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all districts (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	n Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	11	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average	e (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's a	average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined								
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's								
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have								
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately								
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

6

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading Math		Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1