# **District Performance Framework 2013**

# District: EAST OTERO R-1 - 2520

#### Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan Will enter Year 1\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64% $\frac{1}{2}$
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

\* on July 1, 2014

				<i>v</i>
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	35.4%	( 5.3 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	(14.6 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	36.7%	(5.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.0%	(22.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

% %	TOTAL	48.2%	( 48.2 out of 100 points )		
%	<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to ir	sufficient nur	mbers of students. In these case	es, the points are	e removed

from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

i foi	Finance <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements
	Safety <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates	5															
% of Students Tested			Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.5%	97.3%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	418	189	181	788	419	190	186	795
Mathematics	99.0% <sup>6</sup>	99.5%	97.3%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	412	189	181	784	416	190	186	792
Writing	99.0%	99.5%	97.3%	98.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	414	189	181	784	418	190	186	794
Science	98.1% <sup>6</sup>	99.0%	95.0%	97.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	104	95	96	296	106	96	101	303
Colorado ACT	-	-	95.1%	95.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	77	77	-	-	81	81

<sup>6</sup>The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).

Level: EMH

(All - 1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: EAST OTERO R-1 - 2520								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	398	55.78	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	397	44.33	2	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	394	27.66	3	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	100	21	3	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
			· · · · · ·				Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	275	47	41	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	274	28	59	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	272	35	58	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching			<i>oronan creentile</i>	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	203	45	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	189	49	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	29	77	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	111	43	64	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet			••	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	25/0	Does Not Meet	202	27	66	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	188	28	62	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	10	82	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	117	33	80	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	/	Does Not Meet	200	35	63	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	188	35	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	35	82	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	183	37	67	No
Total	17	48	35.4%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: EAST OTERO R-1 - 2520								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	182	52.2	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	182	30.22	11	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	182	39.01	10	
Science	2	4		Approaching	91	36.26	28	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	171	39	39	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	171	35	76	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	171	29	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	2570	Does Not Meet	120	32	51	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	120	33	51	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0			N<20	_	_	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	63	33	68	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	120	35	85	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	118	37	83	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20		-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		_	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	97	35	91	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	120	27	69	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	118	27	69	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	91	27	82	No
Total	9	36	25%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: EAST OTERO R-1 - 2520				- <i></i>				(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	172	64.53	28	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	172	23.26	26	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	172	37.79	22	
Science	2	4	=	Approaching	90	43.33	36	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	160	44	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	161	43	96	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	161	40	69	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
					Cubanoun	Cubanaun Madian	Cubanous Madian Adamusta	Mada Adamusta
Acadamic Crowth Cone	Doints Formed	Dointe Flisible	W Deinte	Dating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	-	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	99	43	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	99	48	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	65	44	81	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	100	38	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	100	49	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	103	47	99	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	100	40	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	100	43	80	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	100	41	91	No
Total	18	36	50%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	10	7/107/112/ <b>126</b>	83.2/83.2/84.8/ <b>85.7</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	3	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		<b>78</b> /73/70/63	<b>83.3</b> /78.1/77.1/82.5%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets	67/75/65/ <b>59</b>		79.1/80/83.1/ <b>84.7</b> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		6/ <b>19</b> /N<16/N<16	-/ <i>57.9</i> /-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		660	2.7%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		77	17.8	20.0
Total	9.75	15	65%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the district does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

# **Graduation Rates**

# Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	84	84.3	85	85.7
Anticipated Year	2010	81.6	83.3	84.8	
of Graduation	2011	79.1	83.2		
	2012	83.2			

#### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	84	84.3	85	85.7
<b>Inticipated Year</b>	2010	81.6	83.3	84.8	
of Graduation	2011	79.1	83.2		
	2012	83.2			
	Aggregated	82	83.6	84.9	85.7

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	81.7	82	83.6	82.5
Anticipated Year	2010	74.6	77.6	77.1	
of Graduation	2011	75	78.1		
	2012	83.3			

### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	81.7	82	83.6	82.5
Anticipated Year	2010	74.6	77.6	77.1	
of Graduation	2011	75	78.1		
	2012	83.3			
	Aggregated	78.7	79.1	80.2	82.5

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	82.8	83.3	83.3	84.7
Anticipated Year	2010	77.6	81.8	83.1	
of Graduation	2011	74.4	80		
	2012	79.1			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	55.6	<i>57.9</i>		
	2012	N<16			

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year	
	2009	N<16	N<16 N<16		N<16	
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16		
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16			
	2012	N<16				

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	82.8	83.3	83.3	84.7
Anticipated Year	2010	77.6	81.8	83.1	
of Graduation	2011	74.4	80		
	2012	79.1			
	Aggregated	78.1	81.6	83.2	84.7

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16 N<16		
of Graduation	2011	55.6	57.9		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	59.3	65.9	72.7	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2010	N<16 N<16 N<16		N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

nts based wing: 2012 graduation 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

	entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would with the Class of 2010.
82.5	For the 1-year DPF, districts earn point on the highest value among the follow 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year gr
'-year	rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and vear graduation rate (the shaded cells

# **Scoring Guide**

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	15:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	le).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic Growth Gaps	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate	d Graduation Rate: The district's grac	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	graduation rate was:			T		0150661.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:		1	1		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness		ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
		state average (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Approaching Does Not Meet		2		
		• above 10%.						
		Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4					
		ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets	3			
		ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	<ul> <li>at or above 64% - below 80%</li> </ul>	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 52% - below 64%</li> </ul>	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 42% - below 52%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	ments	
	Plan description	
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

# Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18	
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31	

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

# State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1