District: PLATEAU RE-5 - 1870 (All - 3 Year')

Accredited with Distinction

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	91.7%	(13.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	72.2%	(25.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	68.2%	(10.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	92.3%	(32.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

81.6%

(81.6 out of 100 points)

Finance⁴ Meets Requirements

TOTAL

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	98.8%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	162	85	81	329	162	86	81	329
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	161	86	81	328	161	86	81	328
Writing	99.4%	98.8%	100.0%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	161	85	81	328	162	86	81	329
Science	100.0%	97.7%	100.0%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	38	43	42	123	38	44	42	124
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	31	-	-	-	31	-





Performance Indicators							Lovel, F	lementary Schoo
District: PLATEAU RE-5 - 1870							Levei: E	(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(5 fear
Reading	3	4	70 FOIITS	Meets	160	85	88	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	158	81.01	84	
Writing	3	4		Meets	159	69.18	85	
Science	4	4 4		Exceeds	36	77.78	97	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets	30	77.76	31	
Total	13	10	01.3/0	IVICELS				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	109	57	20	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	108	55	42	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	108	44	31	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	51	57	20	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	51	55	47	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	24	50	77	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	50	44	30	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	37	49	60	No

12

Total

20

60%

Approaching

2

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
District: PLATEAU RE-5 - 1870								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	86	84.88	96	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	86	69.77	95	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	86	79.07	96	
Science	3	4		Meets	43	65.12	88	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	72.7 0.1100	Approaching	79	43	17	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	78	46	49	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	79	64	25	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	- -		-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	50%		, v	rercentile	Growth Fercenthe	GIOWIII:
			50%	Approaching	2.4		10	Vaa
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	4		Approaching	34 N<20	41 	18	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20 N<20		<u>-</u>	-
English Learners	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Students needing to catch up	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Mathematics	<u>0</u>	4	25%	Does Not Meet	111/20	-	-	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	23/0	Does Not Meet	34	36	56	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20			
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
Writing	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	34	61	26	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20		- -	
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

3

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: PLATEAU RE-5 - 1870								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	80	100	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	80	61.25	97	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	80	97.5	99	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	41	68.29	90	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	69	95	3	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	69	48	49	No
Writing	4	4	-	Exceeds	69	96	4	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	-	·		-			·	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
	5	5	0/ 5	- ··	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	23	99	3	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Mathematics	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	48	30	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	23	98	3	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		55 /47/31/16	94.5 /93.6/93.5/87.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	1	100%	Exceeds		, ,,	,,,	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	<u>_</u> 1	1		Exceeds		25 /22/N<16/N<16	92 /90.9/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		L6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	.,,,	240	0.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		31	21.1	20.1
	12	13				<u> </u>		_0.1

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
,,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced	was.					P	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile or			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile or			Approaching		2	content area)	
7 tome verneme	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percentile		ntile was:	Does Not Meet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	g		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student arowt	h percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.		прососия пос	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	_ pronoiency,	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student are	wth percentile was:			4.0		
	• at or above 60.	, ,	man panaanima maa	Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	7	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate studen	t arowth percentile and its median stu	dent arowth percentile was:				subgroups in 3	15
C. C. I. I. I. Cupo	• at or above 70.	9	g	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	†	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	7	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The o	district's araduation rate/disagare	paated araduation rate wa		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	and the control of th	gatea graduation rate me	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				_	3123	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		plinal				3	indicator)	33
orkiorce Readiness	 at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 base at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 base 			Meets		2	indicator)	
	above 10%. above 10%.	seinie).		Approaching Does Not Meet		1	+	
				Does Not Weet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Color	ado ACT composite score was:		-			4	i
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	4	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 base			Meets		3	4	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 base	line).		Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1	<u> </u>	
-Points for each perf	ormance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditat	tion category assignm	ent			
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this In	dicator.		Cut Point: The district	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
Achievement; • a	it or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
	t or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - belo	ow 80%			Accredited
· • —	t or above 32.5% below 63.5%	A	Total Framowork	• at or above 52% - beld				Improvement

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

Total Framework

Points

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math				Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 1870

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	93.8	93.8		
	2011	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	93.8	93.8		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	94.5	93.6	93.5	87.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	92	90.9	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2012 - 1870