# District Performance Framework 2013

#### District: WELD COUNTY RE-1 - 3080

# Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned	Test Part
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%	
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%	TOTAL
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%	<sup>2</sup> Districts
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%	
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%	<sup>3</sup> Districts

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	2	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	45.8%	( 6.9 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	44.0%	( 15.4 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	43.9%	( 6.6 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	67.2%	( 23.5 out of 35 points )	

#### Test Participation<sup>3</sup>

Meets 95% Participation Rate

**Meets Requirements** 

<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

52.4%

( 52.4 out of 100 points )

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

# Safety<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rate</b>	es															
		% of Stua	lents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.4%	98.1%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	385	476	256	1117	386	479	261	1126
Mathematics	99.7%	99.4%	97.7%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	385	476	256	1117	386	479	262	1127
Writing	99.7%	99.4%	97.3%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	385	476	255	1116	386	479	262	1127
Science	99.2%	98.6%	98.4%	98.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	122	146	122	390	123	148	124	395
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	96	96	-	-	96	96

1

COLORADO DE	PARTMENT of	FDUCATION
<b>JULUKADU</b> DE	FARIMENI OJ	EDUCATION

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: WELD COUNTY RE-1 - 30	80							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	363	66.67	34	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	361	69.81	48	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	361	53.74	47	
Science	2	4		Approaching	113	30.09	15	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	229	42	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	229	40	50	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	229	41	42	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	135	29	-	-
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	161	38	39	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	137	43	39	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	41	81	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	63	48	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	79	43	66	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	161	41	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	137	44	53	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	21	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	63	48	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	82	40	79	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	161	42	44	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	137	47	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	53	85	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	63	48	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	110	52	65	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: WELD COUNTY RE-1 - 30	80							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	457	61.27	22	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	456	35.09	17	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	457	48.36	26	
Science	2	4		Approaching	141	42.55	39	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	435	45	36	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	435	32	78	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	434	48	50	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	44	38	-	_
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	268	47	46	Yes
Minority Students	2	4	1 I	Approaching	246	44	47	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	38	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	120	50	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	161	46	66	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	270	31	82	No
Minority Students	1	4	0	Does Not Meet	247	31	82	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	36	98	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	121	32	86	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	248	34	91	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	267	49	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	245	50	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	41	46	91	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	119	49	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	220	51	77	No
Total	25	60	41.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: WELD COUNTY RE-1 - 3080								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(T Fear)
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	252	55.16	12	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	252	13.1	6	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	251	36.65	19	
Science	2	4		Approaching	120	40	30	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4	<i><i>N I O III O</i></i>	Approaching	231	43	25	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	232	38	98	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	230	35	76	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	29	37	-	-
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet	25			_
	5	••						
			~ ~	<b>•</b> (1)	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	% Points	Rating	<u> </u>	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	129	45	46	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	119	42	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	32	41	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	48	54	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	83	41	87	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	37	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	119	37	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	31	40	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	37	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	162	43	99	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	38	89	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	117	35	79	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	36	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	48	44	90	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	130	37	94	No
Total	23	60	38.3%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	11	1/ <b>146</b> /127/138	79.3/ <b><i>85.6</i></b> /85/77.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.75	4	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		54/68/ <b>72</b> /79	70.4/79.4/ <b>83.3</b> /72.2%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		64/ <i>68</i> /59/63	78.1/ <i>86.8</i> /79.7/69.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		J<16/16/ <b>22</b> /27	-/56.3/ <b>81.8</b> /55.6%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		<16/ <i>19</i> /N<16/23	-/ <b>78.9</b> /-/69.6%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		938	0.9%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		96	16.9	20.0
Total	10.75	16	67.2%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

# **Graduation Rates**

# Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	76.1	76.8	78.1	77.5
Anticipated Year	2010	79.1	83.7	85	
of Graduation	2011	84.2	85.6		
	2012	79.3			

# Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	76.1	76.8	78.1	77.5
Anticipated Year	2010	79.1	83.7	85	
of Graduation	2011	84.2	85.6		
	2012	79.3			
	Aggregated	79.8	82.1	81.4	77.5

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	74.6	76.1	78.6	72.2
Anticipated Year	2010	77.9	85.3	83.3	
of Graduation	2011	79	79.4		
	2012	70.4			

# Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	74.6	76.1	78.6	72.2
Anticipated Year	2010	77.9	85.3	83.3	
of Graduation	2011	79	79.4		
	2012	70.4			
	Aggregated	75.7	80.2	81	72.2

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	66.1	68.3	69.8	69.8
Anticipated Year	2010	73.3	78.3	79.7	
of Graduation	2011	85.1	86.8		
	2012	78.1			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	57.1	61.9	66.7	55.6
Anticipated Year	2010	77.3	81.8	81.8	
of Graduation	2011	56.3	56.3		
	2012	N<16			

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	63.2	66.7	66.7	69.6
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	76.5	<i>78.9</i>		
	2012	N<16			
	2012	N<10			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	66.1	68.3	69.8	69.8
Anticipated Year	2010	73.3	78.3	79.7	
of Graduation	2011	85.1	86.8		
	2012	78.1			
	Aggregated	76	<i>78</i>	74.6	69.8

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	57.1	61.9	66.7	55.6
Anticipated Year	2010	77.3	81.8	81.8	
of Graduation	of Graduation 2011		56.3		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	65.8	67.8	74.4	55.6

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	63.2	66.7	66.7	69.6
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	76.5	78.9		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	66.2	72.2	72.2	69.6

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

# **Scoring Guide**

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewor Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	below 60 but at or above 45. • below 70 but at or above 55.				3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregate</i> <i>graduation rate was:</i>	d Graduation Rate: The district's grac	luation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Approaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	he district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 52% - below 64%</li> </ul>	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	Priority Improvement		
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined								
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.								
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which								
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.									

# Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

# State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1