District: ROCKY FORD R-2 - 2530

Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 4* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2014

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	29.2%	(4.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	46.1%	(16.1 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	40.9%	(6.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL 44.19	4.1% (44.1 out of 100 points)
-------------	---------------------------------

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

d Finance⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rate	es															
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating		Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	99.0%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	280	131	96	507	280	131	97	508
Mathematics	99.6%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	280	131	97	508	281	131	97	509
Writing	99.6% ⁶	100.0%	99.0%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	279	131	96	507	280	131	97	508
Science	100.0%	100.0%	97.7% ⁶	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	69	60	42	172	69	60	43	172
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.0%	98.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	49	49	-	-	50	50

⁶The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: ROCKY FORD R-2 - 2530								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	278	57.19	10	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	278	59.71	18	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	278	36.33	10	
Science	2	4		Approaching	69	33.33	18	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	191	37	39	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	192	46	61	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	192	32	51	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	39	34	-	-
Total	4.5	14	32.1%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	162	37	41	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	34	39	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	36	66	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	38	45	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	87	45	64	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	163	44	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	151	46	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	31	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	40	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	81	47	85	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	163	28	57	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	151	31	53	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	40	82	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	27	59	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	30	69	No
Total	21	60	35%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: ROCKY FORD R-2 - 2530								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	129	48.84	5	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	130	20.77	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	129	34.11	6	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	28.33	14	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	127	42	43	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	128	42	87	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	127	44	66	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	93	41	45	No
Minority Students	1	4	- 18	Does Not Meet	90	39	48	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	31	69	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	94	41	89	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	91	41	89	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	94	41	94	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	93	47	73	No
Minority Students	2	4	1	Approaching	90	41	71	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0	1	-	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	81	43	81	No
Total	16	36	44.4%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: ROCKY FORD R-2 - 2530								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	· ·
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	43.48	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	94	10.64	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	29.35	9	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	20.93	3	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	88	50	46	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	90	41	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	88	46	88	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	-			
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	65	48	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	68	48	53	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	48	48	89	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	111,7,70	Approaching	67	41	99	No
Minority Students	2	4	-	Approaching	68	41	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	.	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20		-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	38	99	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3070	Approaching	65	45	92	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	68	46	89	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20		_	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	62	45	95	No
Total	17	36	47.2%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching		49/ 54 /53/58	71.4/ <i>79.6</i> /73.6/67.2%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	2	50%	Approaching		73/37/30	71.4773.0773.0707.270	00%
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		41/ 40 /41/38	68.3/ <i>77.5</i> /65.9/52.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		35/ <i>32</i> /34/40	65.7/ 78.1 /61.8/62.5%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	0		Approaching -		/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		<u> </u>		/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	14 -10/	384	2.3%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		49	16.3	20.0
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching			. 5.5	20.0
IOlal	/	14	JU/0	Approacrilling				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	61.7	65	67.2	67.2
Anticipated Year	2010	69.6	72.2	73.6	
of Graduation	2011	74.5	79.6		
	2012	71.4			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	46.2	50	52.6	52.6
Anticipated Year	2010	63.4	65	65.9	
of Graduation	2011	70	77.5		
	2012	68.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.8	59.5	62.5	62.5
Anticipated Year	2010	60	60	61.8	
of Graduation	2011	69.7	<i>78.1</i>		
	2012	65.7			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	61.7 65		67.2	67.2
Anticipated Year	2010	69.6	72.2	73.6	
of Graduation	2011	74.5	79.6		
	2012	71.4			
	Aggregated	69.1	<i>72</i>	70.3	67.2

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	46.2	50	52.6	52.6
Anticipated Year	2010	63.4	65	65.9	
of Graduation	2011	70	77.5		
	2012	68.3			
	Aggregated	62.1	64.2	59.5	52.6

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.8	59.5	62.5	62.5
Anticipated Year	2010	60	60	61.8	
of Graduation	2011	69.7	78.1		
	2012	65.7			
	Aggregated	62.1	65.1	62.2	62.5

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	f Graduation 2011		N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	38.1	37.5	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	aduation 2011		N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	60	65	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	5:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic Growth Gaps	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
		Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated						
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	Disaggr.	4	
	• at or above 90%. • at or above 80% but below 90%			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.73		
	• below 65%.	•		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:		DOCS IVOC WICCO	'	0.23	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness		ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	33
Workforce Readiness				Approaching		2	malcator)	
	• above 10%.	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).						
		Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.							
	at or above the state average b	at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).						
		ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description								
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined							
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.							
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which							
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.								

6

DPF 2013 - 2530, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Dist	icts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th perce	ntile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th perce	ntile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th perce	ntile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

				T						·		
		Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1