District: THOMPSON R2-J - 1560 (All - 3 Yea

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	67.9%	(23.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	53.9%	(8.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	68.8%	(24.1 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

	101712	07.570	(or is out or roo points)		
4					
,	2				
	Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient	ent numbers	of students. In these cases, the po	pints are removed from the points	

(67.3 out of 100 points)

Accred. w/Turnaround Plan

below 42*

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

3 Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Meets Requirements

Finance	Meets Requirements

Safety⁴

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Ra	tes															
% of Students Tested				Participa	tion Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	99.2%	97.2%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10290	10165	6691	27146	10339	10249	6884	27472
Mathematics	99.3%	99.1%	97.4%	98.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10267	10153	6706	27126	10342	10246	6883	27471
Writing	99.5%	97.1%	97.2%	98.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	10292	9955	6694	26941	10343	10249	6884	27476
Science	99.7%	99.1%	96.3%	98.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3465	3345	3325	10135	3474	3376	3453	10303
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.0%	98.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3034	3034	-	-	3097	3097

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: THOMPSON R2-J - 1560								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	9975	75.48	64	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	9937	73	60	
Writing	3	4		Meets	9965	59.73	66	
Science	3	4		Meets	3347	53.51	66	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	9 Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	3	roints Eligible Δ	N FUIILS		6269	52	27	Yes
Reading Mathematics	3	4	1	Meets	6249		43	
	3	4		Meets	6249	47 49		Yes
Writing English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	<u>3</u> 1.5	2		Meets Meets	602		37	Yes -
			750/		602	32	-	-
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	2431	47	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4	1	Meets	1505	49	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	754	45	70	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	332	54	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	'	Approaching	1516	54	64	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2426	43	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1504	45	57	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	753	39	75	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	330	45	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1463	47	77	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2440	44	46	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1507	47	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	757	45	72	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	333	47	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2645	50	60	No

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: THOMPSON R2-J - 1560								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	9889	74.35	66	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	9879	57.86	76	
Writing	3	4		Meets	9687	59.75	60	
Science	3	4		Meets	3245	54.79	70	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	9301	50	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9287	50	64	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	9107	45	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	143	44	-	_
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	3353	46	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2205	48	39	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	958	45	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	484	52	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2261	50	67	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3352	47	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2204	47	76	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	959	41	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	484	49	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3442	49	91	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3272	41	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2149	44	57	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	953	39	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	476	49	75	No
·								
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3440	46	76	No

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: THOMPSON R2-J - 1560								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	6496	74.09	58	
Mathematics	3	4	-	Meets	6516	42.6	81	
Writing	3	4		Meets	6499	55.49	65	
Science	3	4		Meets	3226	54.96	63	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	6021	50	12	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	6017	53	77	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	5872	47	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	61	49	- -	-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subarous	Subgroup Modion	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets		<u> </u>	Growen's Creenine	Orowan:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	03/0	Meets	1721	46	29	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1209	49	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	440	44	92	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	244	59	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1446	49	78	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	1440	43	70	INO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	JU/6	Approaching	1716	48	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1208	49	97	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4	-	Approaching	448	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	246	51	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2844	50	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%		2044	30	33	NO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible			33 <i>1</i> 6	Approaching	1655	44	73	Ne
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1655	44		No No
	2 2	4		Approaching	1169	49	68 99	
Students with Disabilities English Learners	3	4		Approaching	445	46	99	No
	2	4		Meets	237	55 48	96 89	No No
Students needing to catch up Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching Approaching	2300		03	INO
							D-4-/C	Francisco de la constantica del constantica de la constantica del constantica de la
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	F^2	N 6 (2011 / 2601 /1201	Rate/Score	Expectation
	3	4	50%	Meets	503	6/3851/ 2601 /1291	79.3/83.6/ <i>85.2</i> /84.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2 0.5	4	30%	Approaching	1.4	90/1104/ £04 /261	647/704/ 74 5 /7070	000/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		1		Approaching		89/1104/ <i>694</i> /361 02/ <i>593</i> /373/185	64.7/73.4/ 74.5 /73.7%	80%
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0.5	<u>I</u>		Approaching			64/ <i>69.3</i> /68.9/67%	80%
Students with Disabilities English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching	4	75/358/234/ <i>120</i>	59.2/67.6/74.8/ 77.5 %	80%
English Learners	3			Approaching		98/77/50/ 29	44.9/51.9/56/ <i>65.5</i> %	80%
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composito Scoro	3	4		Meets		23314	1.9%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score		16	C0 00°	Meets		3034	20.8	20.1
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	78.8	82.5	85.2	84.9
Anticipated Year	2010	80	84.1	<i>85.2</i>	
of Graduation	2011	81.1	84.1		
	2012	77.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	64.1	72.3	76.1	73.7
Anticipated Year	2010	63.8	72	73	
of Graduation	2011	69.8	75.4		
	2012	61.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	61.2	66.1	68.1	67
Anticipated Year	2010	60.9	67.2	69.6	
of Graduation	2011	69	74		
	2012	64.2			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	60.5	65	77.4	77.5
Anticipated Year	2010	59.3	66.1	72.3	
of Graduation	2011	65	71.5		
	2012	51.7			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	47.8	60.9	63.6	65.5
Anticipated Year	2010	40.7	44.4	50	
of Graduation	2011	48	51.9		
	2012	43.5			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	78.8	82.5	85.2	84.9
Anticipated Year	2010	80 84.1 85.2		85.2	
of Graduation	2011	81.1	84.1		
	2012	77.3			
	Aggregated	79.3	83.6	<i>85.2</i>	84.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	64.1	72.3	76.1	73.7
Anticipated Year	2010	63.8	72	73	
of Graduation	2011	69.8	75.4		
	2012	61.2			
	Aggregated	64.7	73.4	74.5	73.7

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	61.2	66.1	68.1	67
Anticipated Year	2010	60.9	67.2	69.6	
of Graduation	2011	69	74		
	2012	64.2			
	Aggregated	64	69.3	68.9	67

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	60.5	65	77.4	77.5
Anticipated Year	2010	59.3	66.1	72.3	
of Graduation	2011	65	71.5		
	2012	51.7			
	Aggregated	59.2	67.6	74.8	77.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	47.8	60.9	63.6	65.5
Anticipated Year	2010	40.7	44.4	50	
of Graduation	ation 2011		51.9		
	2012	43.5			
	Aggregated	44.9	51.9	56	65.5

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studen	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		TO	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP		
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average by	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)	•	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the s	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.							
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:							
	• at or above 22.							
	at or above the state average by	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment					
Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement		
				• below 42%	Turnaround		

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined									
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.									
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which									
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.										

6

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math			Writing		Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

				<u> </u>								
	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1