## Accredited with Improvement Plan

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

## Plan Assignment

Framework Points Earned

Accred. w/Distinction
Accredited
Accred. w/Improvement Plan Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan Accred. w/Turnaround Plan
at or above $80 \%$ at or above 64\% - below 80\% at or above $52 \%$ - below 64\% at or above $42 \%$ - below $52 \%$ below 42\%
ramework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

${ }^{2}$ Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.
${ }^{3}$ Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a $95 \%$ participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a $95 \%$ participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).
Finance ${ }^{4} \quad$ Meets Requirements

Safety ${ }^{4}$
Meets Requirements
${ }^{4}$ Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.


| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | District's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 886 | 60.84 | 19 |  |
| Mathematics | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 888 | 53.04 | 9 |  |
| Writing | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 886 | 40.63 | 17 |  |
| Science | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 234 | 29.06 | 14 |  |
| Total | 6 | 16 | 37.5\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 650 | 41 | 38 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 655 | 37 | 63 | No |
| Writing | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 652 | 47 | 56 | No |
| English Language Proficiency (CELApro) | 1.5 | 2 |  | Meets | 365 | 50 | 44 | Yes |
| Total | 6.5 | 14 | 46.4\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 8 | 20 | 40\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 474 | 40 | 42 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 426 | 39 | 43 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 80 | 38 | 72 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 257 | 43 | 49 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 272 | 45 | 62 | No |
| Mathematics | 7 | 20 | 35\% | Does Not Meet |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 478 | 37 | 67 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 428 | 35 | 66 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 82 | 40 | 79 | No |
| English Learners | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 259 | 35 | 70 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 280 | 40 | 81 | No |
| Writing | 10 | 20 | 50\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 476 | 46 | 61 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 426 | 47 | 61 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 81 | 49 | 78 | No |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 257 | 46 | 66 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 409 | 47 | 67 | No |
| Total | 25 | 60 | 41.7\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |


| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | District's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 466 | 62.88 | 27 |  |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 466 | 39.7 | 24 |  |
| Writing | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 465 | 52.26 | 36 |  |
| Science | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 223 | 37.22 | 28 |  |
| Total | 8 | 16 | 50\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 450 | 55 | 36 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 451 | 60 | 81 | No |
| Writing | 4 | 4 |  | Exceeds | 450 | 61 | 61 | Yes |
| English Language Proficiency (CELApro) | 1.5 | 2 |  | Meets | 110 | 51 | 46 | Yes |
| Total | 11.5 | 14 | 82.1\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 13 | 20 | 65\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 295 | 55 | 48 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 301 | 52 | 47 | Yes |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 38 | 49 | 75 | No |
| English Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 196 | 52 | 47 | Yes |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 162 | 52 | 67 | No |
| Mathematics | 14 | 20 | 70\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 296 | 63 | 86 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 302 | 64 | 87 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 38 | 46 | 99 | No |
| English Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 197 | 64 | 85 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 265 | 66 | 96 | No |
| Writing | 15 | 20 | 75\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 295 | 61 | 71 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 301 | 61 | 70 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 38 | 65 | 89 | No |
| English Learners | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 196 | 62 | 70 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 |  | Meets | 194 | 60 | 83 | No |
| Total | 42 | 60 | 70\% | Meets |  |  |  |  |


| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | \% Proficient/Advanced | District's Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 436 | 53.9 | 9 |  |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 435 | 18.85 | 16 |  |
| Writing | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 436 | 30.96 | 11 |  |
| Science | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 205 | 29.76 | 14 |  |
| Total | 5 | 16 | 31.3\% | Does Not Meet |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $N$ | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 416 | 39 | 29 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 415 | 46 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 416 | 36 | 68 | No |
| English Language Proficiency (CELApro) | 1 | 2 |  | Approaching | 109 | 46 | 73 | No |
| Total | 6 | 14 | 42.9\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subgroup } \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 8 | 16 | 50\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 261 | 41 | 44 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 268 | 41 | 39 | Yes |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 |  | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 182 | 46 | 53 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 168 | 51 | 80 | No |
| Mathematics | 8 | 16 | 50\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 260 | 47 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 267 | 45 | 99 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 |  | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 181 | 48 | 99 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 281 | 48 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 6 | 16 | 37.5\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 261 | 39 | 82 | No |
| Minority Students | 1 | 4 |  | Does Not Meet | 268 | 39 | 79 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 |  | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Learners | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 182 | 43 | 84 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching | 225 | 42 | 95 | No |
| Total | 22 | 48 | 45.8\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | \% Points | Rating |  | N | Rate/Score | Expectation |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching |  | 232/223/236/239 | 66.8/67.7/60.6/64\% | 80\% |
| Disaggregated Graduation Rate | 1.25 | 4 | 31.3\% | Does Not Meet |  |  |  |  |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0.25 | 1 |  | Does Not Meet |  | 149/142/143/145 | 61.1/59.9/50.3/57.9\% | 80\% |
| Minority Students | 0.25 | 1 |  | Does Not Meet |  | 141/130/115/118 | 58.2/53.8/50.4/55.1\% | 80\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.5 | 1 |  | Approaching |  | 21/N<16/N<16/20 | 42.9/-/-/65\% | 80\% |
| English Learners | 0.25 | 1 |  | Does Not Meet |  | 33/39/22/17 | 54.5/61.5/45.5/64.7\% | 80\% |
| Dropout Rate | 3 | 4 |  | Meets |  | 1612 | 2.7\% | 3.6\% |
| Colorado ACT Composite Score | 2 | 4 |  | Approaching |  | 200 | 17.5 | 20.0 |
| Total | 8.25 | 16 | 51.6\% | Approaching |  |  |  |  |

## Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the District Performance Framework Report



Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement
 five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## Reference

## 1-year vs. 3 -year Report




 be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.
Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators
Academic Achievement

| Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 175 | 165 | 167 | 176 | 165 | 167 | 175 | 165 | 167 | 133 | 135 | 138 |
| 15th percentile | 59.26 | 58.87 | 57.14 | 57.99 | 34.46 | 18.30 | 38.48 | 42.37 | 32.85 | 29.46 | 28.57 | 30.27 |
| 50th percentile | 71.51 | 70.50 | 71.53 | 70.51 | 50.00 | 32. | 54.72 | 56.36 | 48. | 48. | 45.6 | 48.9 |
| 90th percentile | 84.37 | 83.57 | 84.78 | 84.60 | 68.84 | 52.06 | 69.66 | 72.27 | 67.56 | 69.72 | 69.09 | 70.39 |

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

|  | Reading |  |  | Math |  |  | Writing |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 181 | 182 | 183 | 181 | 182 | 182 | 181 | 182 | 183 | 172 | 175 | 179 |
| 15th percentile | 60.45 | 56.61 | 57.63 | 56.84 | 36.37 | 17.78 | 41.44 | 41.85 | 33.82 | 32.93 | 30.02 | 31.43 |
| 50th percentile | 72.19 | 69.22 | 71.31 | 70.37 | 49.11 | 30.51 | 55.78 | 56.79 | 49.70 | 47.50 | 46.81 | 49.18 |
| 90th percentile | 85.16 | 81.53 | 83.80 | 83.42 | 65.33 | 48.01 | 71.02 | 70.87 | 67.71 | 66.52 | 65.86 | 67.31 |

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterionreferenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.


The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.
For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

## Reference

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

 rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores,

## State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

|  | N of Students | Mean Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2008 | 59.6 | 63.9 | 63.5 | 64 |
|  | 2009 | 54 | 59.9 | 60.6 |  |
|  | 2010 | 60.4 | 67.7 |  |  |

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2008 | 49.3 | 56.5 | 57.1 | 57.9 |
|  | 2009 | 41.8 | 49 | 50.3 |  |
|  | 2010 | 50.3 | 59.9 |  |  |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year | 2008 | 47 | 56 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
|  | 2009 | 42.7 | 50.4 | 50.4 |  |
|  | 2010 | 44.8 | 53.8 |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 58.2 |  |  |  |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6 -year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2008 | 63.2 | 68.4 | 68.4 | 65 |
|  | 2009 | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ |  |
|  | 2010 | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 42.9 |  |  |  |

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year | 2008 | 50 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 64.7 |
|  | 2009 | 29.2 | 45.5 | 45.5 |  |
|  | 2010 | 51.3 | 61.5 |  |  |

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

|  | N of Students | Average Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2008 | 59.6 | 63.9 | 63.5 | 64 |
|  | 2009 | 54 | 59.9 | 60.6 |  |
|  | 2010 | 60.4 | 67.7 |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 66.8 |  |  |  |

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6 -year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2008 | 49.3 | 56.5 | 57.1 | 57.9 |
|  | 2009 | 41.8 | 49 | 50.3 |  |
|  | 2010 | 50.3 | 59.9 |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 61.1 | 50.7 | 55.1 | 53.8 |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6 -year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year | 2008 | 47 | 56 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
|  | 2009 | 42.7 | 50.4 | 50.4 |  |
|  | 2010 | 44.8 | 53.8 |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 58.2 |  |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 48.5 | 53.5 | 52.8 | 55.1 |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2008 | 63.2 | 68.4 | 68.4 | 65 |
|  | 2009 | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ |  |
|  | 2010 | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ | $\mathrm{~N}<16$ |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 42.9 |  |  | 65 |

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

|  |  | 4-year | 5-year | 6 -year | 7-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Year <br> of Graduation | 2008 | 50 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 64.7 |
|  | 2009 | 29.2 | 45.5 | 45.5 |  |
|  | 2010 | 51.3 | 61.5 |  |  |
|  | Aggregated | 47.3 | 57.7 | 53.8 | 64.7 |

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5 -year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6 -year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

