District Performance Framework 2014

District: ADAMS COUNTY 14 - 0030

Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan Will enter Year 5* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64% $\frac{1}{2}$
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Finance⁴ Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2015

				,
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	?
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	46.4%	(16.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	47.2%	(7.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	42.2%	(14.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Veets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL 41.9% (41.9 out of 100 points)

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Meets Requirements Safety⁴

Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.2%	100.0%	98.3%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1680	1581	963	4224	1694	1581	980	4255
Mathematics	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1684	1575	964	4223	1692	1577	979	4248
Writing	99.2%	100.0%	98.6%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1679	1581	966	4226	1693	1581	980	4254
Science	99.7%	98.7%	-	99.2%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	587	525	-	1112	589	532	-	1121
Social Studies	99.4%	99.2%	-	99.3%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	537	527	-	1064	540	531	-	1071
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.3%	96.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	364	364	-	-	378	378



Level: EN

(All - 1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: ADAMS COUNTY 14 - 00	30							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	· · ·
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	1553	45.4	2	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1556	44.41	2	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	1552	28.09	3	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	995	43	48	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1003	46	72	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	993	40	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	1399	31	29	Yes
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	-7J/0	Approaching	883	43	49	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	860	44	50	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	133	38	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	620	44	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	531	49	72	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	551		12	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	892	47	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	867	45	74	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	136	40	91	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	627	48	75	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	577	46	86	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching	511			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	-1370	Approaching	882	40	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	859	40	62	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	136	39	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	620	43	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	712	42	72	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching	/12	4 7	12	

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: ADAMS COUNTY 14 - 00	30							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	·
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	1448	44.68	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1443	32.64	13	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	1448	34.6	6	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1393	52	55	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1388	58	87	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1391	53	72	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	552	48	59	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
		-			Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1239	52	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1236	51	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	171	47	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	874	52	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	747	53	75	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1236	59	88	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1232	58	88	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	171	56	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	871	60	88	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	883	57	96	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1237	53	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1234	53	72	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	170	56	94	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	873	56	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	906	53	83	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: ADAMS COUNTY 14 - 0030								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	899	41.27	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	902	13.75	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	902	28.05	8	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	858	39	57	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	866	34	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	861	41	86	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	263	33	41	No
Total	4.5	14	32.1%	Does Not Meet				
Andomia Crowth Cone	Deinte Ferned	Dointe Fliziblo	<i>«</i> Dointe	Dating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growin Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	710	38	59	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	748	39	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	96	31	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	523	40	61	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	476	35	90	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	716	35	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	755	35	99	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	34	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	527	35	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	645	35	99	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	711	40	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	749	41	87	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	96	26	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	525	42	87	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	566	40	97	No
Total	20	60	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	52	4/525/504/ <i>500</i>	59.4/66.5/66.9/ 70.2 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	47	/0/473/440/ 413	60.9/70/68.2/ 72.9 %	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		54/449/417/ 416	60.8/66.1/66.9/ <i>69.7</i> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		67/ <i>59</i> /55/40	43.3/ <i>55.9</i> /50.9/50%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		58/173/185/ 188	48.2/56.1/65.9/ <i>69.7</i> %	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		3555	5%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		364	16	20.0
Total	6.75	16	42.2%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	57	66.9	70	70.2
Anticipated Year	2011	60.8	66.3	66.9	
of Graduation	2012	63.4	66.5		
	2013	59.4			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	57	66.9	70	70.2
Inticipated Year	2011	60.8	66.3	66.9	
of Graduation	2012	63.4	66.5		
	2013	59.4			
	Aggregated	60.1	66.6	68.4	70.2

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	66.3	71.4	72.1	72.9
Anticipated Year	2011	63.5	67.4	68.2	
of Graduation	2012	65.9	70		
	2013	60.9			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	66.3	71.4	72.1	72.9
Anticipated Year	2011	63.5	67.4	68.2	
of Graduation	2012	65.9	70		
	2013	60.9			
	Aggregated	64	69.5	70.1	72.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	54.4	65.5	69.1	<i>69.7</i>
Anticipated Year	2011	59.4	65.7	66.9	
of Graduation	2012	62.8	66.1		
	2013	60.8			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.7	48.6	50	50
Anticipated Year	2011	37.5	45.5	50.9	
of Graduation	2012	54.2	<i>55.9</i>		
	2013	43.3			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	49.4	61.5	67.6	<i>69.7</i>
Anticipated Year	2011	61	63.8	65.9	
of Graduation	2012	50.6	56.1		
	2013	48.2			
L					

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	54.4	65.5	69.1	69.7
Anticipated Year	2011	59.4	65.7	66.9	
of Graduation	2012	62.8	66.1		
	2013	60.8			
	Aggregated	59.3	65.8	68	<i>69.7</i>

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	45.7	48.6	50	50
Anticipated Year	2011	37.5	45.5	50.9	
of Graduation	2012	54.2	55.9		
	2013	43.3			
	Aggregated	45.5	50.3	50.5	50

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	49.4	61.5	67.6	69.7
Anticipated Year	2011	61	63.8	65.9	
of Graduation	2012	50.6	56.1		
	2013	48.2			
	Aggregated	52	60.5	66.8	69.7

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4- year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPF, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Pe	rformance Indicators on the District P	erformance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of students scoring prof		TCAP				
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the	50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the	15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using	; 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation R	ate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (us	sing 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's ave	erage Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	tor above 17 but below the state average (us	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	 at or above 64% - below 80% 	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	 at or above 52% - below 64% 	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - below 52% 	Priority Improvement
]	• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to remove the district's or Institute's
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	accreditation and direct the district's local school board or the Institute as to which actions it must take to have
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	accreditation reinstated. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1