(All - 1 Year¹)

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Approaching	41.7%	(6.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	70.2%	(24.6 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	59.4%	(8.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	37.5%	(13.1 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL	52.9% (52.9 out of 100 points)	
-------	----------------------------------	--

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety ⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rat	tes															
% of Students Tested					Participa	tion Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.2%	99.3%	98.3%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	19498	16785	9788	46071	19657	16895	9957	46509
Mathematics	99.7%	99.3%	98.7%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	19578	16776	9821	46175	19641	16893	9948	46482
Writing	98.7%	99.4%	98.5%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	19405	16789	9806	46000	19656	16896	9958	46510
Science	99.6%	99.1%	98.4%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6114	5244	4471	15829	6136	5291	4542	15969
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.6%	97.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3772	3772	-	-	3863	3863

Performance Indicators							Le	el: Elementary
District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 088	0							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	,
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	19017	56.24	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	19042	57.05	13	'
Writing	2	4		Approaching	18920	43.03	22	
Science	2	4		Approaching	5948	32.16	17	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	11414	53	42	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	12052	55	59	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	11345	53	50	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	12807	53	-	-
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	8135	50	50	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	9048	51	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1376	43	83	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	4907	55	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5170	52	69	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8735	54	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	9677	54	65	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1468	42	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	5496	56	65	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5390	53	83	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8062	49	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	8974	50	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1378	43	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	4847	52	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	6861	52	67	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 088	80							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	16358	52.13	8	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	16351	45.89	40	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	16362	46.43	22	
Science	2	4		Approaching	5118	35.13	26	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	15376	52	48	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	15397	56	77	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	15381	56	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	4379	53	-	-
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	11202	51	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	12621	51	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1725	44	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	7067	54	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	7169	51	75	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	11217	55	85	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	12642	55	83	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1749	49	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	7064	58	82	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	8051	56	96	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	11217	55	72	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	12638	55	69	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1746	50	94	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	7065	58	72	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	8156	57	84	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	9413	56.15	13	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9438	26.76	35	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	9427	38.68	25	
Science	2	4		Approaching	4312	37.87	27	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	8162	58	37	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	8181	52	98	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	8153	54	74	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	2502	57	-	<u> </u>
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets		0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		- Croman
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	7070	Meets	5707	58	58	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	6637	58	52	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	741	50	98	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	3634	62	64	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	3844	59	88	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	3044			NO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30%	Approaching	5717	50	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	6651	51	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4	-	Approaching	745	44	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	3635	51	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5345	51	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%		3343		99	NO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				Approaching	F702	F2	00	No
	2 2	4		Approaching	5702	53	88 84	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	6631 729	53 46	99	No No
English Learners	3	4		Approaching Meets	3630	56	99	No
	2	4			4707	53	96	No No
Students needing to catch up Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching Approaching	4/0/		30	INO
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
	1 UIIIG EAITIEU	4	NO I UIIIUS	Does Not Meet	4050	/ 5058 /5104/5299	58.8/ <i>61.9</i> /60.8/56.7%	80%
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr Disaggregated Graduation Rate	<u> </u>	4	25%		4950) טעטע וו (סעטע וו	30.0/ <i>01.3</i> /00.0/30./%	OU%
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1	2376	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	2745	s/ 3689 /3699/3679	53.7/ <i>57.3</i> /56.9/51.6%	80%
	0.25	1		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet		5/ 4005 /3955/3993	56.7/ <i>60</i> /58.9/53.9%	80%
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0.25	<u></u> 1		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet		4/663/ <i>676/77</i> 6	38.3/43.9/ <i>49.4</i> /47.3%	80%
English Learners	0.25	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet		4/663/ 6/6 /7/6 0/810/ 798 /1193	52.7/51.2/ 54.6 /53%	80%
		·			100			
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composito Scoro	2	4		Approaching		35485	5.7%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4	27.50	Approaching		3772	18.1	20.0
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	46.4	53.2	55.8	56.7
Anticipated Year	2010	51.8	58.5	60.8	
of Graduation	2011	56.1	61.9		
	2012	58.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	40.3	49.2	52.6	51.6
Anticipated Year	2010	47.7	55.8	56.9	
of Graduation	2011	51.9	<i>57.3</i>		
	2012	53.7			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	41.8	50	52.7	53.9
Anticipated Year	2010	49	56.3	58.9	
of Graduation	2011	53.5	60		
	2012	56.7			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	31.1	41.1	48.4	47.3
Anticipated Year	2010	34.4	44.7	49.4	
of Graduation	2011	38.9	43.9		
	2012	38.3			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	39.4	49.4	53.4	53
Anticipated Year	2010	42.5	52.9	54.6	
of Graduation	2011	47.2	51.2		
	2012	52.7			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	46.4 53.2 55.8		55.8	56.7
Anticipated Year	2010	51.8	58.5 60.8		
of Graduation	2011	56.1	61.9		
	2012	58.8			
	Aggregated	53.2	57.8	<i>58.3</i>	56.7

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	40.3	49.2	52.6	51.6
Anticipated Year	2010	47.7	55.8	56.9	
of Graduation	2011	51.9	57.3		
	2012	53.7			
	Aggregated	48.6	54.1	54.8	51.6

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	41.8	50	52.7	53.9
Anticipated Year	2010	49	56.3	58.9	
of Graduation	2011	53.5	60		
	2012	56.7			
	Aggregated	50.3	55.4	55.8	53.9

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	31.1	41.1	48.4	47.3
Anticipated Year	2010	34.4	34.4 44.7 49.4		
of Graduation	of Graduation 2011		43.9		
	2012	38.3			
	Aggregated	35.5	43.2	48.9	47.3

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	39.4	49.4	53.4	53
Anticipated Year 2010		42.5	52.9	54.6	
of Graduation	duation 2011		51.2		
	2012	52.7			
	Aggregated	45.4	50.9	53.9	53

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all o	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all o	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 70 but at or above 55.	below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet	1		subject areas)	
		Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated						
	graduation rate was:					Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	•		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.	ut nata una		Does Not Meet	<u> </u>	0.25	16	
Dastassandancand	• at or below 1%.	ut rate was:		Evenede		4	(4 for each sub-	25
Postsecondary and				Exceeds		4	(1101 00011000	35
Workforce Readiness		ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets Approaching		2	indicator)	
		• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).						
	• above 10%.	the distriction of the ACT of		Does Not Meet		I		
		he district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was.	Exceeds				
		 at or above 22. at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline). 					4 3	
		ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets Approaching		2		
	at of above 17 but below the St	ate average (using 2005-10 Daseline).	•	Approaching		4		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic	rator.	Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eli			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined									
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.									
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which									
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.										

6

DPF 2013 - 0880, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading Math				Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1