Level: EMH

District: BRIGHTON 27J - 0040

# **Accredited with Improvement Plan**

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 1 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				<b>V</b> • ,
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	( 7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	61.9%	( 21.7 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.1%	( 7.7 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	54.7%	( 19.1 out of 35 points )	
-				

Test Participation<sup>3</sup>

**TOTAL** 

**Meets 95% Participation Rate** 

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

56.0%

(56.0 out of 100 points)

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance <sup>4</sup> **Meets Requirements** 

Safety<sup>4</sup> **Meets Requirements** 

<sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Ra</b>	tes															
% of Students Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Students Tested			Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.3%	97.0%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	11669	9817	5903	27389	11713	9885	6085	27683
Mathematics	99.7%	99.5%	97.7%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	11691	9836	5943	27470	11722	9888	6086	27696
Writing	99.6%	99.3%	97.0%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	11673	9815	5900	27388	11721	9884	6085	27690
Science	99.7%	99.4%	97.0%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	3678	3177	2799	9654	3688	3196	2886	9770
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.1%	97.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2343	2343	-	-	2414	2414

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
District: BRIGHTON 27J - 0040								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	11219	69.22	38	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	11226	68.17	41	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	11213	52.85	43	
Science	2	4		Approaching	3545	39.83	30	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	7107	47	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	7164	49	50	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	7096	48	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	2860	53	-	_
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching		Oroman renderance	0.00.00.00.00.00	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	2804	45	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3656	45	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	637	40	75	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1245	46	47	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2174	50	62	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2842	48	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	3697	48	55	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	651	39	82	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1283	48	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2144	53	78	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	·	Approaching	2796	47	49	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	3641	47	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	636	37	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1239	49	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3537	49	60	No
Students needing to catch up								

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
District: BRIGHTON 27J - 0040								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	9403	62.8	29	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9422	45.52	38	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	9399	48.88	27	
Science	2	4		Approaching	3034	41.27	36	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	9047	45	34	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	9076	44	71	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	9020	42	51	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	864	48	-	-
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3642	43	45	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4869	44	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	746	48	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1666	45	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3108	49	67	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3663	42	77	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4891	42	75	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	748	41	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1676	41	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4292	46	91	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	3631	40	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	4857	41	59	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	739	41	91	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1665	44	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4301	45	78	No

Performance Indicators								Level: High
District: BRIGHTON 27J - 0040								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	5623	61.62	22	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5657	24.61	33	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5620	40.69	30	
Science	2	4		Approaching	2667	40.64	26	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	5174	46	25	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	5211	47	96	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	5171	47	65	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	484	56	-	-
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching			0.00000	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1369	45	41	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	2726	47	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	319	37	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	986	51	58	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	-	Approaching	1914	46	78	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	1311	10	,,	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	50,0	Approaching	1381	46	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2742	45	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	320	41	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	991	46	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	3340	46	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	33.0	10	33	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3070	Approaching	1371	46	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2726	46	77	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	317	43	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	987	50		No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2876	47	91	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching	2070			110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	3342	2/ <b>2474</b> /1605/777	70.5/ <i><b>75.2</b></i> /75.2/71.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	 1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	109	90/ <i><b>769</b></i> /512/251	62.9/ <i>68.7</i> /68.4/60.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		/1/ <i>1288</i> /819/395	63.2/ <i>69.1</i> /68.5/62.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		49/173/106/ <i>56</i>	47.4/57.2/58.5/ <i>64.3</i> %	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		4/376/ <b>244</b> /130	56.6/63.3/ <i>65.2</i> /60%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		19675	2.9%	3.9%
•								20.1
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		2343	18.4	20.1

## **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

# This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	64.1	69.8	71	71.4
Anticipated Year	2010	72.9	77.9	79.2	
of Graduation	2011	72.9	77.6		
	2012	71.5			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.5	59.8	61.4	60.6
Anticipated Year	2010	67.5	74.5	73.6	
of Graduation	2011	66.3	70.3		
	2012	63.6			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.8	60.8	62	62.8
Anticipated Year	2010	66.6	72.4	74.6	
of Graduation	2011	68.2	73.3		
	2012	64.1			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	46	58.8	64	64.3
Anticipated Year	2010	40.3	52.9	53.6	
of Graduation	2011	52.1	59.2		
	2012	50			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

					_
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	50	59.3	60.3	60
Anticipated Year	2010	61.2	67.9	69.9	
of Graduation	2011	58.2	63.1		
	2012	57.3			

#### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	64.1	69.8	71	71.4
Anticipated Year	2010	72.9	77.9	79.2	
of Graduation	2011	72.9	77.6		
	2012	71.5			
	Aggregated	70.5	75.2	75.2	71.4

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.5	59.8	61.4	60.6
Anticipated Year	2010	67.5	74.5	73.6	
of Graduation	2011	66.3	70.3		
	2012	63.6			
	Aggregated	62.9	68.7	68.4	60.6

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.8	60.8	62	62.8
Anticipated Year	2010	66.6	72.4	74.6	
of Graduation	2011	68.2	73.3		
	2012	64.1			
	Aggregated	63.2	69.1	68.5	62.8

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	46	58.8	64	64.3
Anticipated Year	2010	40.3	52.9	53.6	
of Graduation	2011	52.1	59.2		
	2012	50			
	Aggregated	47.4	57.2	58.5	64.3

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	50	59.3	60.3	60
Anticipated Year	2010	61.2	67.9	69.9	
of Graduation	2011	58.2	63.1		
	2012	57.3			
	Aggregated	56.6	63.3	65.2	60

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4- year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points	
	The district's percentage of studen	nts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		T	CAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all districts (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15	
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	• below the 15th percentile of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline).							
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate graduation rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's grad	duation rate/disaggregated		Overall	Disaggr.			
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75			
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropo	ut rate was:		_			16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
Orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average be	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)	•	Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the s	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Approaching		2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Ti	he district's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:						
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4			
	at or above the state average by	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3			
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfo</b>	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment			
Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework	points eligible.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement
				• below 42%	Turnaround

District Plan Type Assign	District Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined						
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district.						
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which						
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP	
Exceeds	Exceeds 60-99		65-99	
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64	
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49	
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34	

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

## State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1