Level: EMH

District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700 (All - 3 Year')

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	70.8%	(10.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	65.5%	(22.9 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	47.8%	(7.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	53.1%	(18.6 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		59.3%	(59.3 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.7%	99.2%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	5810	6824	4021	16655	5831	6842	4052	16725
Mathematics	99.7%	99.7%	99.3%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	5807	6829	4027	16663	5826	6848	4055	16729
Writing	99.6%	99.7%	99.2%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	5811	6820	4020	16651	5832	6841	4052	16725
Science	99.7%	99.6%	99.2%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1972	2283	1967	6222	1978	2292	1982	6252
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.4%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	1718	-	-	-	1728	-





Doufouse and Indicators							Level. El	amantanı Cahaal
Performance Indicators	20						Levei: Ei	ementary School
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 270		Balata Elizible	0/ 0-1-1-	Dutie		0/ Due 5:	Districtle Deves wills	(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	1
Reading	3	4		Meets	5560	73.69	58	1
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	5545	75.96	70	
Writing	3	4		Meets	5553	56.69	53	
Science	3	4		Meets	1901	48.87	51	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011163	Meets	3531	48	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3539	43	44	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3530	48	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	350	53	42	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets	330		74	103
	0.0							
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1509	45	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1261	46	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	367	39	67	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	167	47	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	911	47	60	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	1516	39	51	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1266	41	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	377	34	71	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	172	34	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	747	47	74	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1506	45	46	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1261	48	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	369	36	74	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	167	58	55	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1554	50	60	No

30

Total

60

50%

Approaching

2

Dorformanco Indicators							Love	l: Middle School
Performance Indicators							Leve	
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 270								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	6519	73.08	61	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	6521	53.64	61	
Writing	3	4		Meets	6518	60.51	62	
Science	3	4		Meets	2173	53.43	65	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011115	Meets	6186	46	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	6195	41	64	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	6189	44	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	102	54	53	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching	102	31	33	163
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	2169	43	36	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2205	42	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	524	40	74	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	189	45	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1587	47	64	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	2173	38	73	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	2210	39	68	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	528	34	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	189	44	82	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2384	45	88	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	2169	39	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2206	42	48	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	524	38	88	No

189

2206

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

3

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

2

2

25

4

4

60

41.7%

45

45

74

77

No

No

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	3846	72.72	55	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3848	27.73	39	
Writing	3	4		Meets	3844	53.04	58	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1890	48.89	48	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3628	49	17	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3629	42	91	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3626	48	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	72	59	67	No
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
	J.J			Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1179	49	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1124	48	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	242	53	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	106	50	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	957	52	75	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1179	41	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1124	41	96	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	239	41	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	106	33	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	2138	42	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1179	46	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1124	44	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	242	49	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	106	50	90	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1489	49	90	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	26	71/2066/1418/ 688	72.7/73.4/73.6/ 73.8 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	g	957/737/494/ 250	63.8/67.6/66.6/ 68 %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		743/ 578 /400/184	61.2/ 61.6 /61/61.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		233/179/118/ 57	42.9/54.2/66.1/ 75.4 %	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		63/ 50 /35/18	47.6/ 56 /54.3/50%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		14950	2.8%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		1718	19.4	20.1
Total	8.5	16	53.1%	Approaching				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
'	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ad mac.	nating	10111	t varac	Total i ossible per Elvin Eever	Tramework ro
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10)		Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	·	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	,	Approachi	σ	2	content area)	
Acmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli		Does Not M	~	1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	,	2003 1100 111	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	g 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M	_	0.5	and 2 for	35
	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student growth percentile		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	,,	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	g 2	1	1 "	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	et 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grov	yth percentile and its median student growth percent	le was:	_	_		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	g	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its median student growth	percentile was:	<u> </u>		subgroups in 3	15
·	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	g	2	7	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	et	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's graduation rate/disaggregated gra	luation rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approachi	g 2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not M	et 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
ostsecondary and	at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b)	aseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10)	•	Approachi	g	2	7	
	• above 10%.	••••	Does Not M	~	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	T	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 bases)	seline).	Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba		Approachi	g	2		
	• below 17.	•	Does Not M		1	1	
Dointe for oach word		_ Cut Dains				-	
-Points for each perf			s for accreditation category assig		af the s	atal Francescal mainta di Calla	
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this			ict earned	or the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
Achievement; • a	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	at or above 80%				Distinction

Cut Points for each pair of mance indextor Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Achievement; Growth; Gaps 4 or above 87.5% - below 87.5% - below 87.5% - below 62.5% - below 64% - at or above 64% - below 64% - below

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18	
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 2700

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70.5	71.8	73.3	73.8
Anticipated Year	2009	68.3	71.2	73.9	
of Graduation	2010	73.8	77.9		
	2011	79.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	59.8	64.7	66.9	68
Anticipated Year	2009	59	63.2	66.3	
of Graduation	2010	66.5	75.3		
	2011	71.4			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	57.6	60	60.8	61.4
Anticipated Year	2009	54.4	57.6	61.2	
of Graduation	2010	63.3	68.6		
	2011	71.9			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	29.3	53.4	71.9	75.4
Anticipated Year	2009	32.3	51.6	60.7	
of Graduation	2010	48.3	57.9		
	2011	65.4			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	33.3	50	50	50
Anticipated Year	2009	52.9	58.8	58.8	
of Graduation	2010	47.1	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	70.5	71.8	73.3	73.8
Anticipated Year	2009	68.3	71.2	73.9	
of Graduation	2010	73.8	77.9		
	2011	79.2			
	Aggregated	72.7	73.4	73.6	73.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	59.8	64.7	66.9	68
Anticipated Year	2009	59	63.2	66.3	
of Graduation	2010	66.5	75.3		
	2011	71.4			
	Aggregated	63.8	67.6	66.6	68

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	57.6	60	60.8	61.4
Anticipated Year	2009	54.4	57.6	61.2	
of Graduation	2010	63.3	68.6		
	2011	71.9			
	Aggregated	61.2	61.6	61	61.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	29.3	53.4	71.9	75.4
Anticipated Year	2009	32.3	51.6	60.7	
of Graduation	2010	48.3	57.9		
	2011	65.4			
	Aggregated	42.9	54.2	66.1	75.4

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	33.3	50	50	50
Anticipated Year	2009	52.9	58.8	58.8	
of Graduation	2010	47.1	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	47.6	56	54.3	50

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 2700