(All 1 Voor

District: BYERS 32J - 0190 (All - 1 \)

## Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	2		
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	( 9.4 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.9%	( 22.4 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.8%	( 9.3 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	( 29.2 out of 35 points )	

Test Participation Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL 70.39	( 70.3 out of 100 points )
-------------	----------------------------

<sup>2</sup> Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety <sup>4</sup>	Meets Requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Ra</b>	ates															
		% of Stude	ents Tested		Pai	Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.0%	100.0%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	150	102	110	362	150	103	110	363
Mathematics	99.3%	99.0%	100.0%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	149	102	110	361	150	103	110	363
Writing	100.0%	99.0%	100.0%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	150	102	110	362	150	103	110	363
Science	94.4% <sup>6</sup>	98.1%	100.0%	99.3%	Does Not Meet	Meets	Meets	Meets	34	51	57	144	36	52	57	145
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	26	26	-	-	26	26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The district has exceeded the 1% cap on the percent of students scoring proficient on the CoAlt assessment. This may indicate that the district is over-identifying students for the alternate content assessment. Scores that exceed the 1% cap do not count as students tested (participants).

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: BYERS 32J - 0190								(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	134	76.87	67	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	130	74.62	61	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	131	52.67	46	
Science	2	4		Approaching	29	34.48	20	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	96	36	31	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	96	51	51	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	96	50	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	45	36	32	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	27	50	52	No
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	45	55	52	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	25	56	82	No
Writing	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	45	51	48	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	47	52	64	No

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: BYERS 32J - 0190								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	57	56.14	11	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	57	52.63	56	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	57	54.39	44	
Science	2	4		Approaching	38	39.47	32	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	57	30	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	56	60	69	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	57	35	55	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	25	41	33	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	27	63	No
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	24	54	71	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	24	66	92	No
Writing	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	37	55	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	37	73	No
Total	10	24	41.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: BYERS 32J - 0190								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	78	75.64	67	'
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	78	44.87	82	
Writing	3	4		Meets	78	55.13	65	
Science	3	4		Meets	44	65.91	84	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	74	55	12	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	75	54	87	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	74	64	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20		-	_
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	45	12	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Mathematics	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	24	58	85	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	40	56	99	No
Writing	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	23	75	36	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	28	71	94	No
Total	17	20	85%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		27/27/35/ <b>29</b>	96.3/96.3/94.3/ <i>100</i> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	<del></del> %	_				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<10	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<10	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<10	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		_	N<10	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		256	0%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		26	18	20.0
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

# **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.5	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2010	91.7	94.3	94.3	
of Graduation	2011	89.3	96.3		
	2012	96.3			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

## Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

## Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.5	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2010	91.7	94.3	94.3	
of Graduation	2011	89.3	96.3		
	2012	96.3			
	Aggregated	92.6	96.7	96.9	100

## Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	90.4	95	92.6	N<16

## Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	81.3	N<16	N<16	N<16

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16 N<16 N<16		N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The district's percentage of studer	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	5:		T	CAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP			
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet	1		subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.			
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	• at or above 80% but below 90%			Meets	3	0.75			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%			Approaching	2	0.73			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:		20031101111001		0.23	16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)		
		state average (using 2009-10 baseline		Approaching		2	,		
	• above 10%.								
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T								
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4			
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3			
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfo</b>	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The district earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined									
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.									
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which									
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.										

6

DPF 2013 - 0190, 1-Year

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math		Writing		Science				
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Distr	icts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th perce	ntile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th perce	ntile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th perce	ntile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Troniciant of Atarameta R			,			<del>-                                    </del>	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	,	10 50501110/			
	Reading			Math		Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18	
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31	

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

## State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1