District Performance Framework 2012

District: LIMON RE-4J - 1790

Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	81.3%	(12.2 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.9%	(22.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	91.7%	(32.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		77.0%	(77.0 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements	
Safety⁴	Meets Requirements	

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	114	69	71	255	115	69	71	255
Mathematics	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	113	69	71	254	114	69	71	254
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	114	69	71	254	114	69	71	254
Science	96.9%	100.0%	100.0%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	31	43	31	105	32	43	31	106
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	37	-	-	-	37	-



Level: EMH

(All - 1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary School
District: LIMON RE-4J - 1790								(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	104	79.81	78	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	103	77.67	73	
Writing	3	4		Meets	103	66.02	84	
Science	3	4		Meets	27	59.26	73	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4	/01/01/00	Approaching	74	32	24	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	74	38	42	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	74	57	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	_	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	27	29	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	38	47	46	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	38	58	47	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	30	58	54	Yes
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle Schoo
District: LIMON RE-4J - 1790								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	61	77.05	73	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	61	57.38	73	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	61	77.05	94	
Science	3	4		Meets	39	51.28	61	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	57	59	28	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	57	35	69	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	57	59	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	25	65	31	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	35	70	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	33	93	No
Writing	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	25	68	48	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				

DPF 2012 - 1790

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: LIMON RE-4J - 1790								(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	· · ·
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	65	86.15	92	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	65	47.69	85	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	65	76.92	97	
Science	3	4		Meets	31	61.29	77	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	61	63	11	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	61	60	67	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	61	57	24	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)				-				
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	22	63	17	Yes
Minority Students	0	0			N<20	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0	_	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0	0	_	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	02.370	Approaching	22	45	86	No
Minority Students	0	0		Approaching	N<20	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0	a.		N<20			
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	27	65	95	No
Writing	4	4	100%		27	05	55	
-			100%	Exceeds	22	<u></u>	24	M
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	22	63	34	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up Total	0 13	0 16	81.3%	Meets	N<20		-	-
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		35/36/36/ 43	88.6/91.7/86.1/ 93 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	<i>,</i> ,,	-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0				16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0				16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0				16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		273	0.7%	3.6%
		-		EACCEUS		L/J	0.770	5.070
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		37	20.3	20.0

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poin
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b			Exceeds	1	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile		·).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseli		,	Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	1	centile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	<u>_</u>		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student gro	wth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.		•	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	1	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median student o	rowth percentile was:					
	• at or above 60.	·	·	Exceeds		4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	t	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentile was:				subgroups in 3	15
·	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	1	4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3		1 .	Í
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	-	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	t	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	e district's graduation rate/disage	gregated graduation rate w	vas:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:						16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	1	4	(4 for each sub-	35
/orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 l			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:			·		1	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	1 I	4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	seline)		Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	•		Approaching	-	2	-	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet	-	1	-	
						-		
-Points for each per			Cut-Points for accredit					
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this				t earned .	of the to	tal Framework points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - be				Accredited
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Total Framework	• at or above 52% - be				Improvement
• 1	pelow 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	 at or above 42% - be 	low 52%		Pr	iority Improvement

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	entile Cu	ıt-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10) baselin	e)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)												
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

70.37

83.42

49.11

65.33

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

47.50

66.52

46.81

65.86

49.18

67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps


The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	93	93	93	93
Anticipated Year	2009	86.1	86.1	86.1	
of Graduation	2010	89.2	91.7		
	2011	88.6			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	93	93	93	93
Anticipated Year	2009	86.1	86.1	86.1	
of Graduation	2010	89.2	91.7		
	2011	88.6			
	Aggregated	89.4	90.4	89.9	93

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	86	94.1	95.7	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	89.5	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			