# Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan

Will enter Year 3\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	31.3%	( 4.7 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	40.8%	( 14.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	38.3%	( 5.7 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	53.1%	( 18.6 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		43.3%	( 43.3 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

Safety<sup>4</sup> Meets Requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participat	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	98.7%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	657	310	298	1265	657	310	302	1269
Mathematics	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	656	310	299	1265	657	310	302	1269
Writing	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	659	310	298	1267	660	310	302	1272
Science	99.4%	99.3%	99.3%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	157	145	147	449	158	146	148	452
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.6%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	123	-	-	-	126	-





<sup>\*</sup> on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary School
District: IGNACIO 11 JT - 1540								(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	,
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	621	53.78	6	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	621	50.72	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	623	35.15	7	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	146	29.45	11	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	418	44	42	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	418	40	62	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	418	34	56	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	44	38	52	No
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	Approaching				
					Subaroun	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
						rercentile	Growth Fercentile	Giowin:
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching	271			••
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	271	45	46	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	274	42	45	No
Students with Disabilities						40	70	••
	2	4		Approaching	51	49	78	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	38	45	43	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3 2	4	270	Meets Approaching				
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics	3 2 <b>5</b>	4 4 20	25%	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet	38 198	45 44	43 63	Yes No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics  Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3 2 <b>5</b> 1	4 4 <b>20</b> 4	25%	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	38 198 271	45 44 36	43 63 66	Yes No No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics  Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible  Minority Students	3 2 5 1	4 4 <b>20</b> 4 4	25%	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	38 198 271 274	45 44 36 36	43 63 66 64	Yes No No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3 2 <b>5</b> 1	4 4 20 4 4 4	25%	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet	38 198 271 274 51	45 44 36 36 36	43 63 66 64 79	Yes No No No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	3 2 5 1	4 4 <b>20</b> 4 4	25%	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet	38 198 271 274 51 38	45 44 36 36 36 30	43 63 66 64	Yes No No No No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3 2 5 1 1	4 4 20 4 4 4	25%	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet	38 198 271 274 51	45 44 36 36 36	43 63 66 64 79	Yes No No No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	3 2 5 1 1 1	4 4 20 4 4 4 4	25%	Meets Approaching Does Not Meet	38 198 271 274 51 38	45 44 36 36 36 30	43 63 66 64 79 67	Yes No No No No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	3 2 5 1 1 1 1	4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4		Meets Approaching Does Not Meet	38 198 271 274 51 38	45 44 36 36 36 30	43 63 66 64 79 67	Yes No No No No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics  Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible  Minority Students  Students with Disabilities  English Learners  Students needing to catch up  Writing	3 2 5 1 1 1 1 1 5	4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 20		Meets Approaching Does Not Meet	38 198 271 274 51 38 204	45 44 36 36 36 30 37	43 63 66 64 79 67 81	Yes No No No No No No No
Students needing to catch up  Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up  Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3 2 5 1 1 1 1 5	4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 20		Meets Approaching Does Not Meet	38 198 271 274 51 38 204	45 44 36 36 36 30 37	43 63 66 64 79 67 81	Yes No No No No No No No No No

Does Not Meet

**Does Not Meet** 

2

269

Students needing to catch up

Total

1

21

4

60

35%

33

69

No

Daufaumanaa ludisataus							Leve	l: Middle School
Performance Indicators							Leve	
District: IGNACIO 11 JT - 1540	Doints Farmed	Dointe Fliaible	9/ Doints	Dating	N	0/ Duoficiont/Advanced	District's Percentile	(3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced		
Reading	2	4		Approaching	282	57.09	16	-
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	282	27.3	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	282	39.72	12	
Science	2	4		Approaching	137	35.77	23	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	260	36	36	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	263	35	83	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	260	39	63	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	36	44	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	157	34	44	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	20	87	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	30	47	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	91	36	67	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	153	32	88	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	157	38	90	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	21	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	22	47	89	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	157	38	96	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	36	74	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	157	40	70	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	15	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	22	43	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	140	40	84	No

