District: LIBERTY J-4 - 3230 (All - 3 Year')

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	47.2%	(16.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	84.6%	(29.6 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL 61.1% (61.1 out of 100 points)

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	67	39	33	139	67	39	33	139
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	67	39	32	138	67	39	32	138
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	67	39	33	139	67	39	33	139
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	16	21	18	55	16	21	18	55
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	17	-





Academic Achievement Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N % Proficient/Advanced District's Percentile	Performance Indicators							Lovel: El	omontary School
Reademic Achievement Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N								Levei. Ei	
Reading		Points Farned	Points Fliaible	% Points	Ratina	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(5 Teal
Mathematics 2				70 T OHITES					
Writing 2									
Science O									-
Total					-				
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth? Reading 2 4 Approaching 38 30 25 Yes Mathematics 1 4 Does Not Meet 37 27 56 No Writing 1 4 Does Not Meet 37 32 46 No Freglish Language Proficiency (CELApro) 0 0 No No <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>50%</td> <td>Approaching</td> <td>11110</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		-		50%	Approaching	11110			
Reading Points Earned Points Eligible Rating Rating Rating Reading Seading Sea								Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Mathematics	Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	•	•
Writing 1 4 Does Not Meet 37 32 46 No English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 0 0 - N 20 - <td>Reading</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>Approaching</td> <td>38</td> <td>30</td> <td>25</td> <td>Yes</td>	Reading	2	4		Approaching	38	30	25	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	27	56	No
Academic Growth Gaps	Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	32	46	No
Subgroup Median Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Growth?	English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Percentile Growth Percentile Growth? Reading 0 0 % -	Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible						Subgroup			Made Adequate
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20	Reading	0	0	%	-				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 0 0 0 N<20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners 0 0 - N<20 -	Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics 0 0 % - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20 - - - Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 - - - - Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -	Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 -	Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners 0 0 - N<20 -	Minority Students	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up 0 0 - N<20 - <t< td=""><td>Students with Disabilities</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>N<20</td><td>=</td><td>-</td><td>=</td></t<>	Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	-	=
Writing 0 0 % - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20	English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 0 0 - N<20 - <th< td=""><td>Students needing to catch up</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>=</td><td>N<20</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></th<>	Students needing to catch up	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students 0 0 - N<20 -	Writing	0	0	%	-				
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners 0 0 - N<20 - - - - -	Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
	Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up 0 0 - N<20	English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
	Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-

2

%

0

0

Total

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
District: LIBERTY J-4 - 3230								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	43.24	3	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	37	48.65	49	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	35.14	8	
Science	2	4		Approaching	20	35	21	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	37	42	No
Mathematics	2	4	-	Approaching	35	49	75	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	35	50	64	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating -		•		•
Reading				Rating - -		•		•
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	Percentile -	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	0 0	0 0 0		-	N<20 N<20	Percentile -	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		-	N<20 N<20 N<20	Percentile - - -	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		-	N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	%	-	N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	%	- - - - - -	N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	%		N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	%		N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20		Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	%		N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20		Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	%		N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20		Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	%	- - - - - - - - - -	N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20		Growth Percentile	Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	%	- - - - - - - - - -	N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20 N<20		Growth Percentile	Growth?

N<20

N<20

22

Approaching

Approaching

Students with Disabilities

Students needing to catch up

English Learners

Total

0

0

2

2

0

0

4

4

50%

-

49

84

No

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: LIBERTY J-4 - 3230								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4	72101100	Approaching	31	67.74	40	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	31	35.48	63	1
Writing	2	4		Approaching	31	48.39	46	
Science	3	4		Meets	17	64.71	86	'
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
			0=1071					
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	31	40	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	31	66	93	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	31	64	70	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Ruting		rercentile	Growth Percentile	Growth:
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	<u></u>	-				<u>, </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	=
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	=
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Writing	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		32 /26/19/N<16	96.9 /96.2/94.7/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	1	100%	Exceeds		,0, 20, 20	20.0,30.2,31.1,70	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	1	7 /N<16/N<16/N<16	94.1/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0				16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0				16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-% -/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	11/	154	-/-/-/- 0%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		17	17.9	20.1
Colorado ACT Composite Store		7		Approaching		1/	11.3	20.1

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
,,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced	was.					P	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile or			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile or			Approaching		2	content area)	
7 tome verneme	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percentile		ntile was:	Does Not Meet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	g		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student arowt	h percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.		прососия пос	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	_ pronoiency,	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student are	wth percentile was:			4.0		
	• at or above 60.	, ,	man panaanima maa	Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	7	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate studen	t arowth percentile and its median stu	dent arowth percentile was:				subgroups in 3	15
C. C. I. I. I. Cupo	• at or above 70.	9	g	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	†	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	7	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The o	district's araduation rate/disagare	paated araduation rate wa		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	and the control of th	gatea graduation rate me	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				_	3123	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		plinal				3	indicator)	33
orkiorce Readiness	 at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 base at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 base 			Meets		2	indicator)	
	above 10%. above 10%.	seinie).		Approaching Does Not Meet		1	+	
				Does Not Weet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Color	ado ACT composite score was:		-			4	i
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	4	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 base			Meets		3	4	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 base	line).		Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1	<u> </u>	
-Points for each perf	ormance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditat	tion category assignm	ent			
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this In	dicator.		Cut Point: The district	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
Achievement; • a	it or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
	t or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - belo	ow 80%			Accredited
· • —	t or above 37.5% below 63.5%	A	Total Framowork	• at or above 52% - beld				Improvement

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

Total Framework

Points

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 3230

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	96.9	96.2	94.7	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	94.1	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 3230