District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 1180

(All - 1 Year¹)

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earne
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 809
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 809
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 649
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 529
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 429

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible	2
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.1%	(22.1 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	59.4%	(8.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.6%	(23.0 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL	62.4%	(62.4 out of 100 points)		
-------	-------	----------------------------	--	--

² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

r Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.5%	98.7%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1439	941	785	3165	1442	946	795	3183
Mathematics	99.8%	99.6%	99.1%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1439	944	783	3166	1442	948	790	3180
Writing	99.9%	99.4%	99.0%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1441	940	787	3168	1442	946	795	3183
Science	99.5%	98.8%	98.2%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	396	337	391	1124	398	341	398	1137
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.4%	97.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	338	338	-	-	347	347

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 11	80							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1403	68.5	41	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1402	67.26	39	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1404	48.93	35	
Science	2	4		Approaching	391	44.25	39	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	975	45	31	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	995	49	52	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	976	42	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	798	37	-	-
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching		<u> </u>	Growin rerectione	Olowen:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	511	42	45	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	546	44	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	90	40	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	478	44	46	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	300	46	64	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching	300	10	V I	140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	527	49	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	567	48	62	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	91	45	82	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	498	48	65	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	315	59	81	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	512	38	51	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	547	40	51	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	90	57	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	479	40	52	No
		4		11		44	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	491	44	01	INO

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 11	180							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	912	65.46	33	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	914	46.39	40	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	912	54.71	45	
Science	3	4		Meets	327	46.48	52	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	880	55	30	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	880	47	73	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	881	57	51	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	107	51	-	-
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	418	56	55	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	484	56	54	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	85	49	81	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	399	59	58	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	288	56	70	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	417	48	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	482	50	89	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	85	43	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	397	51	90	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	418	49	94	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	417	60	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	485	59	73	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	85	56	91	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	400	60	75	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	389	60	81	No
Students needing to catch up	,	-		IVICCIS	303	00	01	110

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 1180								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	747	66.8	36	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	745	37.58	64	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	749	47.13	45	
Science	3	4		Meets	372	50.27	55	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	676	47	17	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	672	64	92	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	676	48	54	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	73	41	-	<u> </u>
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching	,,	- Growan revenue	Grover rerection	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	0070	Meets	281	50	45	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	369	52	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	34	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	290	54	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4	-	Approaching	208	53	79	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets	200		73	NO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	73/0	Meets	280	67	99	No
Minority Students	3	4	-	Meets	367	68	99	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	53	56	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	289	65	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4	-	Meets	366	68	99	No
	10		50%		300	00	33	INO
Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		20	<u> </u>	Approaching	201	47	02	NI-
	2	4		Approaching	281	47	83 83	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2 2	4		Approaching	369 54	48	99	No No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	290	44 		No
	2	4		Approaching	303	49	94	No No
Students needing to catch up Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching Approaching	303	49	94	INO
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness			% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
		Points Eligible	A FUITILS		2.5			•
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2.5	4		Meets	3/	74/ 392 /373/367	84.2/ <i>85.2</i> /83.9/83.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate		4	62.5%	Meets	1	01/ <i>125</i> /94/86	77 2 / 76 0 /70 2 /72 10/	000/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	<u> </u>		Approaching			72.3/ <i>76.8</i> /70.2/72.1%	80%
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0.75	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Meets		72/ 210 /141/149	74.4/ 80.5 /70.2/67.1%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		34/24/26/ 19	79.4/66.7/69.2/ 84.2 %	80%
English Learners	0.5	1	-	Approaching		69/ 88 /76/98	58/ 72.7 /64.5/65.3%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		2536	2.1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4	CE 501	Approaching		338	19.5	20.0
Total	10.5	16	65.6%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	74.9	83	83.5	83.9
Anticipated Year	2010	79	83.2	83.9	
of Graduation	2011	78.9	<i>85.2</i>		
	2012	84.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.5	72.4	72.4	72.1
Anticipated Year	2010	60	70.7	70.2	
of Graduation	2011	65.8	76.8		
	2012	72.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	51.6	65.6	67.1	67.1
Anticipated Year	2010	60.7	69	70.2	
of Graduation	2011	70.2	80.5		
	2012	74.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	75	80	84.2	84.2
Anticipated Year	2010	66.7	72	69.2	
of Graduation	2011	60	66.7		
	2012	79.4			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	47.5	63.2	65.6	65.3
Anticipated Year	2010	52.1	64.3	64.5	
of Graduation	2011	59	72.7		
	2012	58			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	74.9 83 83.5		83.9	
Anticipated Year	2010	79	83.2	83.9	
of Graduation	2011	78.9	85.2		
	2012	84.2			
	Aggregated	79.3	83.8	83.7	83.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.5	72.4	72.4	72.1
Anticipated Year	2010	60	70.7	70.2	
of Graduation	2011	65.8	76.8		
	2012	72.3			
	Aggregated	63.9	73.9	71.2	72.1

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	51.6	65.6	67.1	67.1
Anticipated Year	2010	60.7	69	70.2	
of Graduation	2011	70.2	80.5		
	2012	74.4			
	Aggregated	65	72.8	68.6	67.1

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	75	75 80 84.2		84.2
Anticipated Year	2010	66.7	7 72 69.2		
of Graduation	2011	60	66.7		
	2012	79.4			
	Aggregated	70.8	72.5	75.6	84.2

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	47.5	63.2	65.6	65.3
Anticipated Year	2010	52.1	64.3	64.5	
of Graduation	2011	59	72.7		
	2012	58			
	Aggregated	53.7	66.8	65.1	65.3

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	·s:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	at or above 60.	at or above 70.	at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
•	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average be	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)	•	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the :	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.							
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Ti	he district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.					4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).				3			
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic	cator.	Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework	of the total framework points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined									
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.									
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which									
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.										

6

DPF 2013 - 1180, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Distr	icts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th perce	ntile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th perce	ntile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th perce	ntile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1