19

Total

60

31.7%

**Does Not Meet** 

3

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
District: IGNACIO 11 JT - 1540								(3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	282	47.16	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	285	11.93	6	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	282	25.53	5	
Science	2	4		Approaching	139	33.09	16	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
							Madian Adamsta Crowth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth  Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	242	40	43	No No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	244	45	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	243	40	<u> </u>	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		Approaching	N<20	40	83	INO
Total	<u>6</u>	12	50%	Annroaching	14-20	_	-	_
Total	0	12	30%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	136	43	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	155	46	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	53	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	29	40	79	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	119	48	83	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	136	44	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	157	42	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	36	46	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	38	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	192	46	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	136	41	91	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	156	40	90	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	36	49	99	No
English Learners	2	4	-	Approaching	29	41	96	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	161	41	95	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching		<u></u>		
Postsocondary and Workforce Postinger	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points			N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Graduation Pate: Avr./Svr./Svr./Tvr.			70 FUIILS	Rating			60.9/65.1/67.6/ <b>68.1</b> %	80%
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr  Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	4	37.5%	Approaching		276/212/136/ <b>69</b>	00.3/03.1/07.0/ <b>08.1</b> %	OU70
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1	37.3/0	Approaching		131/100/59/ <b>31</b>	63.4/70/71.2/ <b>77.4</b> %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	<u>1</u> 1		Approaching  Does Not Meet		172/ <b>134</b> /91/38	57/ <b>63.4</b> /60.4/57.9%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25			Approaching				
		1				33/21/ <b>16</b> /N<16	54.5/66.7/ <b>68.8</b> /-%	80%
English Learners	0.25 <b>3</b>	1 4		Does Not Meet	16	/N<16/N<16/N<16	50/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate				Meets		1294	3.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4	<b>50</b> 40/	Approaching		123	17.1	20.1
Total	8.5	16	53.1%	Approaching				

4

**Scoring Guide** Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
,,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced	was.					<b>P</b>	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile or			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile or			Approaching		2	content area)	
7 tome verneme	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percentile		ntile was:	Does Not Meet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	g		Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth pe	ercentile and its median student arowt	h percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.		прососия пос	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	_ pronoiency,	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth	percentile and its median student are	wth percentile was:			4.0		
	• at or above 60.	, ,	man panaanima maa	Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	7	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate studen	t arowth percentile and its median stu	dent arowth percentile was:				subgroups in 3	15
C. C. I. I. I. Cupo	• at or above 70.	9	g	Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	†	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	7	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The o	district's araduation rate/disagare	paated araduation rate wa		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	and the control of th	gatea graduation rate me	Exceeds	4	1	1	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	1	
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	1	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	1	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:				_	3123	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness		plinal				3	indicator)	33
orkiorce Readiness	<ul> <li>at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 base</li> <li>at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 base</li> </ul>			Meets		2	indicator)	
	above 10%.      above 10%.	seinie).		Approaching  Does Not Meet		1	+	
				Does Not Weet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Color	ado ACT composite score was:		-			4	i
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4	4	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 base			Meets		3	4	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 base	line).		Approaching		2	4	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1	<u> </u>	
-Points for each perf	ormance indicator		<b>Cut-Points for accreditat</b>	tion category assignm	ent			
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this In	dicator.		Cut Point: The district	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
Achievement; • a	it or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%				Distinction
	t or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - belo	ow 80%			Accredited
· • —	t or above 37.5% below 63.5%	A	Total Framowork	• at or above 52% - beld				Improvement

# Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

**Total Framework** 

Points

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 1540

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66.2	68.7	67.1	68.1
Anticipated Year	2009	66.1	68.8	68.2	
of Graduation	2010	56.3	59.3		
	2011	56.1			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	74.2	74.2	77.4	77.4
Anticipated Year	2009	57.1	60.7	64.3	
of Graduation	2010	64.3	73.2		
	2011	56.7			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.3	58.3	56.4	57.9
Anticipated Year	2009	60.4	65.3	63.5	
of Graduation	2010	59.2	65.3		
	2011	48.7			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# <u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	66.2	68.7	67.1	68.1
Anticipated Year	2009	66.1	68.8	68.2	
of Graduation	2010	56.3	59.3		
	2011	56.1			
	Aggregated	60.9	65.1	67.6	68.1

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	74.2	74.2	77.4	77.4
Anticipated Year	2009	57.1	60.7	64.3	
of Graduation	2010	64.3	73.2		
	2011	56.7			
	Aggregated	63.4	70	71.2	77.4

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.3	58.3	56.4	57.9
Anticipated Year	2009	60.4	65.3	63.5	
of Graduation	2010	59.2	65.3		
	2011	48.7			
	Aggregated	57	63.4	60.4	57.9

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	54.5	66.7	68.8	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	50	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 1540