ECVCI. EIVII

District: DURANGO 9-R - 1520 (All - 1 Year')

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated ar accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	62.5%	(21.9 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	53.9%	(8.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.6%	(23.0 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL	64.3%	(64.3 out of 100 points)			
-------	-------	----------------------------	--	--	--

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance 4	Meets Requirements
Safety ⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

	% of Students Tested			Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.9%	97.3%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1011	891	536	2438	1013	892	551	2456
Mathematics	99.8%	99.8%	98.2%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1012	890	541	2443	1014	892	551	2457
Writing	100.0%	99.8%	97.5%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1015	890	537	2442	1015	892	551	2458
Science	99.4%	99.7%	96.9%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	315	315	254	884	317	316	262	895
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	259	259	-	-	261	261

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: DURANGO 9-R - 1520								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	969	75.34	63	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	968	72.62	56	
Writing	3	4		Meets	971	57.88	62	
Science	3	4		Meets	300	58.67	72	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
		- · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ .	5			Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u>% Points</u>	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile -	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	604	50	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	613	44	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	610	50	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	70	48	-	
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching		0.0110.7 0.00.110.0	Oroman rerecentine	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	227	44	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	153	45	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	67	42	69	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	30	48	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	136	50	60	No
Mathematics	8	20	40%	Approaching				,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	234	41	56	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	155	39	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	70	29	73	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	32	55	75	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	145	39	76	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	233	49	48	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	155	50	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	70	51	75	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	31	59	63	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	254	54	59	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: DURANGO 9-R - 1520								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	852	78.29	77	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	851	61.22	80	
Writing	3	4		Meets	851	66.75	80	
Science	3	4		Meets	306	61.11	81	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u> % Points</u>	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	788	56	21	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	787	47	59	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	784	54	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	24	65	<u>-</u>	-
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	285	53	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	223	54	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	75	61	77	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	48	60	77	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	182	55	66	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	284	44	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	223	45	78	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	34	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	48	51	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	266	46	92	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	282	49	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	219	54	64	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	75	47	85	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	47	60	83	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	267	54	78	No

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: DURANGO 9-R - 1520								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	517	74.08	58	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	521	43.19	77	
Writing	3	4		Meets	518	56.56	70	
Science	3	4	'	Meets	247	63.16	80	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	471	38	6	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	474	52	72	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	472	44	30	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching	=-			
					Cubanous	Cubaraun Madian	Cubaraum Madian Adaguata	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
			50%		/4	GIOWLII FEICEILLIE	Growth Fercentile	GIOWLII:
Reading	10	20	<u> </u>	Approaching	122		20	Vac
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	-	Approaching	132	44	20	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	107	44	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	44	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	25	47	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	100	47	72	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	136	45	95	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	109	44	87	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	53	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	26	43	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	211	53	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	133	45	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	108	42	59	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	45	40	98	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	25	59	83	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	164	38	91	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	34	6/404/352/ 403	76.6/72.3/79.5/ <i>80.6</i>%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	1	16/120/97/ <i>101</i>	57.8/54.2/54.6/ <i>64.4</i> %	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		80 /102/73/81	<i>66.3</i> /49/54.8/58%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		34/31/33/ 41	64.7/51.6/66.7/ <i>73.2</i> %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1	-	Does Not Meet		6/N<16/N<16/ <i>17</i>	-/-/-/ 47.1 %	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		2296	3.4%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		259	21.5	20.0

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	71.4	73.6	73.2	80.6
Anticipated Year	2010	74.5	76.7	79.5	
of Graduation	2011	69	72.3		
	2012	76.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	55.6	62.2	62.5	64.4
Anticipated Year	2010	48.9	56.8	54.6	
of Graduation	2011	54.2	54.2		
	2012	57.8			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	40	46.2	44.3	58
Anticipated Year	2010	46.7	51.3	54.8	
of Graduation	2011	44.9	49		
	2012	66.3			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	67.6	75.7	75.7	73.2
Anticipated Year	2010	52.9	66.7	66.7	
of Graduation	2011	48.3	51.6		
	2012	64.7			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	30.4	31.8	30.4	47.1
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	71.4 73.6 73			80.6
Anticipated Year	2010	74.5	76.7 79.5		
of Graduation	2011	69	72.3		
	2012	76.6			
	Aggregated	72.6	74.1	76	80.6

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	55.6	62.2	62.5	64.4
Anticipated Year	2010	48.9	56.8	54.6	
of Graduation	2011	54.2	54.2		
	2012	57.8			
	Aggregated	54.4	57.5	58.5	64.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	40	46.2	44.3	58
Anticipated Year	2010	46.7	51.3	54.8	
of Graduation	2011	44.9	49		
	2012	66.3			
	Aggregated	48.4	48.6	48.6	58

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	67.6	75.7	75.7	73.2
Anticipated Year	2010	52.9	66.7	66.7	
of Graduation	2011	48.3	51.6		
	2012	64.7			
	Aggregated	59	65.3	71.4	73.2

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	30.4	31.8	30.4	47.1
Anticipated Year 2010		N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	aduation 2011		N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	27.3	33.3	31.3	47.1

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewort Points	
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	5:		T	CAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's grac	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.			
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75			
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:					16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
Vorkforce Readiness	at or below the state average by	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Approaching		2			
	• above 10%.								
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Ti								
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	4					
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).	•	Meets		3			
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description									
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined								
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.								
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which								
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.									

6

DPF 2013 - 1520, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1