District: PRITCHETT RE-3 - 0240

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Finance Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points L	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(15.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	

Test Participation³

TOTAL

Meets 95% Participation Rate

6			, p			
6	² Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to	o insufficient n	umbers of students. In t	hese cases	the points are r	emoved

from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

100.0%

(50.0 out of 50 points)

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Meets Requirements

Safety ⁴ **Meets Requirements**

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	16	10	11	37	16	10	11	37
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	16	10	11	37	16	10	11	37
Writing	93.8%	100.0%	100.0%	97.3%	-	-	-	Meets	15	10	11	36	16	10	11	37
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	10	3	4	17	10	3	4	17
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Data in this report is based on results from: 2012-13

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementar
District: PRITCHETT RE-3 - 0240								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	_	_	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	16	87.5	93	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	& Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	0	0	N FUIIIS		N<20	Wedian Growth Fercentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	
Reading	0	0		-			-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20 N<20	<u>-</u>		-
Writing English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20 N<20		-	
	-				11\20	- -	- -	-
Total	0	0	<u>%</u>	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	<u>%101113</u> %	- Naurig		1 ercentite	GIOWATT ETCETARE	Grower:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0			N<20			_
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20			_
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20			
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	_		_
Students needing to catch up	0	0			N<20	_		
Mathematics	0	0		-	11 -20			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	.	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Writing	0	0	 %	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	<u>-</u>		-

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: PRITCHETT RE-3 - 0240								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	·
Reading	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<16	_	-	
Writing	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	_	
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	70.1.00	- -	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		_	N<20	- -	-	-
Writing	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	-
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-		,		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	-	_	-
Mathematics	0	0		-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0		-				

							Level: High
							(1 Year)
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
0	0	%	-				
						Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile		Growth?
			-		-	-	-
			_		_	_	_
	-					_	_
						-	_
-		<u> </u>	_	14 -20			
<u> </u>	J	, v					
				Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
0	0	%	-				
0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
0	0		-				
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
0	0	%	_				
0			-	N<20	-	-	
0	0		_		-	-	_
0	0		_		-	-	_
0	0		_		-	-	_
0	0		_		-	-	_
0	0	<u></u> %	-				
Points Farned	Points Fligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
		70 1 01110		N<1			80%
				1431	0,11 10,11 110,11 110	, , , , ,	3370
		Α)		N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
							80%
							80%
							80%
				11/1			3.6%
			EXCEGUS		30	U/0	
							20.0
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 % 0 0 % 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 % - Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % - 0 0 % -	0 0 - N<16	0	0

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	70	N<16	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	62.5	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	5:		TCAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14	
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	0
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)	
	Made AGP Did Not Make AGP		TCAP					
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 60. • at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	below 60 but at or above 45. below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3				3	(4 for each of 5	0
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet	1		subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.73		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:		20031101111001		0.25	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline). at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).					2	,	
	• above 10%.	<u> </u>	-	Approaching Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	he district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:	•				
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description							
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined						
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.						
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which						
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

6

DPF 2013 - 0240, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1