Level: EMH

District: EAST OTERO R-1 - 2520 (All - 3 Year')

Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	43.8%	(6.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	51.3%	(18.0 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.2%	(6.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.0%	(22.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		54.2%	(54.2 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.9%	99.5%	97.9%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1038	632	563	2233	1039	635	575	2249
Mathematics	99.8%	99.4%	98.1%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1035	631	564	2232	1037	635	575	2247
Writing	99.9%	99.5%	97.7%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	1037	632	562	2231	1038	635	575	2248
Science	100.0%	99.2%	97.6%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	264	264	286	814	264	266	293	823
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	268	-	-	-	269	-





Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary School
District: EAST OTERO R-1 - 2520								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	996	57.63	10	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	994	58.85	19	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	995	39.1	11	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	255	28.24	10	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	681	48	41	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	679	50	60	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	680	48	53	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	37	24	23	Yes
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	506	46	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	453	46	47	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	60	43	78	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	51	48	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	293	54	64	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	·	Approaching	504	48	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	451	47	65	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	60	40	86	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	51	52	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	271	50	80	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	505	48	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	452	48	61	No
Students with Disabilities								

51

405

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

2

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

2

2

30

4

4

60

50%

48

49

70

69

No

No

Does Not Meet

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

64

37

229

Students with Disabilities

Students needing to catch up

English Learners

Total

1

2

2

29

4

4

4

60

48.3%

32

40

44

89

77

81

No

No

No

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Scho
District: EAST OTERO R-1 - 2520								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	526	62.17	22	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	527	24.48	33	,
Writing	2	4		Approaching	525	40	29	,
Science	2	4		Approaching	271	38.75	24	,
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
a and ancia Cuarreth	Dainta Faunad	Dainta Flinible	0/ Dainta	Dartin a		Madina Carath Barantila	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	506	38	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	507	42	95	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	503	36	53	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequa
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	336	37	31	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	310	41	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	37	96	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	172	34	71	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	337	43	97	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	310	42	98	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	45	45	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	330	38	99	No
Vriting	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	333	37	62	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	306	37	62	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	37	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	257	36	87	No
Total	17	48	35.4%	Does Not Meet	23,		<u>.</u>	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	4	78/370/ 257 /130	82/83.8/ 84 /83.1%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	3	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		257/186/ 120 /59	76.3/78.5/ 79.2 /74.6%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		269/192/ 126 /66	78.8/82.8/ 83.3/83.3 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		59/ 40 /30/18	62.7/ 70 /7 0 /66.7%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		2142	2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		268	17.7	20.1
Total	9.75	15	65%	Meets				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
'	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ad mac.	nating	10111	t varac	Total i ossible per Elvin Eever	Tramework ro
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10)		Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	·	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	,	Approachi	σ	2	content area)	
Acmevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli		Does Not M	~	1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	,	2003 1100 111	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	g 2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M	_	0.5	and 2 for	35
	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student growth percentile		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	,,	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	g 2	1	1 "	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	et 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grov	yth percentile and its median student growth percent	le was:	_	_		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approachi	g	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not M	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	<u> </u>		subgroups in 3	15		
·	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approachi	g	2	7	
	• below 40.		Does Not M	et	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's graduation rate/disaggregated gra	luation rate was:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approachi	g 2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not M	et 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:					16	
ostsecondary and	at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b)	aseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10)	•	Approachi	g	2	7	
	• above 10%.	••••	Does Not M	~	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds	T	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 bases)	seline).	Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba		Approachi	g	2		
	• below 17.	•	Does Not M		1	1	
Dointe for oach word		_ Cut Dains				-	
-Points for each perf			s for accreditation category assig		af the s	atal Francescal mainta di Calla	
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this			ict earned	or the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
Achievement; • a	t or above 87.5%	Exceeds	at or above 80%				Distinction

Cut-Points for each performance indicator Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Achievement; Growth; Gaps 4 or above 87.5% - below 87.5% - below 87.5% - below 62.5% - below 64% - at or above 64% - below 64% - do rabove 64% - below 64% - bel

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 2520

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	82.9	83.7	83.1	83.1
Anticipated Year	2009	84	84.3	85	
of Graduation	2010	81.6	83.3		
	2011	79.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	74.1	75.9	74.6	74.6
Anticipated Year	2009	81.7	82	83.6	
of Graduation	2010	74.6	77.6		
	2011	75			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	81.8	83.3	83.3	83.3
Anticipated Year	2009	82.8	83.3	83.3	
of Graduation	2010	77.6	81.8		
	2011	74.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	55.6			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	82.9	83.7	83.1	83.1
Anticipated Year	2009	84	84.3	85	
of Graduation	2010	81.6	83.3		
	2011	79.1			
	Aggregated	82	83.8	84	83.1

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	74.1	75.9	74.6	74.6
Anticipated Year	2009	81.7	82	83.6	
of Graduation	2010	74.6	77.6		
	2011	75			
	Aggregated	76.3	78.5	79.2	74.6

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	81.8	83.3	83.3	83.3
Anticipated Year	2009	82.8	83.3	83.3	
of Graduation	2010	77.6	81.8		
	2011	74.4			
	Aggregated	78.8	82.8	83.3	83.3

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	55.6			
	Aggregated	62.7	70	70	66.7

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 2520