

**Accredited**

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3% ( 8.7 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	61.8% ( 21.6 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.8% ( 7.9 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	85.7% ( 30.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>68.2% ( 68.2 out of 100 points )</b>	

<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup> Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

**Finance<sup>4</sup>** Meets Requirements

**Safety<sup>4</sup>** Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup> Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

**Test Participation Rates**

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	249	265	193	707	249	265	193	707
Mathematics	100.0%	99.6%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	249	264	193	706	249	265	193	707
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	249	265	193	707	249	265	193	707
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	83	87	103	273	83	87	103	273
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	54	54	-	-	54	54

**Performance Indicators**

**Level: Elementary**

**District: PLATTE VALLEY RE-7 - 3130**

**(1 Year)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	238	65.55	31
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	238	69.33	44
Writing	2	4		Approaching	238	49.16	36
Science	2	4		Approaching	77	41.56	36
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	158	39	34	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	158	35	51	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	158	44	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	27	23	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<b>Reading</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	65	39	45	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	39	45	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	24	41	48	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	52	44	60	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>Does Not Meet</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	65	32	56	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	32	57	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	29	68	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	33	79	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	65	43	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	52	48	52	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	24	52	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	78	40	61	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

**Performance Indicators**

**Level: Middle**

**District: PLATTE VALLEY RE-7 - 3130**

**(1 Year)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	259	63.71	29
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	258	39.15	23
Writing	3	4		Meets	259	58.3	57
Science	2	4		Approaching	86	44.19	44
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	250	53	31	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	249	44	72	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	250	66	49	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<b>Reading</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	80	49	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	70	49	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	44	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	39	40	44	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	82	42	67	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	79	43	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	70	46	87	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	29	43	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	39	44	84	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	123	55	91	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	80	66	58	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	70	66	68	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	49	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	39	66	69	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	112	65	73	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>63.3%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

**Performance Indicators**

**Level: High**

**District: PLATTE VALLEY RE-7 - 3130**

**(1 Year)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	186	67.74	40
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	186	33.33	54
Writing	3	4		Meets	186	51.61	55
Science	3	4		Meets	98	52.04	57
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>68.8%</b>	<b>Meets</b>			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	173	51	20	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	174	56	92	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	174	48	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>Meets</b>				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<b>Reading</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	56	52	58	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	55	54	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	58	43	79	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	56	50	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	56	51	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	97	58	99	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	56	45	83	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	56	47	77	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	73	43	95	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	100/90/79/98	90/88.9/88.6/94.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	48/34/27/39	83.3/85.3/81.5/92.3%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	29/24/17/24	86.2/79.2/82.4/95.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	580	0.3%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	54	19.1	20.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>Meets</b>			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

**Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate  
Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	92.9	93.9	94.9	<b><i>94.9</i></b>
	2010	84	87.5	88.6	
	2011	86.7	88.9		
	2012	90			

**Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	91.9	94.6	94.6	<b><i>92.3</i></b>
	2010	77.8	81.5	81.5	
	2011	82.4	85.3		
	2012	83.3			

**Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	92	92	95.8	<b><i>95.8</i></b>
	2010	76.5	82.4	82.4	
	2011	79.2	79.2		
	2012	86.2			

**Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

**English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

**Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	92.9	93.9	94.9	94.9
	2010	84	87.5	88.6	
	2011	86.7	88.9		
	2012	90			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	<b>88.6</b>	<b>90.3</b>	<b>92.1</b>	<b><i>94.9</i></b>

**Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	91.9	94.6	94.6	92.3
	2010	77.8	81.5	81.5	
	2011	82.4	85.3		
	2012	83.3			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	<b>84.2</b>	<b>87.8</b>	<b>89.1</b>	<b><i>92.3</i></b>

**Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	92	92	95.8	95.8
	2010	76.5	82.4	82.4	
	2011	79.2	79.2		
	2012	86.2			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	<b>84.2</b>	<b>84.8</b>	<b>90.2</b>	<b><i>95.8</i></b>

**Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	<b>71.4</b>	<b>72.2</b>	<b><i>81.8</i></b>	N<16

**English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	<b><i>88.9</i></b>	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7- year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

**Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report**

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
Academic Achievement	<i>The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>		TCAP	16 (4 for each content area)	15	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds			4
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets			3
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching			2
• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	<i>Made AGP</i>	<i>Did Not Make AGP</i>	<i>No AGP</i>	TCAP	ACCESS	
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	4	2	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	3	1.5	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	2	1	
• below 30.		• below 40.	• below 35.	1	0.5	
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>Made AGP</i>	<i>Did Not Make AGP</i>		TCAP		
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		2		
• below 30.		• below 40.		1		
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:</i>			Overall	Disaggr.	
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25
	<i>Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:</i>					
	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4	
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1	
	<i>Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:</i>					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4	
• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3		
• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2		
• below 17.			Does Not Meet	1		

**Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator**

	Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

**Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment**

	Cut Point: The district earned ... of the total framework points eligible.	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 80%	Distinction
	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
	• below 42%	Turnaround

**District Plan Type Assignments**

	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

## Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

### Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
<b>N of Districts</b>	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
<b>N of Districts</b>	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
<b>Exceeds</b>	60-99	70-99	65-99
<b>Meets</b>	45-59	55-69	50-64
<b>Approaching</b>	30-44	40-54	35-49
<b>Does Not Meet</b>	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
<b>1-year (2009)</b>	416,953	3.6
<b>3-year (2007-09)</b>	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
<b>1-year (2010)</b>	51,438	20.0
<b>3-year (2008-10)</b>	151,439	20.1