District Performance Framework 2012

District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690

Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned				
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%				
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%				
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%				
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%				
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%				

TOTAL

Safety⁴

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	43.8%	(6.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	47.6%	(16.7 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	40.0%	(6.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	48.4%	(16.9 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

46.2%

(46.2 out of 100 points)

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements

Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested			Participa	Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.4%	98.6%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	4128	3569	2397	10094	4139	3589	2430	10158
Mathematics	99.7%	99.5%	98.9%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	4132	3570	2404	10111	4144	3588	2430	10162
Writing	99.8%	99.4%	98.8%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	4135	3568	2400	10103	4144	3588	2430	10162
Science	99.1%	99.2%	98.7%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1367	1122	1174	3668	1379	1131	1189	3699
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	956	-	-	-	976	-

SCHOOLVIEW.org

Level: EMH

(All - 1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Schoo
District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3978	69.31	43	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3975	64.28	29	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3977	49.43	37	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1320	34.39	20	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2537	42	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2538	42	53	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2537	44	46	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	445	58	39	Yes
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approaching	1784	39	35	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1897	41	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	381	35	58	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	213	37	41	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	768	42	60	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1788	40	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1899	42	57	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	382	39	72	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	222	46	63	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	845	47	77	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1786	42	49	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1896	43	48	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	379	38	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	215	48	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1276	47	62	No
Total	26	60	43.3%	Approaching				

DPF 2012 - 2690

2

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle School
District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3412	60.08	19	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	3413	32.87	13	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	3411	44.8	19	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	1067	28.4	14	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	3256	40	35	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	3267	28	75	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	3260	39	57	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	161	45	46	No
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	2273	38	40	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	2441	38	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	381	34	68	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	308	42	51	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1317	41	64	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	2284	27	78	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	2450	27	77	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	386	28	96	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	310	27	86	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	1767	32	93	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	2276	36	62	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	2444	38	60	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	384	39	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	310	44	72	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	1648	39	80	No
Total	19	60	31.7%	Does Not Meet				

3

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2286	62.77	25	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	2293	16.48	10	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2289	42.16	33	
Science	2	4		Approaching	1113	31	16	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2174	49	27	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	2187	36	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2178	47	63	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	75	62	71	No
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1288	47	42	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1449	47	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	210	42	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	181	48	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	907	50	74	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet	507		17	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	23/8		1298	33	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	1298	33	99	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	212	27	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	183	34	99	No
	1	4		Does Not Meet	1624	36	99	No
Students needing to catch up		-	F 00/		1024	30	99	INU
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	1200			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1290	45	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1453	46	72	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	212	45	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	183	42	88	No
Students needing to catch up Total	2 27	4 60	45%	Approaching Approaching	1185	47	92	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
· · ·	2	Points Eligible	70 F 011115		1.17	88/1382/ 1344 /1356	62.9/65.4/ 69.9 /66.5%	80%
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	4 4	43.8%	Approaching Approaching	143	00/1302/ 1344 /1330	02.9/03.4/ 03.9 /00.3%	0070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	<u>4</u> 1	43.0%			005/806/ 872 /825	57.3/59.2/ 65.5 /60.8%	80%
	0.5	1		Approaching	995/896/ 873 /825 912/836/ 799 /807		58.6/60.9/ 66.8 /62.7%	80%
Minority Students				Approaching		12/836/ 799 /807		
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet			16/35.1/53.6/ 64.1 %	80%
English Learners	0.5 2	<u> </u>		Approaching		66/79/ 91 /65	53/62/ 72.5 /55.4%	80%
Dropout Rate				Approaching		8809 956	5.2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4	40 40/	Approaching		920	18.3	20.0
Total	7.75	16	48.4%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poin
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b			Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		·).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli		,	Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	1	centile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	<u>_</u>		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student gro		TCAP	CELA	English		
	• at or above 70.		•	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student o	rowth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	·	·	Exceeds		4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	t	1	(4 for each of 5	15
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile was:				subgroups in 3	
·	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		1 .	ĺ
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	-	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	t	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e district's graduation rate/disage	gregated graduation rate w	vas:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:						16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
/orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 l			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:			·		1	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	1 I	4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	seline)		Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	•		Approaching	-	2	-	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet	-	1	-	
						-		
-Points for each per			Cut-Points for accredit					
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				t earned .	of the to	tal Framework points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - be				Accredited
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - be				Improvement
• 1	pelow 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - be 	low 52%		Pr	iority Improvement

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvance	d by Pero	centile Cu	t-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10) baselin	e)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138	
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27	
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93	
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39	
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvance	d by Perc	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggregat	te (2008-	10 base	line)		
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing		Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179	
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43	

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

70.37

83.42

49.11

65.33

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

46.81

65.86

49.18

67.31

47.50

66.52

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56	63.3	64.8	66.5
Anticipated Year	2009	63.3	66.7	69.9	
of Graduation	2010	60.5	65.4		
	2011	62.9			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	56	63.3	64.8	66.5
Anticipated Year	2009	63.3	66.7	69.9	
of Graduation	2010	60.5	65.4		
	2011	62.9			
	Aggregated	60.6	65.1	67.4	66.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	48.7	57.1	59	60.8
Anticipated Year	2009	56.3	61.5	65.5	
of Graduation	2010	53.8	59.2		
	2011	57.3			
	Aggregated	54.1	59.3	62.3	60.8

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.8	59.7	60.8	62.7
Anticipated Year	2009	58.9	63.2	66.8	
of Graduation	2010	56	60.9		
	2011	58.6			
	Aggregated	56.3	61.3	63.8	62.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

-		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	25.2	40.6	55.6	64.1
Anticipated Year	2009	22.7	38.5	53.6	
of Graduation	2010	22.6	35.1		
	2011	16			
	Aggregated	21.7	38	54.6	64.1

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

0							
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year		
	2008	42.4	51.5	53	55.4		
Anticipated Year	2009	55.3	68.1	72.5			
of Graduation	2010	55.7	62				
	2011	53					
	Aggregated	52.1	61.5	64.3	55.4		

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	48.7	57.1	59	60.8
Anticipated Year	2009	56.3	61.5	65.5	
of Graduation	2010	53.8	59.2		
	2011	57.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	51.8	59.7	60.8	62.7
Anticipated Year	2009	58.9	63.2	66.8	
of Graduation	2010	56	60.9		
	2011	58.6			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	25.2	40.6	55.6	64.1
Anticipated Year	2009	22.7	38.5	53.6	
of Graduation	2010	22.6	35.1		
	2011	16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	42.4	51.5	53	55.4
Anticipated Year	2009	55.3	68.1	72.5	
of Graduation	2010	55.7	62		
	2011	53			