District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (All - 1 Year1)

Accredited

This is the accreditation category for the district. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	72.6%	(25.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.7%	(8.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	67.2%	(23.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		68.7%	(68.7 out of 100 points)	

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Finance ⁴ **Meets Requirements**

Safety⁴ **Meets Requirements**

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.4%	97.9%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	23713	13398	12618	49729	23788	13477	12883	50148
Mathematics	99.6%	99.5%	95.4%	98.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	23684	13424	12296	49404	23785	13488	12891	50164
Writing	99.5%	99.4%	98.0%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	23671	13395	12622	49688	23793	13478	12885	50156
Science	99.7%	99.4%	97.3%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	6132	6154	6183	18469	6149	6192	6354	18695
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.2%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	5968	-	-	-	6078	-



Daufaumanaa Indiaataus							Level, F	lamantan, Cabaal
Performance Indicators	4.420						Levei: E	lementary School
District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1		B E!! !!!	0/ 5 : :	5		0/2 5: :/21		(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	23111	79.09	76	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	23065	73.15	57	
Writing	3	4		Meets	23055	60.95	70	
Science	3	44		Meets	5987	59.36	73	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	701 011113	Meets	16175	54	24	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	16224	55	49	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	16144	52	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	2535	55	45	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets	2333		40	163
	10.5		73/0	ivicets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	5415	50	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	5186	52	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1418	50	68	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1713	54	40	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	3622	55	63	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	5486	50	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	5262	52	60	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1413	46	82	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1800	54	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	4069	54	81	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	5419	47	53	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	5178	51	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1415	45	76	No

Meets

Approaching

Approaching

1715

5864

3

2

36

English Learners

Total

Students needing to catch up

4

4

60

60%

57

52

55

66

Yes

No

Daufauman a Indiantau							1	al. Naidalla Cabaal
Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle School
District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 -	-							(1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	13096	76.21	70	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	13119	61.75	80	
Writing	3	4		Meets	13092	64.17	73	
Science	3	4		Meets	6007	58.33	75	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	12563	48	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	12586	54	61	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	12552	47	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	407	54	54	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
								made Aucquate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps Reading	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points 65%	Rating Meets	N	• •	- · ·	· ·
					N 3916	• •	- · ·	· ·
Reading	13	20		Meets	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	13	20		Meets Meets	N 3916	Percentile 45	Growth Percentile	Growth? Yes
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	13 3 3	20 4 4		Meets Meets Meets	N 3916 3943	Percentile 45 47	Growth Percentile 37 32	Growth? Yes Yes
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	13 3 3 2	20 4 4 4		Meets Meets Meets Approaching	N 3916 3943 1123	45 47 51	37 32 69	Yes Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	13 3 3 2 3	20 4 4 4 4		Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets	N 3916 3943 1123 1186	45 47 51 47	37 32 69 42	Yes Yes No Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	13 3 3 2 3 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching	N 3916 3943 1123 1186	45 47 51 47	37 32 69 42	Yes Yes No Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics	13 3 3 2 3 2 11	20 4 4 4 4 4 4 20	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching	N 3916 3943 1123 1186 2625	45 47 51 47 50	37 32 69 42 64	Yes Yes No Yes No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	13 3 3 2 3 2 11 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching	N 3916 3943 1123 1186 2625	45 47 51 47 50	37 32 69 42 64	Yes Yes No Yes No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	13 3 3 2 3 2 11 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 4 20 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching	N 3916 3943 1123 1186 2625 3931 3952	45 47 51 47 50 48 50	37 32 69 42 64	Yes Yes No Yes No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities	13 3 3 2 3 2 11 2 2 3	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets	N 3916 3943 1123 1186 2625 3931 3952 1128	### A5	37 32 69 42 64 79 74 97	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	13 3 3 2 3 2 11 2 2 3 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4	65%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Approaching Meets Approaching	3916 3943 1123 1186 2625 3931 3952 1128 1187	### A5	37 32 69 42 64 79 74 97	Yes Yes No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up	13 3 3 2 3 2 11 2 2 3 2 2	20 4 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4	65% 55%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching	3916 3943 1123 1186 2625 3931 3952 1128 1187	### A5	37 32 69 42 64 79 74 97	Yes Yes No No No No No No No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing	13 3 3 2 3 2 11 2 2 3 2 2 10	20 4 4 4 4 20 4 4 4 4 4 20	65% 55%	Meets Meets Meets Approaching Meets Approaching	3916 3943 1123 1186 2625 3931 3952 1128 1187 4016	## A5 45 47 51 47 50 48 50 55 50 54	79 74 97 79 94	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No No No

