Level: EMH

District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 52% - belo at or above 42% - belo

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Meets	72.9%	(10.9 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	59.5%	(20.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	49.4%	(7.4 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	53.1%	(18.6 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation³

TOTAL

Meets 95% Participation Rate

		· ·	4
			_
			4
			-
4Districts account to all other for all accepts a single control of all other decisions fill the formal control of	Administration of the Administration of the Control	Along the Anna Control of the Contro	
Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of	students. In these cases	the points are removed from the points	

57.7%

(57.7 out of 100 points)

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance *	Meets Requirements
Safety ⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rate	25															
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	5839	6900	3996	16735	5859	6916	4021	16796
Mathematics	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	5840	6906	3999	16745	5855	6920	4022	16797
Writing	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	5844	6899	3994	16737	5862	6916	4021	16799
Science	99.8%	99.6% ⁶	99.8%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1963	2320	1944	6232	1967	2330	1948	6245
Colorado ACT	=	-	99.7%	99.7%		-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1743	1743	-	-	1749	1749

⁶The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 27	00							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	5595	74.51	61	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5583	75.48	68	
Writing	3	4		Meets	5594	56.99	54	
Science	3	4		Meets	1896	49.95	53	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u>% Points</u>	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3553	49	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3555	41	43	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3553	50	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	342	49	-	
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
Academic Crouth Conc	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Poting	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps				Rating	/V	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growuri
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching	1.7.0			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1568	45	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1312	48	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	376	36	68	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	174	47	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	886	50	61	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	1569	38	50	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1314	40	48	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	380	30	72	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	178	35	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	720	44	75	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1565	46	45	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1312	49	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	378	39	73	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	174	53	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1617	51	57	No
 Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 27	00							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	6601	72.06	59	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6607	54.23	64	
Writing	3	4		Meets	6603	59.75	60	
Science	3	4		Meets	2221	52.9	64	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Andrei's Count	Dainta Farmani	Deinte Flieible	OV Do to to	Datin -		Madien Countly Demonstra	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINTS	Rating	<u>N</u>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	6252	44	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	6259	42	64	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	6253	44	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	126	45	-	-
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching		Oroman rerectione	orowan rerecentine	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2383	41	37	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2400	41	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	562	42	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	221	44	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1592	46	67	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2387	40	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2406	41	69	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	562	34	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	221	45	82	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2490	45	88	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	2383	39	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2402	43	46	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	562	37	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	221	43	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2310	44	76	No
Students needing to catch up								

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(3 : 62.
Reading	3	4	70 1 0 11 10	Meets	3838	71.31	50	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3836	27.95	40	
Writing	3	4		Meets	3836	53.05	58	
Science	3	4		Meets	1865	50.83	52	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3631	48	17	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3631	42	91	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3629	48	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	79	49	-	-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	33.0	Meets	1288	48	28	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1203	46	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	278	45	95	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	104	60	63	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	1	Approaching	995	49	75	No
Mathematics	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1287	41	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1202	40	96	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	276	34	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	104	37	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2129	42	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1288	44	66	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1203	44	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	278	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	104	52	87	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1546	48	88	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	264	4/ <i>1977</i> /1352/728	75.6/ <i>76.8</i> /76.4/74.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	99	3/ <i>715</i> /504/284	68.4/ <i>70.1</i> /68.8/59.2%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching	74	8/ <i>559</i> /382/212	65.8/ <i>66.7</i> /65.4/61.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	2	19/176/ <i>121</i> /66	50.2/56.8/ <i>62.8</i> /59.1%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		56/ <i>43</i> /35/21	50/ <i>60.5</i> /60/47.6%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		14853	2.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		1743	19.4	20.1
Total	8.5	16	53.1%	Approaching				

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	68.3	71.2	73.9	74.3
Anticipated Year	2010	73.8	77.9	79.3	
of Graduation	2011	79.2	82.7		
	2012	82.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	59	63.2	66.3	59.2
Anticipated Year	2010	66.5	75.3	71.3	
of Graduation	2011	71.4	72.2		
	2012	<i>76</i>			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.4	57.6	61.2	61.8
Anticipated Year	2010	63.3	68.6	70.8	
of Graduation	2011	71.9	77.1		
	2012	76.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	32.3	51.6	60.7	59.1
Anticipated Year	2010	48.3	57.9	65	
of Graduation	2011	65.4	61.8		
	2012	61.4			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.9	58.8	58.8	47.6
Anticipated Year	2010	47.1	N<16	61.1	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	68.3	71.2	73.9	74.3
Anticipated Year	2010	73.8	73.8 77.9 79.3		
of Graduation	2011	79.2	82.7		
	2012	82.3			
	Aggregated	75.6	76.8	76.4	74.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	59	63.2	66.3	59.2
Anticipated Year	2010	66.5	75.3	71.3	
of Graduation	2011	71.4	72.2		
	2012	76			
	Aggregated	68.4	70.1	68.8	59.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.4 57.6		61.2	61.8
Anticipated Year	2010	63.3	68.6	70.8	
of Graduation	2011	71.9	77.1		
	2012	76.4			
	Aggregated	65.8	66.7	65.4	61.8

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	32.3	2.3 51.6 60.7		59.1
Anticipated Year	2010	48.3	57.9	57.9 65	
of Graduation	2011	65.4	61.8		
	2012	61.4			
	Aggregated	50.2	56.8	62.8	59.1

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.9	58.8	58.8	47.6
Anticipated Year	2010	47.1	N<16	61.1	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	50	60.5	60	47.6

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewor Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	as:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baseling	ne).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's gra	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.	•		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).						
	• above 10%.	Does Not Meet		1				
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T							
	• at or above 22.	• at or above 22.						
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this India		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined									
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.									
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which									
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.										

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1