District: WIGGINS RE-50(J) - 2515 (All - 1 Year')

Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	72.9%	(10.9 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	76.3%	(26.7 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL 72.6% (72.6 out of 100 points)

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	105	112	68	285	105	112	68	285
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	105	112	68	285	105	112	68	285
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	105	112	68	285	105	112	68	285
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	33	39	35	107	33	39	35	107
Colorado ACT	-	-	96.4%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	28	-





Dorformanco Indicators							Lovel, E	lementary Schoo
Performance Indicators District: WIGGINS RE-50(J) - 2515							Level: E	(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(1 fear
Reading	3	4	70 FOIILS	Meets	102	82.35	85	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	102	78.43	75	
Writing	3	4		Meets	102	58.82	65	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	32	71.88	93	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets	32	/1.00	53	
1000			01.5/0	Wicets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	64	50	24	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	64	65	40	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	64	62	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	2	2		Exceeds	38	66	52	Yes
Total	13	14	92.9%	Exceeds				_
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	34	43	30	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	34	62	46	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	=
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	34	52	43	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	23	59	58	Yes

12

Total

16

75%

Meets

Daufaumanaa ludisataus							Lov	d. Middle Cobool
Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
District: WIGGINS RE-50(J) - 2515 Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(1 Year)
Reading	3	A POINTS ENGINE	70 FUIILS	Meets	108	77.78	75	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	107	55.14	67	
Writing	3	4 4	-	Meets	108	62.04	68	-
Science	3	4		Meets	38	55.26	66	
Total		16	75%	Meets	36	33.20	00	
iotai	12	10	/5%	ivieets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	104	45	27	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	105	63	73	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	104	54	44	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	52	43	36	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	38	49	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	35	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	26	42	62	No
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	52	53	77	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	26	66	85	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	27	66	86	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	37	78	95	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	52	49	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	26	49	63	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	44	72	No
Ct. dayle could be to cotal.					26		03	

Approaching

Approaching

36

3

Students needing to catch up

Total

2

26

4

48

54.2%

49

DPF 2012 - 2515

83

No

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: WIGGINS RE-50(J) - 2515								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	67	73.13	55	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	67	26.87	35	
Writing	3	4		Meets	67	61.19	82	
Science	2	4		Approaching	34	41.18	31	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Madina Adamata Cuanth	
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	701 011165	Approaching	66	42	13	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	66	46	88	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	66	52	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20			- 103
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	11120			
Total	,	12	36.376	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	31	45	17	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	31	42	95	No
Minority Students	0	0		=	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	39	42	99	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	31	49	57	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	23	49	94	No
Total .	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	,	Exceeds		34/46/45/ 32	82.4/89.1/77.8/ 93.8 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	1	75%	Meets		, -0, -0,	52, 53.2, 77.6, 33.6 70	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		18/ 20 /22/N<16	72.2/ 80 /72.7/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	11/1	267	1.5%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		27	18.4	20.0
20.0.440 / 10.1 20.11.000110 000110	-	7		, the cacining			±∪.¬	20.0

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Po
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:	, ,			·	
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10)		Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	·	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli	, ,	Does Not Me	et	1	1	
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student arowth percentile		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	, Ferrence 21.2 Ferrence 21.2 Ferrence 21.2 Ferrence 21.2 Ferrence 21.2 Ferrence 21.2	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2	1	pronoiency,	
	• below 40.		Does Not Me		0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grov	yth percentile and its median student arowth percen					
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	Т	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its median student growth				subgroups in 3	15
C. C. I. I. I. C. P. C.	• at or above 70.	g	Exceeds	T	4	subject areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.		Does Not Me	4	1	1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disaggregated ara		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	e uistrict s graduation rate, alsaggregated gra	Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Me		0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:		DOCS NOT WE		0.23	16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b	aceline)	Meets	+	3	indicator)	33
orkioice Readilless	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approaching		2	- Indicator)	
	• above 10%.	paseille).	Does Not Me	· ·	1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	arada ACT composita scara was:	Does Not Me	et j	1		
		orduo ACT composite score was.	Freedo	<u> </u>			
	• at or above 22.	!:\	Exceeds		4	_	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba		Meets	1	2	4	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	aseinie).	Approaching			4	
	• below 17.		Does Not Me		1		<u> </u>
Points for each perf	ormance indicator	Cut-Poin	ts for accreditation category assign	nent			
Cut	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.	Cut Point: The distri	t earned.	of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
hievement; • a	it or above 87.5%	Exceeds	at or above 80%				Distinction
rowth: Gans	t or above 62 5% - helow 87 5%	Meets	• at or above 64% - h	elow 80%			Accredited

Cut Point: The district earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator. Achievement; Growth; Gaps 4 at or above 87.5% • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • below 37.5% - below 62.5% - below 62.5% • at or above 64% - below 80% • at or above 64% - below 80% • at or above 64% - below 64% • at or abo

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 2515

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	90.6	93.8	93.8	93.8
Anticipated Year	2009	77.8	77.8	77.8	
of Graduation	2010	89.1	89.1		
	2011	82.4			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	72.7	72.7	72.7	
of Graduation	2010	80	80		
	2011	72.2			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	90.6	93.8	93.8	93.8
Anticipated Year	2009	77.8	77.8	77.8	
of Graduation	2010	89.1	89.1		
	2011	82.4			
	Aggregated	84.7	86.2	84.4	93.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	72.7	72.7	72.7	
of Graduation	2010	80	80		
	2011	72.2			
	Aggregated	77.5	79.2	78.8	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	75	77.8	70.6	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 2515