District Performance Framework 2012

District: HOEHNE REORGANIZED 3 - 1600

Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.5%	(7.7 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)	
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴	Meets Requirements
Safety⁴	Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	100	54	60	214	100	54	60	214
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	100	54	60	214	100	54	60	214
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	100	54	60	214	100	54	60	214
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	29	29	36	94	29	29	36	94
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	34	-



Level: EMH

(All - 1 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Scho
District: HOEHNE REORGANIZED 3	8 - 1600							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	98	74.49	62	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	98	67.35	39	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	98	51.02	39	
Science	3	4		Meets	29	55.17	66	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	75	47	26	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	31	52	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	74	44	47	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00.770	Meets	28	51	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	33	45	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	21	45	60	No
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	38	53	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	36	57	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	28	40	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	33	47	55	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	36	66	No
Total	15	32	46.9%	Approaching		-	-	

District: HOEHNE REORGANIZ	FD 3 - 1600							(1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	(1100
Reading	3	4	/	Meets	54	79.63	80	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	54	55.56	67	
Writing	3	4		Meets	54	64.81	77	
Science	2	4		Approaching	29	41.38	36	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	52	46	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	52	52	65	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	31	39	No
English Language Proficiency (CELA	pro)			-				
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	2	4	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	28	44	21	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	28	40	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	21	50	90	No
Writing	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	39	41	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
District: HOEHNE REORGANIZED 3 - 10	600							(1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	58	74.14	58	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	58	37.93	66	
Writing	3	4		Meets	58	56.9	71	
Science	3	4		Meets	36	61.11	76	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	-/01 Onits	Meets	55	49	10	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	55	68	90	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	55	49	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	5	•		-			50	105
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	23	45	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	-	-
Mathematics	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	23	74	98	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	32	59	99	No
Writing	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0			N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	23	45	59	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	_	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	38	94	No
Total	13	20	65%	Meets			-	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		24/21/ 35 /31	87.5/90.5/ 91.4 /83.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		187	1.1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		34	19.5	20.0
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	rmance Indicators on the District Performance Framework			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ad was		nating	Fond	Vulue		Trainework Por
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10			Exceeds	r –	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentil 	•)	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentil below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentil 		•	Approaching		2	content area)	15
Achievement	 below the 35th percentile but at of above the 15th percentile below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 basel) 	1 0	.).	Does Not Meet		1		
	• below the 15th percentile of an schools (using 2009-10 base) If the district meets the median adequate student growth percen	,	contilo was:	Does Not Weet	ТСАР	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	the und its median student growth per	Lentile was.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1.5	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth		ict does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:						35
Growth	• at or above 70.	r percentile und its medium student gro	win percentile was.	Eveneda	TCAP 4	CELA 2	English language	
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	r above 55. Exceeds Meets						
	 below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. 		Approaching	3 2	1.5 1	proficiency)		
	• below 35 but at of above 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	with nercentile and its median student	rowth percentile was:	Does Not Weet		0.5		
	• at or above 60.	win percentile and its mealan stadent g	nowin percentile was.	Exceeds	1	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 45.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	below 30.							
Growth Gaps		Does not meet		1	(4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3	15		
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	 the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: at or above 70. 						
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	4 3		subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 35. below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	-	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: Th	e district's araduation rate/disage	areaated araduation rate w		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1	-	
	at or above 90%. at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 80% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.75	-	
	below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			DOCS NOT MICCI	-	0.25	16	
Destessendowsend	• at or below 1%.			Eveneda	r	4	-	25
Postsecondary and		(; _)		Exceeds		-	(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 b			Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).		Approaching		2	-	
	above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Col	orado ACI composite score was:			1		-	
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 b			Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 b	aseline).		Approaching		2	-	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each per	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accredita	tion category assignm	ent			
Cut	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator.		Cut Point: The district	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	at or above 64% - be	ow 80%			Accredited	
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	at or above 52% - be				Improvement	
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Total Framework Points	• at or above 42% - be			Pr	iority Improvement
				• below 42%				Turnaround

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	entile Cu	ıt-Points	- 1-year	(2009-10) baselin	e)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
Escritura.	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Perc	entile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggregat	te (2008-	-10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43

69.22

81.53

71.31

83.80

70.37

83.42

49.11

65.33

30.51

48.01

55.78

71.02

56.79

70.87

49.70

67.71

47.50

66.52

46.81

65.86

49.18

67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.19

85.16

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.3	83.9	83.9	83.9
Anticipated Year	2009	91.4	91.4	91.4	
of Graduation	2010	86.4	90.5		
	2011	87.5			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	83.3	83.9	83.9	83.9
Anticipated Year	2009	91.4	91.4	91.4	
of Graduation	2010	86.4	90.5		
	2011	87.5			
	Aggregated	87.4	88.5	87.9	83.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	83.7	88.9	88	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
N<16	N<16	N<16	
N<16	N<16		
N<16			
86.7	83.3	77.8	N<16
	N<16 N<16 N<16 N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		1 00	-0		
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			