Level: EMI

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (All - 3 Year

# **Accredited with Improvement Plan**

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>				
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	( 8.7 out of 15 points )			
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	( 22.5 out of 35 points )			
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.8%	( 7.9 out of 15 points )			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	53.1%	( 18.6 out of 35 points )			

Test Participation <sup>3</sup> Meets 95% Participation Rate

%	IOIAL	37.770	( 37:7 out of 100 points )		J
	<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insuffi	rient numbers	of students. In these cases, the n	oints are removed from the points	-

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

3 Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1)

meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements
Safety <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

s															
% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
99.7%	99.3%	97.5%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	20038	17993	11818	49849	20101	18112	12122	50335
99.8%	99.5%	97.9%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	20051	18017	11861	49929	20096	18105	12115	50316
99.7%	99.3%	97.6%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	20046	17979	11829	49854	20099	18114	12121	50334
99.8%	99.0%	96.7%	98.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6541	6012	5821	18374	6557	6075	6017	18649
=	-	95.4%	95.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	5283	5283	-	-	5538	5538
	Elem 99.7% 99.8% 99.7%	# Sof Studies           Elem         Middle           99.7%         99.3%           99.8%         99.5%           99.7%         99.3%	K of Students Tested           Elem         Middle         High           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%	% of Students Tested           Elem         Middle         High         Overall           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%	% of Students Tested           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%         Meets	% of Students Tested         Participa           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets         Meets           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets         Meets           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets         Meets           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%         Meets         Meets	% of Students Tested         Participation Rating           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle         High           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets         Meets         Meets           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%         Meets         Meets         Meets	% of Students Tested         Participation Rating           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle         High         Overall           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets	% of Students Tested         Participation Rating           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         20038           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         20051           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets         Meets<	% of Students Tested         Participation Rating         Studen           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         20038         17993           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         20051         18017           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         20046         17979           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         6541         6012	% of Students Tested         Participation Rating         Students Tested           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle         High           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         20038         17993         11818           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets         Meets         Meets         20051         18017         11861           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         20046         17979         11829           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%         Meets         Meets         Meets         Meets         6541         6012         5821	% of Students Tested         Participation Rating         Students Tested           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle         High         Overall           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         20038         17993         11818         49849           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets         Meets         Meets         20051         18017         11861         49929           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         20046         17979         11829         49854           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%         Meets         Meets         Meets         6541         6012         5821         18374	% of Students Tested         Participation Rating         Students Tested           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem           99.7%         99.3%         97.5%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         20038         17993         11818         49849         20101           99.8%         99.5%         97.9%         99.2%         Meets         Meets         Meets         20051         18017         11861         49929         20096           99.7%         99.3%         97.6%         99.0%         Meets         Meets         Meets         20046         17979         11829         49854         20099           99.8%         99.0%         96.7%         98.5%         Meets         Meets         Meets         6541         6012         5821         18374         6557	X of Students Tested         Participation Rating         Students Tested         Total Students Tested           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         Elem         Middle	% of Students Tested         Participation Rating         Students Tested         Total Students           Elem         Middle         High         Overall         High         Overall         High         Overall         High         Overall         High         Overall         High         Overall <th< td=""></th<>

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11	- 1010							(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	18807	69.92	42	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	18784	71	52	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	18773	53.64	46	
Science	2	4		Approaching	6161	44.2	42	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	11678	48	31	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	11715	48	46	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	11690	45	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	3571	50	-	-
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate  Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	6562	46	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	5591	46	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	834	38	78	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1653	49	45	Yes
				111000	.055	73	.5	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3470	50	63	No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics	<u>2</u> <b>9</b>	4 <b>20</b>	45%					
		·	45%	Approaching				
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching  Approaching	3470	50	63	No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	<b>9</b> 2	<b>20</b>	45%	Approaching Approaching Approaching	3470 6598	50 48	63 54	No No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	<b>9</b> 2 2	20 4 4	45%	Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	3470 6598 5616	50 48 48	63 54 53	No No No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	9 2 2 1	20 4 4 4	45%	Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet	3470 6598 5616 846	50 48 48 36	54 53 82	No No No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	9 2 2 1 2	20 4 4 4 4	45% 45%	Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching	3470 6598 5616 846 1664	50 48 48 36 49	54 53 82 57	No No No No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	9 2 2 1 2 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 4		Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching	3470 6598 5616 846 1664	50 48 48 36 49	54 53 82 57	No No No No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	9 2 2 1 2 2 9	20 4 4 4 4 4 4 20		Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching	3470 6598 5616 846 1664 3161	50 48 48 36 49 51	54 53 82 57 78	No No No No No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	9 2 2 1 2 2 9	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4		Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	3470 6598 5616 846 1664 3161	50 48 48 36 49 51	54 53 82 57 78	No No No No No No No No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	9 2 2 1 2 2 9 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4		Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	3470 6598 5616 846 1664 3161 6575 5599	50 48 48 36 49 51 42 44	54 53 82 57 78 47	No No No No No No No No No
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	9 2 2 1 2 2 9 2 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4		Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Approaching	3470 6598 5616 846 1664 3161 6575 5599 838	50 48 48 36 49 51 42 44 37	54 53 82 57 78 47 45	No

