District Performance Framework 2013

District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²
Academic Achievement	Approaching	52.1%	(7.8 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.0%	(21.0 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.6%	(7.6 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.6%	(23.0 out of 35 points)

<u>d</u> %	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
%	TOTAL		59.4%	(59.4 out of 100 points)	
%	² Districts may not be eligible for all possible po	oints on an indicator due to insuffici	ent numbers (of students. In these cases, the p	oints are removed from the points
%	eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.		chemanibers	or students. In these cuses, the p	sine are removed nom the points

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

and	Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
	Safety ⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.6%	99.5%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6166	5860	3893	15919	6178	5885	3911	15974
Mathematics	99.9%	99.6%	99.6%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6179	5866	3894	15939	6186	5888	3911	15985
Writing	99.9%	99.6%	99.5%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6178	5864	3892	15934	6185	5885	3911	15981
Science	99.9%	99.6%	99.5%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	2004	1949	1943	5896	2006	1956	1952	5914
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.5%	97.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1763	1763	-	-	1809	1809

Level: EMH

(All - 3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	5727	67.64	32	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5721	65.36	33	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5721	50.57	37	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1873	39.72	29	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3540	45	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3555	43	50	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3549	45	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	267	49	-	-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
					/V	Growin Percentile	Growin Percentile	Growtin
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1771	43	38	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1753	43	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	480	33	71	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	151	49	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1167	49	61	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1782	43	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1765	44	54	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	486	37	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	152	48	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1096	44	78	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1779	44	47	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1761	45	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	480	39	76	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	152	51	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1858	45	60	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990								(3 Yeai
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	5457	64.72	34	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5462	58.15	76	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5461	49.3	28	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1820	44.56	44	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5081	45	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5091	60	67	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5090	44	52	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	61	67	-	-
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	05/0	Meets	2276	45	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2477	46	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	587	41	80	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	213	50	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1665	46	66	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	/ 0/0	Meets	2280	58	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2479	60	72	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	593	47	97	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	213	69	78	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	2142	59	91	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2279	43	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2479	43	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	590	38	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	213	46	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2421	43	78	No
Total	36	60	60%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: WIDEFIELD 3 - 0990								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3643	62.94	23	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3643	28.05	40	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3642	42.12	33	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1821	42.39	32	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Madian Adaguata Crowth	Mada Adaguata
Anadamia Crowth	Deinte Ferned	Deinte Flisible	N Deinte	Datina	•/		Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINTS	Rating	<u>N</u>	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3396	42	22	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	3399	37	87	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3393	42	57	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				erentan.
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30%		1327	43	33	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1527			
	1			Approaching		44	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Does Not Meet	325	<u>39</u>	94	No
English Learners	-			Meets	-	_	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4	2001	Approaching	1170	44	76	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	1328	36	95	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	1534	38	92	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	325	34	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	102	41	96	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	1809	38	99	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1325	40	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1529	40	64	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	323	38	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	102	50	80	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1728	43	90	No
Total	25	60	41.7%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	255	5/1893/ 1248 /625	78.8/84.5/ 85 /84%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	70	1/469/ 273 /164	73.6/81.7/ <i>83.5</i> /78%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		59/781/ <i>504</i> /231	78.8/84.6/ <i>86.1</i> /85.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		81/197/130/ <i>69</i>	55.5/69/74.6/ 76.8 %	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		5/ 27 /19/N<16	60/ 70.4 /63.2/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		13889	2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		1763	18.5	20.1
	-	-7		, while a chilling		., 05	10.0	-0.1

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	76.5	81.1	83.2	84
Anticipated Year	2010	77.1	84.8	86.8	
of Graduation	2011	82.5	87.6		
	2012	79.1			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	76.5	81.1	83.2	84
Anticipated Year	2010	77.1	84.8	86.8	
of Graduation	2011	82.5	87.6		
	2012	79.1			
	Aggregated	78.8	84.5	85	84

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	72.5	77.4	82	78
Anticipated Year	2010	74.8	83.5	85	
of Graduation	2011	76.7	83.3		
	2012	71.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	77	82.3	84.8	85.7
Anticipated Year	2010	76.5	85	87.2	
of Graduation	2011	82.4	86.3		
	2012	78.8			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	50.7	62.1	72.7	76.8
Anticipated Year	2010	54.7	67.7	76.6	
of Graduation	2011	66.7	76.8		
	2012	50.6			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
L					

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	72.5	77.4	82	78
Anticipated Year	2010	74.8	83.5	85	
of Graduation	2011	76.7	83.3		
	2012	71.2			
	Aggregated	73.6	81.7	83.5	78

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	77	82.3	84.8	85.7
Anticipated Year	2010	76.5	85	87.2	
of Graduation	2011	82.4	86.3		
	2012	78.8			
	Aggregated	78.8	84.6	86.1	85.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	50.7	62.1	72.7	76.8
Anticipated Year	2010	54.7	67.7	76.6	
of Graduation	2011	66.7	76.8		
	2012	50.6			
	Aggregated	55.5	69	74.6	76.8

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	60	70.4	63.2	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP				
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's grad	luation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	 at or above 65% but below 80%. 			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.	•		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	out rate was:		Docs not meet		0.20	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.	<u> </u>	Does Not Meet	1				
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	he district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4]		
	• at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator				Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	 at or above 64% - below 80% 	Accredited		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	 at or above 52% - below 64% 	Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - below 52% 	Priority Improvement		
				• below 42%	Turnaround		

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined							
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.							
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which							
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing		Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading N		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1