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

1123

1185

3911

2

2

2

34

Students with Disabilities

Students needing to catch up

English Learners

Total

4

4

4

60

56.7%

44

48

47

87

62

80

No

No

No

						Le	vel: High Schoo
20							(1 Year
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
3	4		Meets	12253	73.73	57	
3	4		Meets	11928	44.85	82	
3	4		Meets	12255	55.86	67	
3	4		Meets	6001	56.99	66	
12	16	75%	Meets				
						Modian Adoquato Growth	Made Adequate
Points Farned	Points Fliaible	% Points	Ratina	N	Median Growth Percentile		Growth?
		701011115					Yes
							No
							Yes
							No
_		750/		045		73	INU
10.5	14	/5%	ivieets				
				Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
12	20	60%	Approaching				
2	4		Approaching	3205	43	33	Yes
3	4		Meets	3586	45	26	Yes
2	4			842		84	No
3	4			1010	50	47	Yes
2	4	-			44	71	No
10	20	50%	- 11				
				3191	49	98	No
							No
	4						No
	4						No
							No
		50%	- 11	30		33	.,,,
		30/2		3208	44	78	No
							No
							No
		-					No
							No
		53.3%	- 11	1101	10	30	110
Points Farned	Points Eliaible	% Doints			N	Pata/Score	Evnoctation
		70 FUIILS		660		•	Expectation 80%
		/13 99/	A serve e eleise e	800	03/ 0041 /0334/7003	/3.1/ 03.1/03.1 /01.270	0U%
		43.0%		10*	07/1720/ 1594 /1422	62/69 2/ 60 E /69 E0/	80%
							80%
							80%
				3			3.6%
<u>3</u> 3	4		Meets		5968	21.2	20.0
	Points Earned 3 3 3 3 12 Points Earned 3 3 3 1.5 10.5 Points Earned 12 2 3 2 3 2 10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Points Earned Points Eligible 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 12 16 Points Eligible 3 4 3 4 3 4 1.5 2 10.5 14 Points Eligible 12 20 2 4 3 4 2 4 3 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 12 16 75% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 3 4 3 4 1.5 2 4 10.5 14 75% Points Earned Points Eligible Points % Points 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 2 4 4 <t< td=""><td>Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 1.5 2 Meets 10.5 14 75% Meets 10.5 14 75% Meets 2 4 Approaching 2 4 Approaching 3 4 Meets 4 Approaching Meets 2 4 Approaching 3 4 Approaching 4 Approaching Approaching 2 4 Approaching 2 4 Approaching</td><td>Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N 3 4 Meets 12253 3 4 Meets 11928 3 4 Meets 10255 3 4 Meets 6001 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets 3 4 Meets 11591 3 4 Meets 11591 3 4 Meets 11588 1.5 2 Meets 645 10.5 14 75% Meets 1.5 2 Meets 645 10.5 14 75% Meets 645 10.5 14 75% Meets 3205 2 4 Approaching 3205 3 4 Meets 3586 2 4 Approaching 842 3 4 Meets 101</td><td> Points Earned</td><td> Points Eligible % Points Rating N</td></t<>	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 1.5 2 Meets 10.5 14 75% Meets 10.5 14 75% Meets 2 4 Approaching 2 4 Approaching 3 4 Meets 4 Approaching Meets 2 4 Approaching 3 4 Approaching 4 Approaching Approaching 2 4 Approaching 2 4 Approaching	Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N 3 4 Meets 12253 3 4 Meets 11928 3 4 Meets 10255 3 4 Meets 6001 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets 3 4 Meets 11591 3 4 Meets 11591 3 4 Meets 11588 1.5 2 Meets 645 10.5 14 75% Meets 1.5 2 Meets 645 10.5 14 75% Meets 645 10.5 14 75% Meets 3205 2 4 Approaching 3205 3 4 Meets 3586 2 4 Approaching 842 3 4 Meets 101	Points Earned	Points Eligible % Points Rating N