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11	- 1010							(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	16846	68.6	45	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	16863	52.07	56	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	16836	55.02	43	
Science	3	4		Meets	5630	47.57	52	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	15869	48	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	15886	45	66	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	15858	44	46	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	1044	49	-	_
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching		Or Or War I Creemine	orowan rerections	0,0,,,,,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	8326	45	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	7227	46	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1124	43	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1868	48	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4666	48	67	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8354	43	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	7244	43	74	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1132	42	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1885	44	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	6564	48	91	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	8329	40	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	7229	42	55	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	1125	39	91	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1874	45	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	6547	43	78	No

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 10	10							(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	11008	67.41	39	
Mathematics	3	4	'	Meets	11050	33.29	59	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	11015	48.71	47	
Science	2	4		Approaching	5413	46.92	41	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	10234	47	17	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	10294	47	89	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	10260	48	51	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	962	51	-	
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
		•••	00.770	, .pp. cucig				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	4551	47	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	4555	48	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	603	44	97	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1095	55	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3138	50	80	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4580	45	98	No
Minority Students	2	4	'	Approaching	4585	46	97	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	610	45	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1102	49	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	5692	49	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	4571	47	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4572	47	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	597	45	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1103	55	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4827	49	91	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching	-			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating			Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/ <i>7yr</i>	2	4		Approaching	9540	)/7361/4969/ <b>2593</b>	65.6/71.2/73.6/ <b>74.5</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	03.0, 1 (L) 13.0() 7.0()	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1	57.570	Does Not Meet	400	5/3019/1947/ <i><b>980</b></i>	53.5/60.6/63.6/ <b><i>64.8</i></b> %	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		1/2785/1783/ <i><b>929</b></i>	57.7/63.7/65.5/ <i>65.9</i> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		4/685/461/ <i>288</i>	33.9/45.7/56.4/ <i>57.3</i> %	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		10/311/184/ <i>93</i>	50/59.8/64.1/ <i>65.6</i> %	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	4	46939	3.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		5283		20.1
			E2 10'			3203	13.1	20.1
Total	8.5	16	53.1%	Approaching				

## **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	65.5	70.3	73.1	74.5
Anticipated Year	2010	65	71.6	74.1	
of Graduation	2011	64.8	71.7		
	2012	67			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	53.1	62.3	64.7	64.8
Anticipated Year	2010	56	62	62.8	
of Graduation	2011	50.6	58.3		
	2012	54.4			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	56	61.2	64.3	65.9
Anticipated Year	2010	56.5	64.2	66.7	
of Graduation	2011	57.1	65.6		
	2012	60.9			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	30.6	43.4	57	<i>57.3</i>
Anticipated Year	2010	35.5	48	55.8	
of Graduation	2011	35	46.2		
	2012	34.9			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.1	60.4	64.8	65.6
Anticipated Year	2010	52.7	65.9	63.5	
of Graduation	2011	46.8	55.3		
	2012	48			

#### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	65.5	70.3	73.1	74.5
Anticipated Year	2010	65	65 71.6 74.1		
of Graduation	2011	64.8	71.7		
	2012	67			
	Aggregated	65.6	71.2	73.6	74.5

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	53.1	62.3	64.7	64.8
Anticipated Year	2010	56	62	62.8	
of Graduation	2011	50.6	58.3		
	2012	54.4			
	Aggregated	53.5	60.6	63.6	64.8

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	56	61.2	64.3	65.9
Anticipated Year	2010	56.5	64.2	66.7	
of Graduation	2011	57.1	65.6		
	2012	60.9			
	Aggregated	57.7	63.7	65.5	65.9

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	30.6 43.4 57		57	57.3
Anticipated Year	2010	35.5	48 55.8		
of Graduation	F Graduation 2011		46.2		
	2012	34.9			
	Aggregated	33.9	45.7	56.4	57.3

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.1	60.4	64.8	65.6
Anticipated Year	2010	52.7	65.9	63.5	
of Graduation	of Graduation 2011		55.3		
	2012	48			
	Aggregated	50	59.8	64.1	65.6

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baseling	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	l districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The district's graduation rate/disaggregated						
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	<u>.</u> ).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.							
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	at or above 22.	,						
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)	•	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfo</b>	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment					
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this India	cator.		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement		
				• below 42%	Turnaround		

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined									
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.									
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which									
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.										

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1