Level: EMH

District: BRANSON REORGANIZED 82 - 1750 (All - 3 Year')

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Meets	70.8%	(10.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	61.1%	(21.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	39.3%	(13.8 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL

noints	
_	points

54.5%

(54.5 out of 100 points)

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

3 Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements

Safety⁴	Meets Requirements
---------	--------------------

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.0%	99.4%	97.2%	98.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	194	323	244	761	196	325	251	772
Mathematics	99.5%	99.7%	97.2%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	193	324	245	762	194	325	252	771
Writing	99.5%	99.7%	97.6%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	193	324	245	762	194	325	251	770
Science	100.0%	98.5%	100.0%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	69	128	100	297	69	130	100	299
Colorado ACT	-	_	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	85	85	_	_	85	85

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: Elementary
District: BRANSON REORGANIZED) 82 - 1750							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	187	73.8	58	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	185	71.35	53	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	186	45.16	22	
Science	3	4		Meets	67	50.75	56	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	111	41	25	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	108	47	47	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	109	43	44	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	40	48	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	41	47	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	24	47	64	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	41	43	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	41	45	47	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	24	43	81	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	40	49	53	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	40	53	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	<u>-</u>
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	55	49	61	No
Total	21	36	58.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: BRANSON REORGANIZED	O 82 - 1750							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	298	78.19	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	298	51.01	53	
Writing	3	4		Meets	299	63.21	71	
Science	2	4		Approaching	119	42.86	39	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	& Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	Δ	N FUIILS	Meets	264	45	23	Yes
Mathematics	<u>3</u> 1	4		Does Not Meet	264		64	No Tes
Writing	3	4		Meets	265	 46	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		ivieets	N<20	- 40	- 43	
Total		12	58.3%	Approaching	11\20	-	-	-
				. +	Cubanaun	Cubanaun Madian	Cubarous Madian Adagusta	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	54	45	27	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	102	45	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	52	57	64	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	31	61	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	34	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	105	40	91	No
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	37	45	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	102	41	44	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	87	51	75	No
Total	18	36	50%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: BRANSON REORGANIZED 82	- 1750							(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	214	78.97	78	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	215	33.02	58	
Writing	3	4		Meets	215	54.42	62	
Science	3	4		Meets	89	50.56	52	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	177	56	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	180	50	89	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	177	55	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	<u>-</u>
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
			~		Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	26	62	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	56	51	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	<u>-</u>
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	<u>-</u>
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	36	49	67	No
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	26	55	96	No
Minority Students	2	4	'	Approaching	57	52	90	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	103	47	99	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	26	44	54	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	56	49	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	<u>-</u>
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	72	69	82	No
Total	24	36	66.7%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	45/ <i>316</i> /246/147	34.8/ <i>36.4</i> /33.3/30.6%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.5		25%	Does Not Meet			2 2 2 25.0, 20.0,	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25			Does Not Meet		78 /81/65/54	<i>37.2</i> /37/30.8/33.3%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1	-	Does Not Meet		63/ <i>55</i> /41/25	31.7/ <i>34.5</i> /31.7/24%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.23	0		-		/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		_		/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	14 - 10/	1295	9.1%	3.9%
Di Opout Nutt								
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		85	19.7	20.1

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	27.9	29.5	29.9	30.6
Anticipated Year	2010	37	37.4	38.4	
of Graduation	2011	45.1	49.3		
	2012	34.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	35.7	34.4	34.4	33.3
Anticipated Year	2010	38.9	44.4	27.3	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	35.5		
	2012	36.8			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	20.8	24	24	24
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	43.8	43.8	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	27.9	29.5	29.9	30.6
Anticipated Year	2010	37	37.4	38.4	
of Graduation	2011	45.1	49.3		
	2012	34.8			
	Aggregated	34.8	36.4	33.3	30.6

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	35.7	34.4	34.4	33.3
Anticipated Year	2010	38.9	44.4	27.3	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	35.5		
	2012	36.8			
	Aggregated	37.2	37	30.8	33.3

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	20.8	24	24	24
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	43.8	43.8	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	31.7	34.5	31.7	24

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16 N<16		N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year	
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16		
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16			
	2012	N<16				
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16	

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	as:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baseling	ne).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	l districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic Growth Gaps	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's gra	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).						
	• above 10%.	• above 10%.						
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T							
	• at or above 22.	• at or above 22.						
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)).	Meets	3			
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator		Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this India	cator.		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement		
	below 37.5% Does Not Meet		Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement		
				• below 42%	Turnaround		

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments											
	Plan description											
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined										
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.										
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which										
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.										
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.											

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1