District Performance Framework 2013

District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation ³
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%	
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	TOTAL
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	² Districts may not be e
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%	eligible, so scores are r

of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades). Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²				
Academic Achievement	Meets	72.9%	(10.9 out of 15 points)			
Academic Growth	Approaching	55.6%	(19.5 out of 35 points)			
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	49.1%	(7.4 out of 15 points)			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	91.1%	(31.9 out of 35 points)			

~		Meets 55% Farticipation Nate			
%	TOTAL	69.	.7%	(69.7 out of 100 points)	
6 % %	² Districts may not be eligible for all possible po eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.		numbers o	of students. In these cases, the po	pints are removed from the points

²Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content

/ and	Finance⁴	Meets Requirements
	Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

Meets 95% Participation Rate

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	409	190	178	777	409	190	178	777
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	410	190	178	778	410	190	178	778
Writing	99.8%	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	408	190	178	776	409	190	178	777
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	106	94	81	281	106	94	81	281
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	76	76	-	-	76	76

Level: EMH

 $(All - 3 Year^{1})$

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	386	82.38	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	386	81.87	87	
Writing	3	4		Meets	385	68.57	84	
Science	2	4		Approaching	98	46.94	47	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	282	42	24	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	282	39	40	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	281	46	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	149	35	28	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	29	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	54	52	54	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	149	35	40	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	60	40	51	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	52	50	76	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	149	41	34	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	60	44	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	91	49	59	No
Total	16	36	44.4%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: FOWLER R-4J - 2540								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	185	71.35	57	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	185	54.05	63	
Writing	3	4		Meets	185	60	61	
Science	3	4		Meets	91	53.85	66	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	176	36	25	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	176	30	60	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	175	42	44	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		/v	Growin Percentile	Growin Percentile	Growin
Reading	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	33	35	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	38	39	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	32	44	70	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	31	67	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	30	64	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	50	41	93	No
Writing	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	38	53	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	33	54	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	60	40	76	No
Total	13	36	36.1%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: FOWLER R-4 - 2540								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	172	72.09	53	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	172	37.79	68	
Writing	3	4		Meets	172	59.3	75	,
Science	3	4		Meets	78	53.85	60	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	162	52	18	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	163	56	84	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	162	54	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				1
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	73	52	23	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	31	48	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	47	60	69	No
Mathematics	8	12	66.7%	Meets	-7/			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00.770	Meets	74	58	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	31	54	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	84	58	99	No
	7	12	58.3%		04	50	35	110
Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	,	4	<u> </u>	Approaching	72	50		N
	2			Approaching	73	50	66	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	31	45	68	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0 4		-	N<20	57	- 83	-
Students needing to catch up Total	3	36	66.7%	Meets Meets	69	57	83	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating			Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	<i>7</i> 0 1 011103	Exceeds		117 /91/55/26	<i>91.5</i> /90.1/85.5/88.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	2	87.5%	Exceeds		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<i></i>	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1	07.370	Meets		<i>52</i> /40/27/N<16	86.5 /85/74.1/-%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Exceeds		2 /21/N<16/N<16	<i>95.5</i> /95.2/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0				6/N<16/N<16/N<16		80%
English Learners	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	_/_/_%	80%
	4	4		- Exceeds	11/11			
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composite Score	<u> </u>			Exceeds		<u> </u>	0.2%	3.9%
		4	01.40/	Meets		/0	20.3	20.1
Total	12.75	14	91.1%	Exceeds				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	84.6	88	88.5	88.5
Anticipated Year	2010	85.7	82.8	82.8	
of Graduation	2011	94.6	97.3		
	2012	100			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	84.6	88	88.5	88.5
Anticipated Year	2010	85.7	82.8	82.8	
of Graduation	2011	94.6	97.3		
	2012	100			
	Aggregated	91.5	90.1	85.5	88.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	2012				

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	86.5	85	74.1	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	95.5	95.2	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	15:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	ie).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	l districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP		TCAP					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:</i>	d Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:		-i			16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)	l.	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	he district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

ut-Points for Each Performance Indicator			Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	 at or above 64% - below 80% 	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	 at or above 52% - below 64% 	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - below 52% 	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined							
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.							
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which							
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing		Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading Math		Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1