District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700

(All - 1 Year¹)

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	2		
Academic Achievement	Meets	70.8%	(10.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	59.5%	(20.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.6%	(6.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	60.9%	(21.3 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL 59.5% (59.5 out of 100 points)	
--	--

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety ⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rate	es															
		% of Stude	ents Tested		Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.8%	99.5%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1974	2335	1330	5639	1978	2340	1337	5655
Mathematics	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1976	2337	1331	5644	1978	2339	1337	5654
Writing	99.9%	99.8% ⁶	99.5%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1978	2335	1330	5644	1980	2340	1337	5657
Science	100.0%	99.6% ⁶	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	656	778	630	2066	656	781	630	2067
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	587	587	-	-	591	591

⁶The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 27	00							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	1892	73.31	58	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1892	75.58	65	'
Writing	3	4		Meets	1896	55.01	52	
Science	3	4		Meets	628	50.48	55	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1206	45	29	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1207	39	44	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1206	47	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	115	37	-	-
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	548	38	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	456	45	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	137	28	65	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	62	47	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	292	49	59	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	548	38	50	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	457	38	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	137	25	70	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	38	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	239	41	76	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	547	44	46	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	456	44	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	137	41	72	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	62	44	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	590	49	57	No
Total	27	60	45%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 27	00							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	2245	70.69	53	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2249	55.05	67	
Writing	3	4		Meets	2247	59.64	61	
Science	3	4		Meets	756	56.22	69	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2114	42	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2117	44	64	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2114	45	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	48	36	-	_
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	882	39	35	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	820	40	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	194	39	79	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	85	43	50	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	523	42	68	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	883	41	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	821	44	68	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	192	31	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	85	42	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	853	42	88	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	882	40	52	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	821	46	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	194	35	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	85	40	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	811	44	75	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	·
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1279	71.23	48	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1278	29.26	40	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1279	53.32	58	
Science	3	4		Meets	602	51.33	57	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1203	46	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1205	42	91	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1203	48	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	32	38		-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets	<u> </u>	30		
Total		1-7	04.570	MICCO				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	487	43	23	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	407	43	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	101	31	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	35	55	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	337	42	72	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	488	37	98	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	407	38	97	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	31	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	35	46	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	715	44	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	_			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	50,0	Approaching	487	41	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	407	46	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	101	38	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	35	55	90	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	529	46	86	No
Total	27	60	45%	Approaching	323			110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating			Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	6.1	5/ 602 /624/728	82.3/ <i>82.7</i> /79.3/74.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching	04	J. VV Z. VZT/ / ZV	04.31 04.7 113.3114.370	0076
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	4 1	TJ.U/0	Approaching	20	7 /230/261/284	76 /72.2/71.3/59.2%	80%
	0.5	1				2/ <i>166</i> /168/212	76.4/ <i>77.1</i> /70.8/61.8%	80%
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		2/ /66 /168/212 44/55/ 60 /66	61.4/61.8/ <i>65</i> /59.1%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching Does Not Meet		44/35/ 60 /66 16/N<16/ <i>18</i> /21		80%
		I			IN<		-/-/ 61.1 /47.6%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		4896	2.1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4	CC CC'	Approaching		587	19.2	20.0
Total	9.75	16	60.9%	Approaching				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	68.3	71.2	73.9	74.3
Anticipated Year	2010	73.8	77.9	79.3	
of Graduation	2011	79.2	82.7		
	2012	82.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	59	63.2	66.3	59.2
Anticipated Year	2010	66.5	75.3	71.3	
of Graduation	2011	71.4	72.2		
	2012	<i>76</i>			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.4	57.6	61.2	61.8
Anticipated Year	2010	63.3	68.6	70.8	
of Graduation	2011	71.9	77.1		
	2012	76.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	32.3	51.6	60.7	59.1
Anticipated Year	2010	48.3	57.9	65	
of Graduation	2011	65.4	61.8		
	2012	61.4			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.9	58.8	58.8	47.6
Anticipated Year	2010	47.1	N<16	61.1	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	68.3	73.9	74.3	
Anticipated Year	2010	73.8	77.9	79.3	
of Graduation	2011	79.2	82.7		
	2012	82.3			
	Aggregated	75.6	76.8	76.4	74.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	59	63.2	66.3	59.2
Anticipated Year	2010	66.5	75.3	71.3	
of Graduation	2011	71.4	72.2		
	2012	76			
	Aggregated	68.4	70.1	68.8	59.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.4	57.6	61.2	61.8
Anticipated Year	2010	63.3	68.6	70.8	
of Graduation	2011	71.9	77.1		
	2012	76.4			
	Aggregated	65.8	66.7	65.4	61.8

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	32.3	51.6	60.7	59.1
Anticipated Year	2010	48.3	57.9	65	
of Graduation	2011	65.4	61.8		
	2012	61.4			
	Aggregated	50.2	56.8	62.8	59.1

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.9	58.8	58.8	47.6
Anticipated Year	2010	47.1	N<16	61.1	
of Graduation	raduation 2011		N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	50	60.5	60	47.6

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	5:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of al	l districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate	ed Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	graduation rate was:				Overall	Бізаббі.		
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%	•		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	out rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).						
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.	• at or above 22.						
		ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the st	tate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator		Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic	ator.	Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligi			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description									
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined								
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.								
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which								
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.									

6

DPF 2013 - 2700, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading Math				Writing			Science				
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

N OT	Students Mean Score
1-year (2010) 5	1,438 20.0
3-year (2008-10)	51,439 20.1