District Performance Framework 2013

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020

Level: EMI

 $(All - 1 Year^{1})$

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Framework Points Earned	Test Parl
at or above 80%	TOTAL
at or above 64% - below 80%	TOTAL
at or above 52% - below 64%	² Districts
below 42%	³ Districts
	at or above 80%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Finance⁴ Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.1%	(22.1 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.7%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	46.9%	(16.4 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation³

Meets 95% Participation Rate

Meets Requirements

55.0%

(55.0 out of 100 points)

Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Safety⁴

Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rate	25															
% of Students Tested				Participation Rating		Students Tested				Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	98.8%	97.6%	98.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	9960	9883	5784	25627	10033	9999	5925	25957
Mathematics	99.3%	98.9%	97.8%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	9964	9891	5795	25650	10032	10004	5927	25963
Writing	99.3%	98.7%	97.6%	98.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	9958	9877	5785	25620	10033	10003	5929	25965
Science	99.3%	98.4%	97.7%	98.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3338	3321	2858	9517	3362	3376	2926	9664
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.0%	98.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2477	2477	-	-	2528	2528

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SC	HOOLS - 0020							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	9660	66.45	32	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9657	69.37	44	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	9654	48.24	34	
Science	2	4		Approaching	3261	46.52	45	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	6130	51	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6153	51	46	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	6134	51	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	2432	43	-	-
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Acadomic Crowth Cons	Doints Formod	Dointe Elisible	W Deinte	Bating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	•
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	/\	Growin Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	2313	47	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2588	52	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	530	45	76	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1283	54	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2002	52	66	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2323	48	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2602	51	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	540	35	80	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1294	53	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1700	50	80	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2320	47	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2596	51	53	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	538	41	80	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1287	51	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3322	51	64	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SC	HOOLS - 0020							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	9580	64.26	29	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	9585	53.97	62	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	9575	52.04	35	
Science	3	4		Meets	3211	51.2	61	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	9090	46	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9109	43	63	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	9100	43	48	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	752	48	-	_
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3313	43	50	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3813	45	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	811	42	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1773	49	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2975	45	71	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3311	41	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3820	43	74	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	827	39	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1769	48	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3651	41	93	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	3329	39	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3829	42	62	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	820	38	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1778	45	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4161	43	78	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching		-	-	

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	DLS - 0020							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	5614	65.69	30	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5625	33.8	55	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5615	46.36	44	
Science	3	4		Meets	2768	51.01	56	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5285	49	18	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5302	44	90	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5293	49	58	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	555	51	-	-
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
And an in Council Council	Deinte Franzis		N. Dalata	De tiere	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1487	48	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2302	50	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	386	48	98	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1136	54	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1887	49	81	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1503	42	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2307	41	97	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	388	46	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1141	41	98	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2954	45	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1489	45	83	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2308	47	77	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	385	48	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1137	49	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2723	49	93	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	2949	/ <i>2933</i> /3176/2979	69.9/ <i>72.1</i> /70/67%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	110	0/ <i>1088</i> /1117/915	57/ <i>61.6</i> /58.4/52.9%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		5/ <i>1172</i> /1215/1214	69.5/ <i>70.6</i> /66.2/64%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		0/233/ 281 /251	47.2/48.1/ <i>52</i> /48.2%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		9/ 416 /426/444	67.8/ <i>69.2</i> /64.3/62.8%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		22299	4.2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		2477	19.3	20.0
Total	7.5	16	46.9%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	60.5	63	66.2	67
Anticipated Year	2010	61.7	68.4	70	
of Graduation	2011	65.3	72.1		
	2012	69.9			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	60.5	63	66.2	67
nticipated Year	2010	61.7	68.4	70	
of Graduation	2011	65.3	72.1		
	2012	69.9			
	Aggregated	64.3	67.8	68.2	67

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	49	53.8	56.9	52.9
Anticipated Year	2010	53.7	63.1	58.4	
of Graduation	2011	58.4	61.6		
	2012	57			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	49	53.8	56.9	52.9
Anticipated Year	2010	53.7	63.1	58.4	
of Graduation	2011	58.4	61.6		
	2012	57			
	Aggregated	54.9	60	57.8	52.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	55.2	58.4	63.1	64
Anticipated Year	2010	55	63.8	66.2	
of Graduation	2011	61	70.6		
	2012	69.5			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	41.8	44.9	49.5	48.2
Anticipated Year	2010	47.8	56.2	52	
of Graduation	2011	44.9	48.1		
	2012	47.2			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	51.2	54.9	63.4	62.8
Anticipated Year	2010	49.6	63.4	64.3	
of Graduation	2011	62.1	<i>69.2</i>		
	2012	67.8			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	55.2	58.4	63.1	64
Anticipated Year	2010	55	63.8	66.2	
of Graduation	2011	61	70.6		
	2012	69.5			
	Aggregated	60	64.1	64.6	64

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	41.8	44.9	49.5	48.2
Anticipated Year	2010	47.8	56.2	52	
of Graduation	2011	44.9	48.1		
	2012	47.2			
	Aggregated	45.5	49.9	<i>50.9</i>	48.2

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	51.2	54.9	63.4	62.8
Anticipated Year	2010	49.6	63.4	64.3	
of Graduation	2011	62.1	69.2		
	2012	67.8			
	Aggregated	57.1	62.2	63.9	62.8

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewor Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	 at or above the 90th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline). 					16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).					(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregate</i> <i>graduation rate was:</i>	d Graduation Rate: The district's grac	luation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Approaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	he district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment					
Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	 at or above 52% - below 64% 	Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - below 52% 	Priority Improvement		
				• below 42%	Turnaround		

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

										1		
		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1