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Poi
,	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advance	ed was.						
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 by			Exceeds	Ĭ	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	•		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile			Approaching		2	content area)	
7 territe verificati	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baselii			Does Not Meet		1		
	If the district meets the median adequate student growth percent	·	ntile was:	Does not meet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	and its median student growin percen	tine tradi	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the district does not meet the median adequate student growth	n nercentile and its median student arowt	h nercentile was:		TCAP	CELA	English	
Cionai	• at or above 70.	percentile and its median stadent growt	n percentile wasi	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1.3	_ proficiency)	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1	0.5	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student grow	wth nercentile and its median student aro	wth nercentile was:	Does Not Meet		0.5		
	• at or above 60.	vin percentile and its median stadent gro	win percentile was.	Exceeds	Ι	4	†	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	†	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth perceptile and its median stu	dent arowth nercentile was:	Does Not Meet		•	subgroups in 3	15
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	ent growth percentile and its median state	dent growth percentile was.	Exceeds	Ĭ	4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	Subject areas)	
	below 75 but at or above 35. below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	+	
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet		1	†	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The	a district's graduation rate/disaggra	paated araduation rate we		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.	e district's graduation rate, disaggre	gatea graduation rate wa		4	Disaggi.	4	
	• at or above 90%. • at or above 80% but below 90%.			Exceeds Meets	3	0.75	+	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%. • at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
				Does Not Meet		0.25	16	
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropout rate was:			1		•	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Norkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 bath)			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 by	baseline).		Approaching		2	1	
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The district's average Colo	orado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4]	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Meets		3]	
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 ba	aseline).		Approaching		2]	
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each per	formance indicator		Cut-Points for accreditat	tion category assignm	ent			
	Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this	Indicator				of the to	otal Framework points eligible.	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	carried .	. or the te	tar i tarriework points eligible.	Distinction
	at or above 87.5% at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 64% - bel	ow 80%			Accredited
Growth; Gaps	at of above 02.3/0 - Delow 07.3/0	ivieets	Total Framowork	• at or above 64% - bel				Improvement

Districts on Turnaround or Priority Improvement

• below 37.5%

• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%

A district may not be accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five consecutive years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

5

Approaching

Total Framework

Points

• at or above 52% - below 64%

• at or above 42% - below 52%

• below 42%

Improvement

Priority Improvement

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and accreditation rating. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.79	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.01	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth. Districts that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; districts that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

DPF 2012 - 1420

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	76.3	79.6	80.4	81.2
Anticipated Year	2009	78.2	81.4	83.1	
of Graduation	2010	78.1	83.1		
	2011	79.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	60.5	65.6	67	68.5
Anticipated Year	2009	59.3	65.8	69.5	
of Graduation	2010	60.4	68.3		
	2011	63			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.7	67.4	68.1	69.1
Anticipated Year	2009	64.1	68.7	71	
of Graduation	2010	65.3	72.2		
	2011	68.6			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.4	62.3	66.3	69.9
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	64.4	69.5	
of Graduation	2010	59.7	66.4		
	2011	59.6			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	54.3	59.3	58.6	58.3
Anticipated Year	2009	49	53.2	56.7	
of Graduation	2010	48.1	54.8		
	2011	49.2			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

<u>This District's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	76.3	79.6	80.4	81.2
Anticipated Year	2009	78.2	81.4	83.1	
of Graduation	2010	78.1	83.1		
	2011	79.1			
	Aggregated	77.9	81.3	81.8	81.2

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	60.5	65.6	67	68.5
Anticipated Year	2009	59.3	65.8	69.5	
of Graduation	2010	60.4	68.3		
	2011	63			
	Aggregated	60.9	66.6	68.3	68.5

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	62.7	67.4	68.1	69.1
Anticipated Year	2009	64.1	68.7	71	
of Graduation	2010	65.3	72.2		
	2011	68.6			
	Aggregated	65.3	69.6	69.6	69.1

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	58.4	62.3	66.3	69.9
Anticipated Year	2009	62.5	64.4	69.5	
of Graduation	2010	59.7	66.4		
	2011	59.6			
	Aggregated	60.1	64.4	67.9	69.9

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	54.3	59.3	58.6	58.3
Anticipated Year	2009	49	53.2	56.7	
of Graduation	2010	48.1	54.8		
	2011	49.2			
	Aggregated	50	55.5	57.6	58.3

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

7 DPF 2012 - 1420