District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580 (All - 3 Year')

# Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 1\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Approaching	45.8%	( 6.9 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	39.5%	( 13.8 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	35.6%	( 5.3 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	63.3%	( 22.2 out of 35 points )	

Test Participation<sup>3</sup>

**TOTAL** 

Meets 95% Participation Rate

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points

48.2%

( 48.2 out of 100 points)

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

Safety<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rate</b>	es															
	% of Students Tested Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students								
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.5%	99.8%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	981	851	528	2360	981	855	529	2365
Mathematics	100.0%	99.4%	99.8%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	985	850	528	2363	985	855	529	2369
Writing	100.0%	99.5%	99.6%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	986	851	527	2364	986	855	529	2370
Science	100.0%	99.6%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	347	265	241	853	347	266	241	854
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	263	263	-	-	263	263

<sup>\*</sup> on July 1, 2014

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	930	66.77	30	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	929	70.51	50	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	930	50.43	36	
Science	2	4		Approaching	328	40.24	31	
<b>Total</b>	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	599	32	30	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	599	37	44	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	601	36	39	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	69	42	-	_
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet				,
		- · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ 5		Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	387	30	35	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	421	34	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	82	22	73	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	33	43	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	194	31	63	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	386	33	48	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	420	35	46	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	86	18	67	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	28	42	54	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	160	35	75	No
Writing	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	387	32	43	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	421	33	40	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	83	23	72	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	33	42	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	288	38	59	No
Total	17	60	28.3%					

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	816	58.46	20	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	815	36.56	15	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	816	47.79	24	
Science	2	4		Approaching	254	30.31	15	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	776	39	35	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	776	37	75	No
Writing	 1	4		Does Not Meet	777	37	47	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0	-	-	N<20	-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet	11 20			
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	498	38	39	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	600	39	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	99	30	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	36	42	50	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	277	37	67	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	498	37	79	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	600	37	77	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	96	33	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	36	37	78	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	434	39	92	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	499	35	52	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	601	36	48	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	99	24	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	36	42	67	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	359	32	77	No
Total	18	60	30%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: TRINIDAD 1 - 1580								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	503	57.06	13	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	503	14.91	9	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	502	39.64	28	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	231	30.3	11	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	479	42	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4	ı	Approaching	478	40	97	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	477	40	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	_
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
				··· ·	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching			C. C. Harris C. Contino	0,0,,,,,,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	20,0	Approaching	263	42	38	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	342	43	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	34	46	97	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	20	45	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4	1	Approaching	201	43	75	No
Mathematics	8	20	40%	Approaching			. 5	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	4070	Does Not Meet	263	33	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	341	36	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4	1	Approaching	34	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	20	44	98	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	330	40	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	330			140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	JU/0	Approaching	261	41	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	340	41	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	340	48	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	20	42	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4			261	42	90	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching  Approaching	201	40	30	INO
	Points Farnad					N	Rate/Score	Evpostation
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	Points Earned 2	Points Eligible 4	% Points	Rating Approaching	AF	<b>/V</b> <i>0</i> /335/226/107	<b>78.2</b> /77.9/76.5/73.8%	Expectation 80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	3	50%	Approaching	45	U1)333/220/10/	/0.2//3.0%	OU%
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	<u>3</u> 1	30%	Approaching Approaching	2/	<b>90</b> /218/148/75	<b>72.1</b> /71.6/68.9/68%	80%
	0.5	1				90/218/148/73 06/ <b>232</b> /158/71	74.5/ <b>74.6</b> /72.8/66.2%	80%
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching Approaching		5/ <b>43</b> /25/N<16	67.3/ <b>67.4</b> /64/-%	80%
English Learners	0.5	0				0/ <b>4.3</b> /25/N<16 N<16/N<16/N<16		80%
	4			- Evcends	11/10/		-/-/-%	
Dropout Rate  Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Exceeds		2146 263	0.7% 18.4	3.9% 20.1
•			C2 200	Approaching		203	10.4	ZU. I
Total	9.5	15	63.3%	Meets				

## **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	72.6	73.8	73.8	73.8
Anticipated Year	2010	74.4	79	79	
of Graduation	2011	77.1	80.7		
	2012	88.1			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	66.2	67.6	67.6	68
Anticipated Year	2010	64.8	71.2	70.3	
of Graduation	2011	72.7	76.1		
	2012	83.5			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	63.9	66.2	66.2	66.2
Anticipated Year	2010	70.1	77.3	78.2	
of Graduation	2011	74	79.5		
	2012	90.5			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	70.6	76.5		
	2012	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	72.6	73.8	73.8	73.8
Anticipated Year	2010	74.4	79	79	
of Graduation	2011	77.1	80.7		
	2012	88.1			
	Aggregated	78.2	77.9	76.5	73.8

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	66.2	67.6	67.6	68
Anticipated Year	2010	64.8	71.2	70.3	
of Graduation	2011	72.7	76.1		
	2012	83.5			
	Aggregated	72.1	71.6	68.9	68

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	63.9	66.2	66.2	66.2
Anticipated Year	2010	70.1	77.3	78.2	
of Graduation	2011	74	79.5		
	2012	90.5			
	Aggregated	74.5	74.6	72.8	66.2

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	70.6	76.5		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	67.3	67.4	64	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewor Points
	The district's percentage of studen	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	75.		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baseling	ne).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	2		(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching			content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).				1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:		•	<u> </u>		16	
Postsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average by	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)	).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the second control of the second co	state average (using 2009-10 baseling	e).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Ti	he district's average Colorado ACT c	omposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average by	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfo</b>	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined					
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.					
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which					
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.						

6

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

				,			5 Jean aggregate (=000 to Eastine)				,,,,,	
		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1