Level: EMF

District: GREELEY 6 - 3120 (All - 1 Ye

# **Accredited with Improvement Plan**

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Desferment to disease.	Datin -	N of Dointo	Formed out of Bointo Flicible	,
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	47.9%	( 7.2 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	52.4%	( 18.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	46.7%	( 7.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	56.3%	( 19.7 out of 35 points )	

Test Participation <sup>3</sup> Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL	52.2% ( 52.2 out of 100 points )	
-------	----------------------------------	--

<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

% of Students Tested						Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.5%	98.4%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	4909	4144	2791	11844	4923	4165	2835	11923
Mathematics	99.7%	99.6%	98.4%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	4902	4150	2790	11842	4918	4165	2835	11918
Writing	99.7%	99.4%	98.3%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	4912	4140	2790	11842	4926	4165	2837	11928
Science	99.8%	98.9%	98.3%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1581	1386	1350	4317	1584	1402	1373	4359
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.3%	97.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1116	1116	-	-	1147	1147

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: GREELEY 6 - 3120								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	4668	59.75	16	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	4658	59.38	18	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	4667	41.8	19	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1502	32.29	17	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2981	44	38	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2987	44	55	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2982	43	49	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	1800	37	-	-
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2002	42	44	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1977	42	44	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	284	33	82	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1052	43	51	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1190	45	66	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2005	42	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1978	43	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	284	29	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1051	44	65	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1125	43	82	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2003	40	56	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1974	41	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	284	36	84	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1046	41	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1837	43	65	No
	27	60	45%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: GREELEY 6 - 3120								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	3945	55.77	10	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3952	39.35	23	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3943	44.41	19	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1322	35.1	26	
<b>Total</b>	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
		- · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~		.,		Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3727	43	38	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	3751	39	74	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3730	43	55	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	11	2		Approaching	566	38	-	-
Total	6	14	42.9%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2338	42	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2410	44	50	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	280	40	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1339	43	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1534	43	71	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	2357	39	86	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	2425	39	85	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	294	32	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	1348	39	87	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2051	41	95	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2335	42	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2409	42	67	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	281	39	94	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1336	43	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1972	43	80	No

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: GREELEY 6 - 3120								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2611	58.02	18	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2607	21.29	22	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2610	40.31	29	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1258	35.61	24	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2468	50	31	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2469	56	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2475	48	70	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	571	48	-	-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
10141		•••	0 11570	111000				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1409	49	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1516	49	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	203	51	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	878	49	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1109	50	86	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1411	55	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1518	54	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	204	51	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	883	54	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1808	57	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1415	46	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1523	46	87	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	205	42	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	885	46	92	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1453	47	95	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	121	<b>4</b> /1403/1357/1364	<b>78.8</b> /78.7/75/71.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	4	50%	Approaching	151	# 1 133/ 133// 130 <del>7</del>	70.0, 70.7, 73/71.3/0	3070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	7	94/ <i><b>825</b></i> /816/806	71.9/ <i><b>72.1</b></i> /70.1/66.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		<b>747</b> /847/698/688	<b>74.8</b> /73/68.9/61.6%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		48/ <i>147</i> /147/137	62.8/ <i>66</i> /61.9/60.6%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		<b>201</b> /230/187/170	<b>74.1</b> /69.6/64.7/60%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		9262	2.7%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		1116	17.8	20.0
•			EC 20			1110	17.0	20.0
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				

Graduation Rates Level: High

## **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	65.3	68.2	70.9	71.3
Anticipated Year	2010	64.2	72.2	75	
of Graduation	2011	71.8	78.7		
	2012	78.8			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	58.2	63.6	67.2	66.6
Anticipated Year	2010	57.2	67.9	70.1	
of Graduation	2011	62.8	72.1		
	2012	71.9			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.9	57.4	61.2	61.6
Anticipated Year	2010	54	65.2	68.9	
of Graduation	2011	63.7	73		
	2012	74.8			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	45.6	52.9	61.1	60.6
Anticipated Year	2010	43.6	58.1	61.9	
of Graduation	2011	54.7	66		
	2012	62.8			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	50.3	56.3	60.3	60
Anticipated Year	2010	47.9	64.5	64.7	
of Graduation	2011	57.8	69.6		
	2012	74.1			

#### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year	
	2009	65.3 68.2 70.9		70.9	71.3	
Anticipated Year	2010	64.2	64.2 72.2 75			
of Graduation	2011	71.8	78.7			
	2012	78.8				
	Aggregated	70	73.1	73	71.3	

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	58.2	63.6	67.2	66.6
Anticipated Year	2010	57.2	67.9	70.1	
of Graduation	2011	62.8	72.1		
	2012	71.9			
	Aggregated	62.6	68	68.7	66.6

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.9	57.4	61.2	61.6
Anticipated Year	2010	54	65.2	68.9	
of Graduation	2011	63.7	73		
	2012	74.8			
	Aggregated	61.8	<i>65.7</i>	65.1	61.6

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	45.6	52.9 61.1		60.6
Anticipated Year	2010	43.6	43.6 58.1 61.9		
of Graduation	2011	54.7	66		
	2012	62.8			
	Aggregated	51.9	59.2	61.5	60.6

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	50.3	56.3	60.3	60
Anticipated Year	2010	47.9	64.5	64.7	
of Graduation	2011	57.8	69.6		
	2012	74.1			
	Aggregated	58.5	64.3	62.7	60

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	5:		T	CAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of al	l districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate	ed Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.			
	graduation rate was:				Overall	Бізаббі.			
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	at or above 80% but below 90%	•		Meets	3	0.75			
	at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	out rate was:					16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
<b>Workforce Readiness</b>	at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Approaching		2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T								
	• at or above 22.					4			
		ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3			
	at or above 17 but below the st	tate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfo</b>	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined									
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.									
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which									
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.										

6

DPF 2013 - 3120, 1-Year

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Distr	icts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th perce	ntile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th perce	ntile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th perce	ntile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1