District Performance Framework 2013

District: ARRIBA-FLAGLER C-20 - 1450

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation ³		
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%			
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	TOTAL		
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%			
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	² Districts may not be e		
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%	eligible, so scores are i		
/ cerea. W/ rannarouna ran	Detern 12.0	³ Districts do not receiv		

of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades). Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5% (9.4 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	72.2% (25.3 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.2% (9.9 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	90.4% (31.6 out of 35 points)

6		incee 55% Fulleputor face		
6	TOTAL	76.2%	(76.2 out of 100 points)	
6	² Districts may not be eligible for all possible peligible, so scores are not negatively impacted		ers of students. In these cases, the p	oints are removed from the points

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content

/ and	Finance⁴	Meets Requirements
	Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

Meets 95% Participation Rate

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	134	73	60	267	134	73	60	267
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	134	73	60	267	134	73	60	267
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	134	73	60	267	134	73	60	267
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	29	34	29	92	29	34	29	92
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	28	28	-	-	28	28

Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year¹)

District: ARRIBA-FLAGLER C-20 - 1	450							el: Elementary (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(3 1 Cu
Reading	3	4		Meets	130	72.31	51	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	130	61.54	24	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	130	53.08	44	
Science	2	4		Approaching	27	44.44	43	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	92	40	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	92	56	58	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	92	43	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	31	45	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	29	62	No
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	49	57	63	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	29	56	80	No
Writing	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	38	50	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	43	40	59	No
Total	11	24	45.8%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: ARRIBA-FLAGLER C-20 - 7	1450							(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	71	69.01	48	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	71	45.07	36	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	71	50.7	30	
Science	3	4		Meets	33	48.48	54	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	67	58	35	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	67	55	75	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	67	61	64	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	32	59	54	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	21	63	76	No
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				·
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	32	47	85	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	38	56	92	No
Writing	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	32	55	74	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	36	70	80	No
Total	18	24	75%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: ARRIBA-FLAGLER C-20 - 1450	1							(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	55	76.36	67	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	55	45.45	86	
Writing	3	4		Meets	55	58.18	71	
Science	3	4		Meets	27	55.56	65	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	52	57	13	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	52	64	82	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	52	65	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	1910	Meets	23	52	15	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0			N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20		-	
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets	11.20	-	-	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	/ J/0		23	61	96	No
Minority Students	0	0		Meets	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20			
English Learners	0	0			N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	25	64	99	No
	7		87.5%		23	04	35	INO
Writing	,	-	07.3%	Exceeds	22	<u> </u>	F 4	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	23	64	54	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		-	23	- 64	- 84	-
Students needing to catch up Total	16	20	80%	Meets Meets	23	04	84	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		55/ 45 /31/17	94.5/ 95.6 /93.5/94.1%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	1	75%	Meets			ין אינ ונ.נן ז ט גע ו נ.דע איני איני איני איני איני איני איני אינ	00%
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	<u>1</u>	/ 3/0	Meets	2	2/ 19 /N<16/N<16	86.4/ <i>89.5</i>/-/- %	80%
Minority Students	0.75	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	_/_/_%	80%
English Learners	0	0				6/N<16/N<16/N<16	_/_/_%	80%
	<u> </u>			- Evcoads	17/1			
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composite Score	<u> </u>	4		Exceeds Meets		213 28	0% 21.1	3.9%
		4	00.4%			20	21.1	20.1
Total	11.75	13	90.4%	Exceeds				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	94.1	94.1	94.1	94.1
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	94.1	94.1	94.1	94.1
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	94.5	95.6	93.5	94.1

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

2009 N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Vear 2010 N<16 N<16		
	N<16	
of Graduation 2011 N<16 N<16		
2012 N<16		

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	86.4	<i>89.5</i>	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
2011	N<16	N<16		
2012	N<16			
Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2010 2011 2012	2009 N<16	2009 N<16	2009 N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

coring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the D	District Performance Framew	vork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	15:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselir	ie).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of al	l districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:</i>	ed Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	out rate was:		÷	1		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)	l.	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	The district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the st	tate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator			oints for Accreditation Category Assignment			
Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	 at or above 64% - below 80% 	Accredited		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	 at or above 52% - below 64% 	Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - below 52% 	Priority Improvement		
				• below 42%	Turnaround		

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing		Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math			Writing		Science						
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1