

**Accredited with Improvement Plan** *(Revised)*

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	37.5% ( 5.6 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	54.8% ( 19.2 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	48.3% ( 7.2 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	39.1% ( 13.7 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>45.7% ( 45.7 out of 100 points )</b>	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

**Finance<sup>4</sup>** Meets Requirements

**Safety<sup>4</sup>** Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

**Test Participation Rates**

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested			Total Students				
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5% <sup>6</sup>	99.4%	98.8%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	733	719	500	1954	737	723	506	1966
Mathematics	99.7% <sup>6</sup>	99.4%	99.0%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	733	719	501	1954	735	723	506	1964
Writing	99.6% <sup>6</sup>	99.3%	99.0%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	734	718	501	1955	737	723	506	1966
Science	99.2% <sup>6</sup>	99.6%	99.2%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	243	225	247	716	245	226	249	720
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	238	238	-	-	241	241

<sup>6</sup>The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).

**Performance Indicators**

**Level: Elementary**

**District: MONTE VISTA C-8 - 2740**

**(3 Year)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	701	54.49	6
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	699	44.49	2
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	701	30.96	5
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	234	29.06	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>Does Not Meet</b>			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	438	45	44	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	441	42	63	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	440	43	57	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	143	29	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>53.6%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<b>Reading</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	343	46	45	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	348	43	45	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	40	76	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	90	46	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	184	50	67	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	345	41	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	351	42	68	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	26	82	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	91	41	74	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	227	45	79	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	345	44	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	350	43	60	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	36	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	91	43	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	313	43	68	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

**Performance Indicators**

**Level: Middle**

**District: MONTE VISTA C-8 - 2740**

**(3 Year)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	683	53.88	12
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	683	34.99	13
Writing	2	4		Approaching	682	45.31	18
Science	2	4		Approaching	210	37.62	27
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	639	40	40	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	638	46	79	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	637	49	60	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	57	43	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<b>Reading</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>Does Not Meet</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	461	39	44	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	501	40	43	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	39	86	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	165	36	48	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	264	42	69	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	461	43	82	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	500	45	82	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	28	43	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	166	47	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	378	47	93	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	459	48	65	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	499	47	66	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	41	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	163	44	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	348	52	79	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

**Performance Indicators**

**Level: High**

**District: MONTE VISTA C-8 - 2740**

**(3 Year)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>District's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	460	57.83	16
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	461	18.87	18
Writing	2	4		Approaching	461	40.35	30
Science	2	4		Approaching	231	35.5	20
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	415	50	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	418	51	98	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	416	48	65	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	54	54	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
<b>Reading</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	241	45	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	279	44	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	28	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	81	58	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	177	53	80	No
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	243	53	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	280	47	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	25	44	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	82	55	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	294	54	99	No
<b>Writing</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	241	49	77	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	279	47	73	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	22	44	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	81	51	87	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	231	49	91	No
<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	538/442/305/154	57.1/56.6/57.7/55.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.25	4	31.3%	Does Not Meet			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	301/242/178/95	53.2/52.1/52.2/52.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	332/275/186/100	55.4/54.5/57.5/54%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	38/35/27/17	50/60/63/58.8%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching	41/38/30/N<16	61/57.9/66.7/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	2069	4.3%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	238	17.6	20.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>6.25</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>39.1%</b>	<b>Approaching</b>			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

# Graduation Rates

## Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

### This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	51.7	54.5	55.8	55.8
	2010	55.3	56.4	59.6	
	2011	57.7	59.1		
	2012	<b>66.7</b>			

### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	47.5	51.2	51.2	52.6
	2010	51.3	54.5	53.1	
	2011	53.6	50.6		
	2012	<b>60.8</b>			

### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	49	51.5	54	54
	2010	55.8	57.3	61.6	
	2011	54.2	55.3		
	2012	<b>66.2</b>			

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	58.8
	2010	N<16	N<16	<b>62.5</b>	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

### English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2010	N<16	66.7	<b>77.8</b>	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	51.7	54.5	55.8	55.8
	2010	55.3	56.4	59.6	
	2011	57.7	59.1		
	2012	66.7			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	57.1	56.6	<b>57.7</b>	55.8

### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	47.5	51.2	51.2	52.6
	2010	51.3	54.5	53.1	
	2011	53.6	50.6		
	2012	60.8			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	<b>53.2</b>	52.1	52.2	52.6

### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	49	51.5	54	54
	2010	55.8	57.3	61.6	
	2011	54.2	55.3		
	2012	66.2			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	55.4	54.5	<b>57.5</b>	54

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	58.8
	2010	N<16	N<16	62.5	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	50	60	<b>63</b>	58.8

### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2010	N<16	66.7	77.8	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	<b>Aggregated</b>	61	57.9	<b>66.7</b>	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4-year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

**Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report**

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points				
Academic Achievement	<i>The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>		TCAP	16 (4 for each content area)	15				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds			4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets			3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching			2			
• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1						
Academic Growth	<i>Made AGP</i>	<i>Did Not Make AGP</i>	<i>No AGP</i>	TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35		
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4			2	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3			1.5	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2			1	
• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5				
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>Made AGP</i>	<i>Did Not Make AGP</i>		TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15		
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3				
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2				
• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet	1					
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:</i>			Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4			1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3			0.75	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2			0.5	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1			0.25	
	<i>Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:</i>								
	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4				
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3				
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2				
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1				
	<i>Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:</i>								
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4				
• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3					
• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2					
• below 17.			Does Not Meet	1					

**Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator**

	<i>Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

**Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment**

	<i>Cut Point: The district earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.</i>	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 80%	Distinction
	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
	• below 42%	Turnaround

**District Plan Type Assignments**

	<i>Plan description</i>	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1